

Volume 02 Issue 02 February 2015

Nonlinear Transportation Problems' Algorithm

(A Case Study of the Nigerian Bottling Company Ltd Owerri Plant)

Opara Jude¹, Iheagwara Andrew .I.² & Nwobi Anderson Chukwukailo³

¹E-mail Address: judend88@yahoo.com Department of Statistics, Imo State University PMB 2000, Owerri Nigeria GSM: +2348064095411

²E-mail Address: andyiheagwara@yahoo.com Procurement Officer/Director Planning, Research & Statistics, Nigeria Erosion & Watershed Management Project (World Bank-Assisted), Ministry of Petroleum & Environment, Ploy 36, chief Executive Quarters, Area "B", New Owerri, Imo State Nigeria

GSM: +2348033285954

³E-mail Address: andersonwobi@yahoo.com Department of Statistics, Abia State Polytechnic, Aba Nigeria GSM: +2348036018752

ABSTRACT

This study is centered on the nonlinear Transportation problems Algorithms using Nigerian Bottling Company Ltd *Owerri Plant as a case study. This paper* is intended to determine the quantity of Fanta, Coca-Cola, Schweppes and Sprite (all in crates) that the Company should distribute in a month in order to minimize the cost of transportation and maximize profit. A problem of this nature was identified as a Nonlinear **Transportation** Problem (NTP).formulated in mathematical models and tackled by the Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) optimality condition for the NTP. A statistical software package was used to obtain the initial basic feasible solution using the Least Cost Method. Thus, the result of the analysis revealed

that the optimal solution that gave minimum achievable cost of supply was the supply of 8000 crates of Sprite and 5000 crates of the same product to Umuahia market zone and Eket respectively; 12000 crates of Coca-cola, and 4000 crates of the same product to Nnewi and Eket respectively; 9000 crates and 2000 crates of Schweppes product to Aba, and Umuahia market zones respectively; 1000 and 14000 crates of Fanta to Aba and Orlu market zones respectively., at a total cost of $\frac{1}{100}$ cond.

Key words: Karush-Kuhn-Tucker, nonlinear transportation, volume discount, concave cost,



Available at http://internationaljournalofresearch.org/

1. Introduction

In the linear transportation problem (ordinary transportation problem) the cost per unit commodity shipped from a given source to a given destination is constant, regardless of the amount shipped. It is always supposed that the mileage (distance) from every source to every destination is fixed. To solve such transportation problem we have the streamlined simplex algorithm which is very efficient. However, in reality, we can see at least two cases that the transportation problem fails to be linear.

First, the cost per unit commodity transported may not be fixed for volume discounts sometimes are available for large shipments. This would make the cost function either piecewise linear or just separable concave function. In this case the problem may be formulated as piecewise linear or concave programming problem with linear constraints.

Second, in special conditions such as transporting emergency materials when natural calamity occurs or transporting military during war time, where carrying network may be destroyed, mileage from some sources to some destination are no longer definite. So the choice of different measures of distance leads to nonlinear (quadratic, convex, etc.) objective function.

In nonlinear transportation problem, its solution is more complex than that of linear transportation problem. In this work, solution procedures to the generalized transportation problem taking nonlinear cost function are investigated. In particular, the nonlinear transportation problem considered in this paper is stated as follows;

- We are given a set of n sources of commodity with known supply capacity and a set of m destinations with known demands.
- The function of transportation cost is nonlinear and differentiable for a unit of product from each source to each destination.
- We are required to find the amount of product to be supplied from each source (may be market) to meet the demand of each destination in such a way as to minimize the total transportation cost.

Our approach to solve this problem is applying the existing general nonlinear programming algorithms to it making a suitable modification in order to use the special structure of the problem.

solve This paper seeks to а transportation problem with volume discount. The costs of goods are determined by factors such as: the costs of raw materials, labour, and transport. When cost of raw materials rises, so goods. does the cost of the Transportation cost also affects the pricing system. It is assumed that the cost of goods per unit shipped from a give source to a given destination is fixed regardless of the volume shipped. But in actuality the cost may not be fixed. Volume discounts are sometimes available for large shipments so that the marginal costs of shipping one unit might follow a particular pattern. Our



Available at http://internationaljournalofresearch.org/

focus will be to develop a mathematical model using optimization techniques to close the demand and supply gap by discounting so as to minimize total transportation cost. This research seeks to apply the existing general nonlinear programming algorithms to solve our problem

2. Review of related Literatures

Zangiabadi and Maleki (2007) presented a fuzzy goal programming approach to determine an optimal compromise multi-objective solution for the transportation problem by assuming that each objective function has a fuzzy goal. A special type of non-linear (hyperbolic) membership function is assigned to each objective function to describe each fuzzy goal. The approach focused on minimizing the negative deviation variables formed to obtain a compromise solution of the multi-objective transportation problem.

