

## Experimental and Analytical Study on Torsional Behavior of RC Flanged Beam Strengthened With Glass Fiber Concrete

**Kamadri Sriveena**

M. Tech. (Structural Engineering)

Department of Civil Engineering, Vaagdevi college of Engineering, Warangal, India

**Dr. S Sunil Pratap Reddy**

Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Vaagdevi college of Engineering, Warangal, India

**B.V Rajiv Vinayak**

Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Vaagdevi college of Engineering, Warangal, India

### Abstract

*Environmental degradation, increased service loads, reduced capacity due to aging, degradation owing to poor construction materials and workmanships and conditional need for seismic retrofitting have demanded the necessity for repair and rehabilitation of existing structures. Fibre reinforced polymers has been used successfully in many such applications for reasons like low weight, high strength and durability. In the present work experimental study was conducted in order to have a better understanding the behavior of torsional strengthening of solid RC flanged T-beams. An RC T-beam is analyzed and designed for torsion like an RC rectangular beam; the effect of concrete on flange is neglected by codes. In the present study effect of flange part in resisting torsion is studied by changing flange width of controlled beams. The other parameters studied are strengthening configurations and fiber orientations. The aim of present work is to determine quantitatively the effectiveness of GFRP to be used as external lateral reinforcements to flanged T-beams subjected to torsion. Experimental results obtained from GFRP strengthen beams are compared with un-strengthen control beams. The study shows remarkable improvement in torsional behavior of all the GFRP strengthen T-beams. The experimentally obtained results are validated with analytical model presented by A. Deifalla and A. Ghobarah and found in good agreement.*

**Keywords:** GFRP, reinforced concrete, T- beam, torsional strength, shear flow.

### Introduction

Torsion is considered a secondary force in the design of RCC structures which generally accompanied with shear or flexure or combination of both. Behavior of an RCC beam subjected to various forces along with torsion is rather complicated. Hence lots of research works had been carried out to understand torsional behavior of RCC beams. In the present scenario boom in the construction industries with huge RCC structures with complicated planning etc may cause a complex system of forces acting on the members of the building. This complex forces generally consists of torsion along with other forces. Therefore understanding of behavior of RCC members subjected to torsion is required. Previous studies reveal that the behavior of concrete elements in torsion is primarily governed by the tensile response of the material, particularly its tensile cracking characteristics. Therefore to resist torsion mainly closed vertical stirrups are provided in the RCC beam.

Torsional stresses develop along the periphery of the section and form a close path called shear path. Provision of vertical stirrups take care of the torsional stresses in a rectangular beam. Similarly shear path followed by torsional stresses in a T-beam should go round the periphery of the section but codes neglects the flange area of T-beam and consider only web area while calculating torsional capacity hence rectangular stirrups are provided in the web portion only. This leads to interruption in the shear path and failure of

flange occurs.

Natural calamities like Earthquake, Cyclone cause severe damage to RCC structures specially with un-symmetrical plans and elevations. This un-symmetry give rise to torsion to many elements of the structure. Some times while designing these elements torsional effects are not considered hence necessitate retrofitting of the elements specially for torsion. The best solution in this regard is offered by FRP applications. In the past many research have been done to study the effect of FRP application on RCC elements mainly subjected to forces like shear and flexure. The number of researches on members subjected to torsion are limited.

Ghobarah et al.<sup>1</sup>, Chalioris et al.<sup>2</sup>, Ameli et al.<sup>3</sup> etc conducted experimental works to determine the effectiveness of different types of FRP applications with different configurations and fiber orientations to improve torsional capacity of rectangular beams.

Deifalla and Ghobarah<sup>4</sup>, Zojaji and Kabir<sup>5</sup> developed analytical models for the case of the RC beams strengthened in torsion. Al mahadi et al.<sup>6</sup> conducted experimental tests on beams with CFRP strips oriented in 90° and 45° applied in various configurations and anchoring systems.


### Methodology

**Experimental program:** For the experimental study total nine beams are cast. The concrete of

M20 grade are designed with proportion 1:1.67:3.3 and water cement ratio of 0.5. HYSD bars of Fe415 grade are used. The beams are divided in three sets based on the flange width. Set T2 , T3 and T4 consist of flange width 250mm,350mm and 450 mm respectively. The sections details and reinforcement details are shown in the Fig.1


