

## **Behaviour Of Lateral Resistance Of Flexible Piles In Layered Soils**

**PERIYASAMI.T.K**

PG Student, Department of Civil Engineering, PRIST University,  
Thanjavur, Tamil Nadu, India.

### **ABSTRACT**

Piles are structural members that are made of steel, concrete or timber. They are used to build deep foundations and which cost more than shallow foundations. Despite the cost, the use of pile is often necessary to ensure structural safety. Some time piles are subjected to lateral load. For example piles in Quay and Harbor structure. Offshore structures have been built for oil production and for many other reasons in many parts of the world. Their design involves the consideration of unusually large ratios of lateral load to vertical load, particularly in areas where severe storms occur. The exact effect of the cyclic wave loading on the soil response conducted in analytical fashion is very complex. It would be unrealistic to precisely follow in continuous fashion the path of this response. The sources of lateral load on harbor structure are impact of ship and wave action and off shore structures are also subjected to wind and wave. High rise building, tower are subjected to lateral load due to wind and earthquake forces. So it is important to know the lateral load resistance capacity of pile foundation. A key element in the design of laterally loaded piles is the determination of ultimate lateral resistance that can be exerted by the soil against the pile (Murff and Hamilton 1993). For example, the ultimate lateral resistance is required for calculating p-y curves, which are used in the analysis of piles. Several methods have been published for predicting the ultimate lateral resistance to piles in cohesion less soils (Brinch Hansen 1961; Broms 1964; Reese et al. 1974; Poulos and Davis 1980; Fleming et al. 1992). However these methods often produce significantly different ultimate resistance values. This makes it difficult for practicing engineers to effectively select the appropriate method when designing the laterally loaded piles in cohesion less soils. In this thesis work lateral load carrying capacity of piles are calculated as per IS 2911 and the results obtained was compared with Broms method.

**KEYWORDS:** Pile, Cohesionless soil, Lateral load, Broms method, Finite Element Analysis.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Pile foundations are often necessary to support large structures when the surface soil conditions are not strong enough to support the structure with shallow foundations. Pile foundation can be founded in dense sand layers at depth, and also provide additional frictional support along

their length to resist vertical loads. Lateral loads, however, are just as important as vertical loads in designing pile foundations and are often more complicated. More powerful lateral loads occur as a result of unpredicted events such as heavy wind, earthquakes, slope failure, and lateral spread induced by liquefaction.

Piles subjected to horizontal load due to wind pressure, water pressure, earth pressure, earth quakes, wave and current forces on offshore structures are termed as laterally loaded piles. The performance of pile foundations subject to lateral load is of considerable importance in geotechnical practice. Pile foundations are usually used when heavy super-structural loads have to be transmitted through weaker subsoil. Pile foundations may be required to resist significant lateral forces induced by earthquakes, winds, waves, earth pressures and ship impacts. In marine structures, lateral forces are caused by impact of berthing and mooring of ships, pressure of winds, currents, waves and floating ice. Piles are also subjected to significant amounts of lateral loads and overturning moments besides axial loads when used under tall chimneys, high-rise structures, and coastal and offshore structures. Piles are also designed for lateral loads, when they are required to restrain forces causing sliding or overturning of structures. Lateral loads are in the order of 10-15% of the vertical loads in the case of onshore structures, and in the case of coastal and offshore structures, these lateral loads can exceed 30% of the vertical loads.

Therefore, proper attention has to be given in designing such pile structures under lateral loads.

The governing criterion in designing pile foundations to resist lateral loads in most cases is the maximum deflection and moment of the piles rather than its ultimate capacity. The maximum deflection at the pile head and the distribution of the bending moment along the pile are important

information for the successful design of pile foundations that support lateral loads. The maximum moment in a pile and the depth at which it occurs depend on the stiffness of the pile-soil system and the loading conditions. Pile overstress will occur if the moment exceeds the allowable moment of the pile materials.

### **OBJECTIVES**

The objectives of this paper were

- (a) To find the lateral and vertical load carrying capacity of single pile in sandy soil.
- (b) To compare the load carrying capacity of pile by IS 2911 part 1(sec 2) with Broms method.
- (c) To find the effect of diameter of the pile on lateral load capacity.
- (d) To find the effect of length of the pile on lateral load capacity.

### **METHODOLOGY**

The various methods employed in this paper were discussed with the results in the following topics.

#### **Effect of Diameter of the Pile on Lateral Load Capacity**

To study the effect of diameter on lateral load capacity, 8 m length of piles of different diameters such as 0.20 m, 0.25 m, 0.30 m, 0.35 m, 0.40 m, 0.45 m, and 0.50 m were considered. The results are presented in Table 1 and 2, which indicated that lateral load capacity increases with increasing diameter of the pile. This was due to the increase in surface area. Also the pile stiffness, EI, increases with increase in moment of inertia I which depends on the diameter of pile. But there was no much variation in axial load capacity. The lateral load capacity of pile obtained from IS 2911

Part1 (sec2) was significantly less when compared with Broms method.

### Effect of Length of the Pile on Lateral Load Capacity

To study the effect of length of the pile on lateral load capacity, 0.50 m diameter piles of different lengths such as 8 m, 8.9 m, 10 m, 11.4 m, 13.3 m, 16 m, and 20 m were considered. The results are presented in Table 3 and 4, which indicated that the axial

load capacity increases with increasing length of the pile. This was due to that the friction was mobilized on increased embedment of pile. But there was no much variation in moment and lateral load capacity. The lateral load capacity of pile obtained from IS 2911 Part1 (sec2) was significantly less when compared with Broms method.