Ekezie and Opara (2013) carried out a research on the transportation algorithm with volume discount on distribution cost using Port Harcourt flour mills company Ltd as a case study. The research was intended to determine the quantity of Golden Penny Flour (in 50kg bags), Golden Penny Semovita (in 10kg bags) and Wheat Offals (also in 50kg bags) that Port Harcourt Flour Mills Company should distribute in a month in order to minimize transportation cost and maximize profit. A problem of this nature was identified as a Nonlinear Transportation Problem (NTP). formulated in mathematical terms and solved by the KKT optimality condition for the NTP. The initial basic feasible

solution using Vogel Approximation Method (VAM) was obtained. The result of the analysis revealed that optimality occurred at the second iteration and allocations were made.

Lau et al. (2009) presented an algorithm called the fuzzy logic guided nondominated sorting genetic algorithm to solve the multi-objective transportation problem that deals with the optimization of vehicle routing in which multiple depots, multiple customers, and multiple products were considered. Since the total traveling time is not always restrictive as time constraint. the objective a considered comprises not only the total traveling distance, but also the total traveling time. Lohgaonkar and Bajaj used fuzzy programming (2010)technique with linear and non-linear membership function (hyperbolic, the optimal exponential) to find compromise solution of a multiobjective capacitated transportation problem.

Ekezie et al (2013) carried out a research on the determination of paradoxical pairs in a linear transportation problem. In their study, an efficient algorithm for solving a linear programming problem was discussed, and it was concluded that paradox exists. The North-West Corner method was used to obtain the initial basic feasible solution for the optimal solution. They also used the algorithm discussed to develop a step by step solution procedure for finding all the paradoxical pairs.

Caputo (2006) presented a methodology for optimally planning long-haul road transport activities through proper



Available at http://internationaljournalofresearch.org/

aggregation of customer orders in separate full-truckload or less-thantruckload shipment in order to minimize transportation total cost. He has demonstrated that evolutionary computation technique may be effective in tactical planning of transportation The model shows that activities. savings on substantial overall transportation cost may be achieved by adopting the methodology in a real life scenario.

Kikuchi (2000): Suggested that in many problems of transportation engineering and planning, the observed or derived values of the variables are approximate, yet the variables themselves must satisfy a set of rigid relationships dictated by physical principle. They proposed a simple adjustment method that finds the most appropriate set of crisp numbers. The method assumes that each observed value is an approximate number (or a fuzzy number) and the true value is found in the support of the membership function. This process was performed using the fuzzy linear programming method for each of many possible sets of values for the problem.

Ekezie et al (2013) in their research on paradox, a transportation problem with an objective function as the sum of a linear and linear fractional function was considered. The result of their analysis showed that a paradoxical situation arises in the sum of a linear and linear fractional transportation problem, when value of the objective function falls below the optimal value and this lower value was attainable by transporting larger number of passengers. An algorithm was discussed for finding initial basic feasible solution for the sum of а linear and linear fraction transportation problem and a sufficient condition for the existence of a paradoxical solution was established. Data collected from a secondary source were used for the explanation of the algorithm.