First set has one beam T2. The beam is un-strengthened and cast to study the effect of width of flange. Set T3 and T4 consists of four beams. T3C and T4C are un-strengthen beams treated as control beams. T3SF and T4SF are strengthen with fully wrapped GFRP strips oriented at 90°, T3SU and T4SU are strengthen with U- wrapped GFRP strips oriented at 90°, T3S45 and T4S45 are strengthen with fully wrapped GFRP strips oriented at 45°. Bi-directional woven GFRP fiber are used for retrofitting the beams. The epoxy resin is used for bonding GFRP fibers to the concrete surface. The resin and hardener used in this study are Araldite LY 556 and hardener HY 951 respectively. Four layers of 100mm wide strips of GFRP are used for strengthening. Three types of strengthening schemes are adopted in the study. The details of strengthening schemes

wrapped GFRP strips oriented at 90°, T3SU and T4SU are strengthen with U- wrapped GFRP strips oriented at 90°, T3S45 and T4S45 are strengthen with fully wrapped GFRP strips oriented at 45°. Bi-directional woven GFRP fiber are used for retrofitting the beams. The epoxy resin is used for bonding GFRP fibers to the concrete surface. The resin and hardener used in this study are Araldite LY 556 and hardener HY 951 respectively. Four layers of 100mm wide strips of GFRP are used for strengthening. Three types of strengthening schemes are adopted in the study. The details of strengthening schemes



**Figure-1** crosssection and reinforcement

**Experimental Setup:** Three sets of T-beams of varying flange widths are cast. The cross sectional details and reinforcement arrangements are shown in the figure 1. The testing arrangement is shown in the figure 2.





**Figure-2 S.F and B.M Diagram for 2-Point Loading and Loading Setup**

All beams are tested under monotonically increasing static loads on both projected arms simultaneously, this arrangement transfer torsion to the middle part of the beam of 0.8 m length. All beams are designed to fail in torsion hence no stirrups are provided except at each end to keep longitudinal reinforcements in positions. The beams are tested till failure in Structural Engineering Laboratory. During testing loads are applied in increments and at each increment deflections were observed across the three sections to calculate twisting angles at different points on the beam.

During testing cracks formation and their propagation and inclinations are critically observed. For retrofitted beams crack patterns and failure pattern are observed after removing the GFRP from the beams. The details of results obtained through testing of beams are given in Table 2.

## Results and Discussion

Effect of Flange width on Torsional Moment Capacity: Comparisons between control beams of varying flange width exhibit that torsional resisting capacity of increases with increase in flange width. Various codes neglect the flange area of T-beam and consider only web area while calculating torsional capacity unless stirrups are provided in the flange area. The present study showed that unreinforced flange also contributes to the torsional capacity hence conventions given in the codes are on conservative side.

**Table-1 Description of Beams**

Beam	Series I	Series II	Series III	Description
	Flange width 250mm	Flange width 350mm	Flange width	
	T2C	T3C	T4C	Control Beam
	----- --	T3S U	T4S U	U wrapped with four layers of 100 mm wide strips of GFRP, oriented 90° with horizontal.
Beam Designation	----- --	T3SF	T4SF	Fully wrapped with four layers of 100 mm wide strips of GFRP, oriented 90° with horizontal.
	----- --	T3S4 5	T4S4 5	Fully wrapped with four layers of 100 mm wide strips of GFRP, oriented 45° with horizontal.

**Table-2 Torsional capacity of Beams**

Beam Description	Beam Designation	Ultimate load InkN	Ultimate Torsional Moment in kN-m	Type of Failure	Remark
Series T2	T2C	102	16.88	Debonding	First hair line crack appeared @80KN
	T3C	116	18.75	Debonding	First hair line crack appeared @90KN

Series T3	T3SU	143	26.81	Debonding	First hair line crack appeared @110KN
	T3SF	230	43.13	Debonding	First hair line crack appeared @210KN
	T3S45	210	39.375	Debonding	First hair line crack appeared @190KN
Series T4	T4C	152	28.50	Debonding	First hair line crack appeared @120KN
	T4SU	208	39.00	Debonding	First hair line crack appeared @160KN
	T4SF	315	58.13	Debonding	First hair line crack appeared @260KN
	T4S45	297	56.25	Debonding	First hair line crack appeared @230KN

The maximum torsional strength is provided by fully wrapped configuration.  $90^{\circ}$  fiber orientations provide more torsional strength compared to  $45^{\circ}$  fiber orientation. Full wrapping scheme provide a close path for shear flow and an efficient confinement and in turn a significant increase in ultimate strength are observed. The increase in strength are 98.27% for T3SF and 107.23% for T4SF whereas for orientations increase in strength are 81.03% for T3S45 and 95.39% for T4S45.


#### Effect of GFRP configuration Torsional Moment Capacity



Beams U wrapped with  $90^{\circ}$  oriented GFRP stripes provide lower torsional strength. Since shear flow stresses take a close path during torsional loading, torsion would not be well resisted in case of U-jacketing strengthening. For U wrapped beams increase of 23.27% to 36.84% in ultimate torsion were observed for series T3 beams and series T4 beams respectively.

