

An Efficient and Stabilization of Lithomargic Soil Using alkali activated Fly ash

Syed Saba Sulthana

M.Tech, Department of Civil Engineering

ABSTRACT: Due to cohesiveness property in expansive soil, it becameweak and good for foundation or pavement subgrade. Soil Stabilization is one of the most important aspects of the construction industry, which is used widely in foundation and road pavement constructions; this is because suchstabilization improves Geotechnical properties of the soil, such as volume stability, strength and durability. Alkali activated flyashes are the cement for the future. The alkali activation of wastematerials is a chemical process that allows the user to transform glassy structures (partially ortotally amorphous and/or metastable) into very compact well-cemented composites. The present study focuses on investigations on the engineering properties of Lithomargicsoils. Attempts are being made to investigate the study on Stabilization of Lithomargic soil (silty-soil) by using alkali activated polymers combined with Fly-ash.

KEYWORDS-Lithomargic soil, Fly-ash, GGBS, NaOH, Stabilization, Geopolymer

I. INTRODUCTION

Stabilization is one of the methods of treating the expansive soils to make them fit forconstruction. Variety of stabilizers may be divided into three groups (Petry 2002): (a) traditionalstabilizers (lime, cement, etc.), (b) by-product stabilizers (fly ash, quarry dust, phosphor-gypsum,slag etc.) and (c) non-traditional stabilizers (sulphonated oils, potassium compounds, polymer,enzymes, ammonium chlorides etc.).

II. RELATED WORKS

Sharma et al. (1992) studied stabilization of expansive soil using a mixture of fly ash, gypsum and blast furnace slag. They found that fly ash, gypsum and blast furnace slag in the proportion of 6: 12: 18decreased the swelling pressure of the soil from 248

kN/m2 to 17 kN/m2 and increased the unconfined compressive strength by 300%.

Srivastava et al. (1997) studied the change in microstructure and fabric of expansive soil due to addition fly ash and lime sludge from SEM photograph andfound changes in micro structure and fabric when 16% fly ash and 16% lime sludge were added to expansive soil. Srivastava et al. (1999) have also described the results of experiments carried out tostudy the consolidation and swelling behavior of expansive soil stabilized with lime sludge and fly ash and 16% of fly ash and 16% of lime sludge.

Coke (2001) used up to 25% of Class-C fly ash(18.98 % of CaO) and the treated specimens werecured for 7 days and 28 days. The swelling pressure found to decrease by 75% after 7 days curing and79% with 28 days curing at 20% addition of fly ash.Pandian et al. (2001) had made an effort to stabilizeexpansive soil with a class –F Fly ash and found that fly ash could be an effective additive (about 20%)to improve the CBR of Black cotton soil (about200%) significantly.

Tucker and Cokca (2004) used Class C and Class Ftype fly ash along with sand for stabilization of expansive soil. As expected Class C fly ash was more effective and the free swell decreased with curing period. The best performance was observed with soil, Class C fly ash and sand as 75%, 15% and 10% respectively after 28 days of curing. Satyanarayana et al. (2004) studied the combined effect of addition of fly ash and lime on engineering properties of expansive soil and found that the optimum proportions of soil: fly ash: lime



should be70:30:4 for construction of roads and embankments.Phani

Kumar and Sharma (2004) observed thatplasticity, hydraulic conductivity and swellingproperties of the expansive soil fly ash blendsdecreased and the dry unit weight and strengthincreased with increase in fly ash content. Theresistance to penetration of the blinds increasedsignificantly with an increase in fly ash content for giving water content. They presented a statisticalmodel to predict the undrained shear strength of thetreated soil.

Baytar (2005) studied the stabilization of expansivesoils using the fly ash and desulphogypsum obtained from a thermal power plant by 0 to 30 percent. Varied percentage of lime (0 to 8%) was added to the expansive soil-fly ash-desulphogypsum mixture. The treated samples were cured for 7 and 28 days.

Swelling percentage decreased and the rate of swellincreased with the increasing stabilizer percentage.Curing resulted in further reduction in swellingpercentage and with 25 percent fly ash and 30 percentdesulphogypsum additions reduced the swellingpercentage to levels comparable to lime stabilization.