3. Methodology

3.1 THE KARUSH-KUHN-TUCKER (KKT) OPTIMALITY CONDITION FOR NONLINEAR PROGRAMMING PROBLEM

Given the non linear programming problem (NNP):

$\min f(\mathbf{x})$		
s.t. $g_i(x) \leq 0$	i = 1,, k	 (1)
$h_j(x) = 0$	j = 1,, l	

3.1.1 KARUSH-KUHN-TUCKER NECESSARY OPTIMALITY CONDITIONS

Theorem 1: Given the objective function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ and the constraint function are g_i : $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ and $h_j : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ and $I = \{i: g_i(x^*) = 0\}$. In addition, suppose they are continuously differentiable at a feasible point x^* and $\nabla g_i(x^*)$ for $i \in I$ and $\nabla h_j(x^*)$ for j =



Available at http://internationaljournalofresearch.org/

1, ..., *l* be linearly independent. If x^* is minimizer of the problem (NPP), then there exist scalars $\overline{\lambda}_i$; i = 1, ..., k and $\overline{\mu}_j$; j = 1, ..., l, called Lagrange multipliers, such that

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \overline{\lambda}_i \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{j=1}^{l} \overline{\mu}_j \nabla h_j \mathbf{x}^* = 0$$

$$\overline{\lambda}_i g_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0 \qquad \dots \qquad (2)$$

$$\overline{\lambda}_i \ge 0; \quad \overline{\mu}_j \in \mathbb{R}$$

3.1.2 KARUSH-KUHN-TUCKER SUFFICIENT OPTIMALITY CONDITIONS FOR CONVEX NPP

Further, if f and each g_i are convex, each h_j is affine, then the above necessary optimality conditions will be also sufficient (Simons; 2006).

Justification

 \Rightarrow

Let x be any feasible point different form x*. From the first KKT conditions we obtain

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*) = -\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \overline{\lambda}_i \nabla g_i^t(\mathbf{x}^*)(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{j=1}^l \overline{\mu}_j \nabla \mathbf{h}_j(\mathbf{x}^*)(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^*)\right)$$

Since each $g_i(x)$ is convex, $\overline{\lambda}_i \ge 0$ and $\nabla h_j(x^*)(x - x^*) = 0 \quad \forall j$, we also have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \overline{\lambda}_{i} \nabla g_{i}^{t}(x^{*})(x-x^{*}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \overline{\lambda}_{i} \left[g_{i}(x) - g_{i}(x^{*})\right]$$
$$\nabla f(x^{*})(x-x^{*}) \geq -\sum \overline{\lambda}_{i} g_{i}(x) \geq 0$$

From convexity of f(x), therefore, we get

 $f(x) - f(x^*) \ge 0$

 $\Leftrightarrow \qquad f(x^*) \le f(x) \text{ for any feasible } x.$

4. SOLUTION PROCEDURES TO THE NONLINEAR TRANSPORTATION PROBLEM (NTP)

In this section, we consider a transportation problem with nonlinear cost function. We try to find different solution procedures depending on the nature of the objective function. Before going to the different special cases, let's formulate the KKT condition and general algorithm for the problem.

Given a differentiable function $C : \mathbb{R}^{nm} \to \mathbb{R}$.

We consider a nonlinear transportation problem (NTP)

where



Available at http://internationaljournalofresearch.org/

p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X

Volume 02 Issue 02 February 2015



The KKT Optimality Condition for the NTP The transportation table is given as:

$\partial C(\overline{x})$	 	 $\partial C(\overline{x})$	S 1	<i>u</i> ₁
$\partial \mathbf{x}_{11}$		$\partial \mathbf{x}_{1\mathrm{m}}$		
•••	 	 •••		
•••	 $\partial C(\overline{x})$	 •••	Si	u_i
	$\partial \mathbf{x}_{ij}$			
$\partial C(\overline{x})$	 	 $\partial C(\overline{x})$	Sn	un
∂x_{n1}		∂x_{nm}		
d ₁	 dj	 dm		
v_1	 V _j	 Vm		

where \overline{x} is the current basic solution. The Lagrange function for the NTP is formulated as $z(x, \lambda, w) = C(x) + w(b - Ax) - \lambda x$... where λ and w are Lagrange multipliers and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{nm}_+ U(0)$ $w \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$

Available online: http://internationaljournalofresearch.org/

(4)