Where;  $A_o$  = area enclosed inside the critical shear flow path due to strengthening includes area of flange,  $f_f$  = stress in the FRP sheet at failure,  $\beta$  = angle of orientation of the fiber direction to the longitudinal axis of the beam,  $S_f$  = spacing between the centerline of the FRP strips,



$A_f$  = effective area of the FRP resisting torsion calculated by:

$$A_f = t_f w_f$$












Figure-3 (A),(B),(C),(D),(E),(F) Are Curves Between Torsional Moment VS. Angle Of Twist

**Analytical Validation:** The model used for validation of the experimental results of present study are developed by A. Deifalla and A. Ghobarahs a simplified procedure to evaluate FRP contribution to torsional capacity of RC beams.



Figure-4 Beams retrofitted with GFRP oriented at 45°



**Figure-5 Beams retrofitted with U-wrapped GFRP oriented at 90°**



**Figure-6 Beams retrofitted with Fully-wrapped GFRP oriented at 90°**

**Table-3 Comparison of Analytical and Experimental Results**

Beam Name		$t_f$ (mm)	$n$	$\theta$	$B$	$f_c$ N/mm <sup>2</sup>	$T_{f,cal}$ kN m	$T_{f,exp} = T_{ult}^* - T_{cont}^*$	
Series- A	T3SU	2.26	5	65°	90°	28.62	28.61	27	0.94
	T3SF	2.51	5	50°	90°	28.69	109.61	114	1.04
	T3S45	2.46	4	55°	45°	28.69	99.69	94	0.94
Series- B	T4SU	2.43	5	55°	90°	30.89	51.3	56	1.09
	T4SF	2.53	5	45°	90°	30.77	149.98	163	1.08
	T4S45	2.28	4	42°	45°	29.83	133.17	145	1.08

### Conclusion

The present experimental program consisting of nine numbers of reinforced concrete T- beams with three different flange widths tested under torsion. The main objective is to examine the effectiveness of epoxy-bonded GFRP fabrics used as external transverse reinforcement to resist torsion. Based on presented experimental results and analytical predictions, the following conclusions are drawn.

- Experimental results show that the effect of flange width on torsional capacity of GFRP strengthened RC T-beams are significant.
- Torsional strength increases with increase in flange area irrespective of beam strengthening with GFRP following different configurations schemes.
- With 250 mm wide flange width increase in strength was 13%, with 350mm wide flange was 29% and for 450mm wide flange was found to be 69%. This is due to increase in area enclosed inside the critical shear path.
- The cracking and ultimate torque of all

strengthen beams were greater than those of the control beams.

- The maximum increase in torque was obtained for 90° fully wrapped configurations. Increase of 133.33% to 116.67% in first cracking and 155.55% to 107.23% in ultimate torsion were recorded for series T3 beams and series T4 beams respectively.
- Beams fully wrapped with 45° oriented GFRP stripes showed next highest torsional resisting capacity. Increase of 111.11% to 91.667% in first cracking and 81.03% to 95.39% in ultimate torsion were recorded for series T3 beams and series T4 beams respectively.
- Beams U wrapped with 90° oriented GFRP stripes show lowest torsional resisting capacity. Since shear flow stresses take a close path during torsional loading, torsion would not be well resisted in case of U-jacketing strengthening.



- For U wrapped beams increase of 22.22% to 33.33% in first cracking and 23.27% to 36.84% in ultimate torsion were recorded for series T3 beams and series T4 beams respectively.
- Strengthened beams using GFRP strips as the only transverse reinforcement exhibited better overall torsional performance than the non-strengthened control beams.
- Although the extended FRP U-jacket strengthening technique relatively less effective than the FRP full wrapping strengthening technique, it yielded promising results in terms of strength and ductility while being quite feasible for most strengthening practical situations.

## References

1. Gobarah A., Ghorbel M., and Chidiac S., Upgrading torsional resistance of RC beams using FRP, *Journal of Composites for Construction*, **6**, 257–263 (2002)
2. Chalioris C.E., Torsional strengthening of rectangular and flanged beams using carbon fibre reinforced polymers – Experimental study, *Construction and Building Materials*, in press (available online since 16 Nov (2006)
3. Ameli M. and Ronagh H.R., Behavior of FRP strengthened reinforced concrete beams under torsion, *Journal of Composites for Construction*, **11**(2), 192-200 (2007)
4. Deifalla A. and Ghobarah A., Full Torsional Behavior of RC Beams Wrapped with FRP: Analytical Model, *Journal of Composites for Construction*, **14**, 289-300 (2010)
5. Zojaji A.R. and Kabir M.Z., Analytical approach for predicting full torsional behavior of reinforced concrete beams strengthened with FRP materials, *ScientiaIranica*, **A.19**(1), 51–63 (2011)
6. Hii, A.K.Y. and Al-Mahadi R., Torsional capacity of CFRP strengthened reinforced concrete beams, *Journal of Composites for Construction*, **11**, 71–80 (2007)
7. ACI Committee 440 State Of Art Report On Fiber Reinforced Plastic (1996) experimental investigation, *ACI Struct. J.*,92, 334–342. *Reinforcement For Concrete Structure, ACI 440R-96, American Concrete Institute* , Farmington Hills, Michigan (1995)