**Table: 1 Comparison of lateral load resistance capacity of fixed head pile by IS 2911 part 1(sec 2) and Broms Method for variable diameter of piles**

Sl.No	L/D ratio	Length of pile(m)	Diameter of pile(m)	Depth of fixity	Ultimate Axial load capacity (kN)	Ultimate resistance moment (kNm)	Ultimate lateral resistance (kN)	
							As per IS2911	As per Broms
1	16	8	0.5	3.445	453.57	365.62	258.86	337.5
2	17.77	8	0.45	3.167	362.1	266.54	205.27	262.44
3	20	8	0.4	2.882	285.17	187.2	158.43	213.12
4	22.86	8	0.35	2.59	221.2	125.41	118.1	150.49
5	26.67	8	0.3	2.29	168.56	79.65	84.83	98.42
6	32	8	0.25	1.98	125.63	46.01	56.68	67.5
7	40	8	0.2	1.655	90.79	23.7	34.92	37.8

**Table: 2 Comparison of Lateral deflection at ground level for fixed head pile by IS 2911 part 1(sec 2) and Broms Method for variable diameter of piles**

Sl.No	L/D ratio	Ultimate lateral resistance (kN)	Lateral deflection at ground level (mm)	
			As per IS2911	As per Broms
1	16	258.86	11.5	13.61
2	17.77	205.27	10.8	13.2
3	20	158.43	10.06	12.8
4	22.86	118.1	9.28	12.42
5	26.67	84.83	8.54	11.78
6	32	56.68	7.65	10.62

7	40	34.92	6.72	8.69
---	----	-------	------	------

**Table: 3 Comparison of lateral load resistance capacity of fixed head pile by IS 2911 part 1(sec 2) and Broms Method for variable length of piles**

Sl.No	L/D ratio	Length of pile(m)	Diameter of pile(m)	Depth of fixity	Ultimate Axial load capacity (kN)	Ultimate resistance moment (kNm)	Ultimate lateral resistance (kN)	
							As per IS2911	As per Broms
1	16	8	0.5	3.445	453.57	365.62	258.86	337.5
2	17.77	8.9	0.5	3.445	497.25	368.75	261.08	343.13
3	20	10	0.5	3.445	556.98	369.84	261.85	348.75
4	22.86	11.4	0.5	3.445	643.02	370.94	262.63	354.38
5	26.67	13.3	0.5	3.445	778.97	371.88	263.29	360
6	32	16	0.5	3.445	1005	362.5	256.65	337.5
7	40	20	0.5	3.445	1418.65	343.75	243.38	331.88

**Table: 4 Comparison of Lateral deflection at ground level for fixed head pile by IS 2911 part 1(sec 2) and Broms Method for variable length of piles**

Sl.No	L/D ratio	Ultimate lateral resistance (kN)	Lateral deflection at ground level (mm)	
			As per IS2911	As per Broms
1	16	258.86	11.5	13.61
2	17.77	261.08	11.59	14.13
3	20	261.85	11.63	14.63
4	22.86	262.63	11.67	14.76
5	26.67	263.29	11.7	15.3



6	32	256.65	11.4	14.45
7	40	243.38	10.81	12.75

## CONCLUSIONS

From the obtained data the following conclusions were made:

1. The effect of pile length was studied and it was concluded that axial load capacity increases with increase in length of the pile. This was because of the friction was mobilized on increased embedment of pile
2. The effect of pile diameter was studied and it was concluded that lateral load capacity increases with increase in diameter of the pile for same length. This was due to the increase in surface area, pile stiffness, moment of inertia and young's modulus.
3. The lateral load capacity of pile obtained from IS 2911 Part1 (sec2) was significantly less when compared with Broms method.

## REFERENCES

1. Braja M.Das (2005)"Principles of foundation Engineering" Thomson Asia private limited.
2. Broms, B. (1964a) "the Lateral Resistance of Piles in Cohesive Soils," *J. Soil Mech. Found. Div.*, ASCE, Vol. 90, pp. 27-63.
3. Budhu, M. & Davis, T. G. (1988) "Analysis of Laterally Loaded Piles in Soft Clays," *J. Geotechnical Engineering*, ASCE, Vol. 114, No.1, pp. 21-39.
4. Matlock, H. and Reese, L. C. (1962) "Generalized Solutions for Laterally Loaded Piles," Transactions of the American Society of Civil Engineers, Vol. 127, part 1, pp. 1220-1247.
5. P.C.Varghese (2010)"Foundation Engineering" PHI Learning private limited
6. Pise, P. J. (1983) "Lateral Response of Free-Head Pile," *J. Geotechnical Engineering*, Vol. 109, No.8, pp. 1126-1131.
7. Poulos, H.G. (1971a) "Behavior of Laterally Loaded Piles: I-Single Piles," *J. Soil Mech. Found. Div.*, ASCE, Vol. 97, No. SM 5, pp. 711-731.
8. Poulos, H.G. and Davis, E. H. (1980) "Pile Foundation Analysis and Design," John Wiley & Sons, New York.
9. Reese, L. C. (1977) "Laterally Loaded Piles: Program documentation," *J.Geotech. Eng. Div.*, ASCE, Vol. 103, No. GT4, pp. 287-305.
10. Reese, L. C. and Van Impe, W. F. (2001) "Single Piles and Pile Groups under Lateral Loading," A. A. Balkema, Rotterdam. Vol. 1.