Available at <u>http://internationaljournalofresearch.org/</u>

p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X

Volume 02 Issue 02 February 2015

The optimal point \overline{x} should satisfy the KKT conditions: $\begin{aligned}
\nabla z &= \nabla C(\overline{x}) - w^{T}A - \lambda = 0 \\
\lambda \overline{x} &= 0 \\
\lambda \geq 0 \\
\overline{x} &\geq 0
\end{aligned}$ Specifically for each cell (i, j) we have $\frac{\partial z}{\partial x_{ij}} = \frac{\partial C(\overline{x})}{\partial x_{ij}} - (u, v)(e_{i}, e_{n+j}) - \lambda_{ij} = 0 \\
\lambda_{ij} x_{ij} &= 0 \\
\lambda_{ij} \geq 0 \\
\lambda_{ij} \geq 0
\end{aligned}$ (5)

Where $k = 1 \dots$ nm and $w = (u, v) = (u_1 \ u_2 \dots \ u_n, v_1 \dots \ v_m)$, $e_k \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n}$ is a vector of zeros except at position k which is 1.

From the conditions (5) and $\lambda_k \ge 0$, we get,

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x_{ij}} = \frac{\partial C(x)}{\partial x_{ij}} - (u_i + v_j) \ge 0 \qquad \dots \qquad (6)$$
$$x_{ij} \frac{\partial z}{\partial x_{ij}} = x_{ij} \left(\frac{\partial C(\overline{x})}{\partial x_{ij}} - (u_i + v_j) \right) = 0 \qquad \dots \qquad (7)$$
$$x_{ij} \ge 0$$

General solution procedure for the NTP

• Initialization

Find an initial basic feasible solution \overline{x}

• Iteration

Step I: if \overline{x} is KKT point, stop. Otherwise go to the next step.

Step II: Find the new feasible solution that improves the cost function and go to step 1(Kidist; 2007)

5. TRANSPORTATION PROBLEM WITH CONCAVE COST FUNCTIONS

For large distributions, volume discount may be available sometimes. In this case the cost function of the transportation problem generally takes concave structure for it is separable and the marginal cost (cost per unit commodity distributed) decreases with increase in the amount of distribution; because of the total cost increase per addition of unit commodity distributed. The discount

1. May be either directly related to the unit commodity.

2. Or have the same rate for some amount.

Case 1: If the discount is directly related to the unit commodity, the resulting cost function will be continuous and have continuous first partial derivatives.

Nonlinear programming formulation of such a problem is given by



Available at http://internationaljournalofresearch.org/

Volume 02 Issue 02 February 2015

Where $C_{ij} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

6. THE TRANSPORTATION CONCAVE SIMPLEX ALGORITHM (TCS) Initialization

Find the initial basic feasible solution using some rule like west corner rule. **Iteration**

Step 1: Determine the values of u_i and v_i from the equation,

$$\frac{\partial C(\overline{x})}{\partial x_{Bii}} - (u_i + v_j) = 0 \qquad \dots \qquad (9)$$

Where x_{Bij} are the basic variables.

Step 2: If

$$\frac{\partial C(\overline{x})}{\partial x_{ii}} - (u_i + v_j) \ge 0 \qquad \dots \qquad (10)$$

for all x_{ij} – non basic, stop, \overline{x} is KKT point. Otherwise go to step 3.

Step 3:Calculate

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x_{rl}} = \left\{ \frac{\partial C(\overline{x})}{\partial x_{ij}} - u_i - v_j \right\}$$

 x_{rl} will enter the basis. Allocate $x_{rl} = \theta$ where θ is found as in the linear transportation case.

Adjust the allocations so that the constraints are satisfied.

Determine the leaving variable say x_{Brk} , where x_{Brk} is the basic variable which comes to zero first while making the adjustment. Then find the new basic variables and go to step 1.

7. Data Analysis

The Nigerian Bottling Company Ltd (NBC) operates 13 plants in Nigeria, which Owerri is one of the plants. NBC

... (11)

operates Owerri Plant since 1982 and is located in the capital city of Owerri in Imo State in South-East Nigeria. The Owerri Plant is responsible for the production of Coca-Cola, Fanta, Sprite and Schweppes and distribution of all product categories.

For the sake of this research, only five market segments (Aba, Umuahia, Nnewi, Orlu, and Eket) and four soft drinks (Coca-Cola, Fanta, Sprite and Schweppes) shall be taken for this study. The cost of purchasing and transporting the drinks from the plant to the market centres is given in Table 1 below.