Army et al. (2005) used cement and fly ash mixture for stabilization of expansive clayey Soil. Threedifferent classes of sample (i) 12% cement, (ii) 9%cement + 3% fly ash and (iii) natural clay soil samplewere tested for maximum dry densities (MDD),optimum moisture contents (OMC), Californiabearing unconfined ratio (CBR), compressivestrength (UCS) and the undrainedTriaxial tests. Theresults showed that the soil sample stabilized with amixture of 9% cement + 3% fly ash is better withrespect to MDD, OMC, CBR, and shearing resistancecompared to samples stabilized with 12% cement, indicating the importance of fly ash in improving thestabilizing potential of cement on expansive soil.

Sabbath et al. (2005) observed that fly ashmarblepowder can improve the engineering properties of expansive soil and the optimum proportion of soil:fly ash: marble powder was 65:20: 15

III. THE PROPOSED APPROACH

The main objective of the study is to

1. Study the basic Engineering properties of Lithomargic soil (Shedi Soil).

2. Preparation of alkali-activated fly ash by using Sodium Hydroxide at morality of 14M.

3. Proposed to involve GGBS to check the strength improvement of Lithomargic soil atvarious proportions (10, 15, 20, 25, 30 percentages).

4. Evaluation of unconfined compressive strength of fly ash treated soil on an interval of 0,7, 14 and 28 days at different percentages of fly-Ash and GGBS.

5. Strength characteristics of alkali activated soil using California Bearing Resistance test(CBR) and study the penetration resistance.

6. Comparative study on the strength versus days of curing.

Fly ash is generally captured byelectrostatic precipitators or other particle filtrationequipment before the flue gases reach the chimneysof coal-fired power plants and together with bottomash removed from the bottom of the furnace is in thiscase jointly known as coal ash. Depending upon thesource and makeup of the coal being burned, the components of fly ash vary considerably, but all flyash includes substantial amounts of silicon dioxide(SiO2) (both amorphous and crystalline) and calciumoxide (CaO), both being endemic ingredients in manycoal-bearing rock strata.In the past, fly ash was generally released into theatmosphere, but pollution control equipmentmandated in recent decades now requires that it becaptured prior to release. In the US, fly ash isgenerally stored at coal power plants or placed inlandfills. About 43% is recycled, often used as apozzolan to produce hydraulic cement or hydraulicplaster or a partial replacement for Portland cementin concrete production.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP



The experimental investigation was made onexpansive soils and stabilized expansive soil by usingfly-ash as per standards. Fly-ash was added to expansive soil with 10%, 20%, 30%, 40% and 50%.We find the Geotechnical properties of expansive soiland stabilized soil and compare the characteristicThe experimental investigation was made onexpansive soils and stabilized expansive soil by usingfly-ash as per standards. Fly-ash was added to expansive soil with 10%, 20%, 30%, 40% and 50%.We find the Geotechnical properties of expansive soiland stabilized soil and compare the characteristic.

1. General engineering properties of Lithomargic soil are tested to determine specific gravity,grain size distribution, Atterberg's Limit as per IS recommendations.

2. Maximum dry density and Optimum Moisture Content will be determined using heavy compaction tests as per IS 2720 Part VII.

3. Preparation of alkali-activated polymers.

4. Samples will be prepared using OMC with and without the addition of Geo-polymer atvarious proportions.

5. Strength test is determined on various samples for 0, 7, 21 and 28 days curing.

6. C.B.R tests were conducted on stabilized soil samples with an optimum percentage of Geopolymer under soaked and un-soaked condition.



Fig. 1 UCC samples with Alkaliactivated Fly-ash.



Fig. 2Samples afterCompression test



Fig. 3 CBR Testing

Experimental results of UCS showed that the addition of alkali activated geopolymer increased the Compression Strength of soil samples.Based on CBR values of lithomargic soil without alkali activated fly ash was found to be5.1% and CBR value with alkali activated fly ash with different percentages is carried and the results shows that the CBR value increases as proportion added is increased from 10% to 20% and decreased from 20% to 30%.





Fig. 4 Comparison between Compressive Strength Vs Curing Period



Fig. 5 Load Vs Penetration curve for CBR results.

From the above results it is concluded that when 20% of alkali activated fly ash isadded to lithomargic soil a maximum Compressive strength and Resistance is offeredhence 20% of alkali activated fly ash with GGBS can be used as the optimum value for soilstabilization of lithomargic soil

V. CONCLUSION

The suitability of alkali activated fly ash has been demonstrated by the laboratory study.