Available online: <u>http://internationaljournalofresearch.org/</u>



Available at http://internationaljournalofresearch.org/

Volume 02 Issue 02 February 2015

Products	Availability		Market segments				
		Aba	Umuahi	Nnewi	Orlu	Eket	Supply
			а				
Sprite	13000	13	9	10	11	9	13000
Coca-Cola	16000	12	10	7	14	9	16000
Schweppes	11000	14	11	15	12	15	11000
Fanta	15000	12	16	13	8	14	15000
Requirement	t of Drinks	10000	10000	12000	14000	9000	55000

Table 1: Cost of Transporting the Drinks to the various market zones.

All the value in the Table 1 apart from requirements and supply are in Nigerian Currency (Naira) value. The Policy of the Company assumes discounts on each product transported from source to destination and it is directly related to the unit commodity purchased and transported, and the percentage discounts are shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Percentage Discounts

	Aba	Umuahia	Nnewi	Orlu	Eket
Sprite	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.005
Coca-Cola	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.013	0.015
Schweppes	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.05
Fanta	0.014	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.01

The problem is to determine how many creates of each product to be transported from the source to each destination on a monthly basis in order to minimize the total transportation cost.

	Aba	Umuahia	Nnewi	Orlu	Eket	Supply
Sprite	13	9	10	11	9	13
Coca-Cola	12	10	7	14	9	16
Schweppes	14	11	15	12	15	11
Fanta	12	16	13	8	14	15
Demand	10	10	12	14	9	

Table 3: Forming the transportation tableau

To form the transportation tableau, let i = product to be supplied; j = destination of each product; $S_i =$ the capacity of source node i, $d_j =$ the demand of destination j; $x_{ij} =$ the total capacity from source i to destination j;

 c_{ij} = the per unit of transporting commodity from *i* to destination *j*. If we suppose that discount is given on each crate transported from *i* to *j*, then the non-linear transportation problem can be formulated as



p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X

Volume 02 Issue 02 February 2015

Minimize

 $13x_{11} + 9x_{12} + 10x_{13} + 11x_{14} + 9x_{15} + 12x_{21} + 10x_{22} + 7x_{23} + 14x_{24} + 9x_{25} + 14x_{31} + 11x_{32} + 15x_{33} + 12x_{34} + 15x_{35} + 12x_{41} + 16x_{42} + 13x_{43} + 8x_{44} + 14x_{45}$

Subject to:

$x_{11} + x_{12} + x_{13} + x_{14} + x_{15} = 13000$
$x_{21} + x_{22} + x_{23} + x_{24} + x_{25} = 16000$
$x_{31} + x_{32} + x_{33} + x_{34} + x_{35} = 11000$
$x_{41} + x_{42} + x_{43} + x_{44} + x_{45} = 15000$
$x_{11} + x_{21} + x_{31} + x_{41} = 10000$
$x_{12} + x_{22} + x_{32} + x_{42} = 10000$
$x_{13} + x_{23} + x_{33} + x_{43} = 12000$
$x_{14} + x_{24} + x_{34} + x_{44} = 14000$
$x_{15} + x_{25} + x_{35} + x_{45} = 9000$

Where

$c_{11}x_{11} = 13x_{11} - p_{11}x_{11}^2$	$c_{31}x_{31} = 14x_{31} - p_{31}x_{31}^2$
$c_{12}x_{12} = 9x_{12} - p_{12}x_{12}^2$	$c_{32}x_{32} = 11x_{32} - p_{32}x_{32}^2$
$c_{13}x_{13} = 10x_{13} - p_{13}x_{13}^2$	$c_{33}x_{33} = 15x_{33} - p_{33}x_{33}^2$
$c_{14}x_{14} = 11x_{14} - p_{14}x_{14}^2$	$c_{34}x_{34} = 12x_{34} - p_{34}x_{34}^2$
$c_{15}x_{15} = 9x_{15} - p_{15}x_{15}^2$	$c_{35}x_{35} = 15x_{35} - p_{35}x_{35}^2$
$c_{21}x_{21} = 12x_{21} - p_{21}x_{21}^2$	$c_{41}x_{41} = 12x_{41} - p_{41}x_{41}^2$
$c_{22}x_{22} = 10x_{22} - p_{22}x_{22}^2$	$c_{42}x_{42} = 16x_{42} - p_{42}x_{42}^2$
$c_{23}x_{23} = 7x_{23} - p_{23}x_{23}^2$	$c_{43}x_{43} = 13x_{43} - p_{43}x_{43}^2$
$c_{24}x_{24} = 14x_{24} - p_{24}x_{24}^2$	$c_{44}x_{44} = 18x_{44} - p_{44}x_{44}^2$
$c_{25}x_{25} = 9x_{25} - p_{25}x_{25}^2$	$c_{45}x_{45} = 14x_{45} - p_{45}x_{45}^2$