1. The applicant of alkali activated fly ash for locally available lithomargic soil should beinvestigated by using an optimum percentage of Geo-polymer cement in the construction of a smallstretch of a road (0.5km to 1km) and testing the same using field CBR test.

2. More laboratory tests must be conducted on various types of soils with different percentage

ofalkali activated fly ash to evaluate its usefulness in stabilizing other type of soil in the region.

3. In order to check the durability of alkali activated fly ash stabilized layers durability tests must be conducted on various soils under freeze-thaw conditions and also of leaching on alkaliactivated fly ash stabilizer may be studied.

REFERENCES

[1]. Bose, B. (2012), "Geo-engineering Properties of Expansive Soil Stabilized with Fly Ash", Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, Vol.17, pp. 1339-1353.

[2]. Cooke, E. (2001), "Use of Class C fly ash forthe stabilization of an expansive soil", ASCE Journalof Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering.Vol. 127, Issue 7, pp. 568–573.

[3]. Holtz, W. G., and Gibbs, H. J. (1956), "Engineering properties of expansive clays" Transactions ASCE. Vol. 121, pp. 641–677.

[4]. Kumar, A., Walia, B.S., and Bajaj, A. (2007), "Influence of Fly Ash, Lime and Polyester Fibers on, Compaction and Strength Properties of ExpansiveSoil", Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering, Vol.19, Issue 3, pp. 242-248.

[5]. Malik, A., and Thapliyal, A. (2009), "Ecofriendly Fly Ash Utilization: Potential for LandApplication", Critical Reviews in EnvironmentalScience and Technology, Vol. 39, Issue 4, pp. 333-366.

[6]. Misra, A., Biswas, D., and Upadhyaya, S.(2005), "Physico-mechanical behavior of selfcementing class C fly ash-clay minerals", J. Fuel,Vol. 84, pp. 1410-1422.

[7]. Nath., P., and Sarker, P. (2011), "Effect of FlyAsh on the Durability Properties of High StrengthConcrete", Procedia Engineering, Vol. 14, pp. 1149-1156.

[8]. Phanikumar, B.R. and Sharma, R. S. (2004),"Effect of Fly Ash on Engineering Properties of Expansive Soil", Journal of Geotechnical



andGeoenvironmental Engineering. Vol. 130, Issue 7,pp. 764-767.

[9]. Phanikumar, B. R., Naga Reddayya, S. andSharma, R. S. (2001), "Volume Change Behavior ofFly Ash Treated Expansive Soils", 2nd InternationalConference on Civil Engineering, Indian Institute ofScience, Bangalore, India. Vol. 2, pp. 689–695.

[10]. Phanikumar, B.R., and Sharma, R. (2007), "Volume Change Behavior of Fly Ash-StabilizedClays", Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering, Vol. 19, SPECIAL ISSUE: Geochemical Aspects of Stabilized Materials, pp. 67–74

[11]. Phanikumar, B.R. (2009), "Effect of Lime andFly Ash on Swell, Consolidation and Shear StrengthCharacteristics of Expansive Clay: A comparativestudy", Journal of Geomechanics andGeoengineering: An international journal, Vol. 4,Issue 2, pp. 175-181.

[12]. Prakash, K., and Sridharan, A. (2009), "Beneficial Properties of Coal Ashes and EffectiveSolid Waste Management", Practice Periodical ofHazardous, Toxic, and Radioactive WasteManagement, ASCE, Vol. 13, Issue 4, pp. 239-248.

[13]. Radhakrishnan, G., Kumar, M.A., and Raju,G.V.R.P. (2014), "Swelling Properties of ExpansiveSoils Treated with Chemicals and Fly Ash", AmericanJournal of Engineering Research. Vol. 3, Issue 4, pp.245-250.

[14]. Raut, J.M., Bajad, S.P., and Khadeshwar, S.P.(2014), "Stabilization of Expansive Soils Using Flyash and Murrum", International Journal of InnovativeResearch in Science, Engineering and Technology.Vol. 3, Issue 7.

[15]. Satyanarayana P.V.V., Kumar, S.H., Praveen,P., Kumar, B.V.S. (2013), "A Study on StrengthCharacteristics of Expansive Soil-Fly Ash Mixes atVarious Molding, Water Contents", InternationalJournal of Recent Technology and Engineering. Vol.2, Issue 5. Bio-data:



Syed Saba Sulthana completed M.Tech(Geotechnical Engineering), Department of Civil Engineering.