If we allow the following discounts on each transported product I from the source to each of the destinations, we obtain the cost function c_{ij} which can be expressed as;

$c_{11}x_{11} = 13x_{11} - 0.02 \ x_{11}^2$	$c_{31}x_{31} = 14x_{31} - 0.02x_{31}^2$
$c_{12}x_{12} = 9x_{12} - 0.03x_{12}^2$	$c_{32}x_{32} = 11x_{32} - 0.04x_{32}^2$
$c_{13}x_{13} = 10x_{13} - 0.02x_{13}^2$	$c_{33}x_{33} = 15x_{33} - 0.04x_{33}^2$
$c_{14}x_{14} = 11x_{14} - 0.02x_{14}^2$	$c_{34}x_{34} = 12x_{34} - 0.02x_{34}^2$
$c_{15}x_{15} = 9x_{15} - 0.005x_{15}^2$	$c_{35}x_{35} = 15x_{35} - 0.05x_{35}^2$
$c_{21}x_{21} = 12x_{21} - 0.03x_{21}^2$	$c_{41}x_{41} = 12x_{41} - 0.014x_{41}^2$
$c_{22}x_{22} = 10x_{22} - 0.01x_{22}^2$	$c_{42}x_{42} = 16x_{42} - 0.02x_{42}^2$

Available online: http://internationaljournalofresearch.org/



p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X

Volume 02 Issue 02 February 2015

$$c_{23}x_{23} = 7x_{23} - 0.02x_{23}^2$$

$$c_{24}x_{24} = 14x_{24} - 0.013x_{24}^2$$

$$c_{25}x_{25} = 9x_{25} - 0.015x_{25}^2$$

$$c_{43}x_{43} = 13x_{43} - 0.03x_{43}^2$$

$$c_{44}x_{44} = 8x_{44} - 0.05x_{44}^2$$

$$c_{45}x_{45} = 14x_{45} - 0.01x_{45}^2$$

The tableau is then developed as below;

	Aba	Umuahia	Nnewi	Orlu	Eket	\mathbf{S}_1	\mathbf{u}_{i}
Sprite	13	9	10	11	9	13000	<i>u</i> ₁
Coca-Cola	12	10	_7_	14	9	16000	<i>u</i> ₂
Schweppes	14	1	15	12	15	11000	<i>u</i> ₃
Fanta	12	16	13	8	14	15000	
dj	10000	10000	12000	14000	9000		
Vj	v_1	v ₂	<i>v</i> ₃	<i>v</i> ₄	<i>v</i> ₅		

Using the Least Cost method, we get the initial basic solution as shown below.

	Aba	Umuahia	Nnewi	Orlu	Eket	S ₁	ui
Sprite	13	9	10	11	9	13000	u_1
		8			5		
Coca-Cola	12	10	7	14	9	16000	u ₂
			12		4		
Schweppes	14	11	15	12	15	11000	u ₃
	9	2					
Fanta	12	16	13	8	14	15000	
	1			14			
dj	10000	10000	12000	14000	9000		
Vj	v ₁	<i>v</i> ₂	<i>v</i> ₃	v_4	<i>v</i> ₅		

 $\overline{x} = (x_{11,}x_{B12,}x_{13,}x_{14,}x_{B15,}x_{21,}x_{22,}x_{B23,}x_{24,}x_{B25,}x_{B31,}x_{B32,}x_{33,}x_{34,}x_{35,}x_{B41,}x_{42,}x_{43,}x_{B44,}x_{45}) = (0,8,0,0,5,0,0,12,0,4,9,2,0,0,0,1,0,0,14,0), \text{ in thousand with the total transportation cost of } N509,000.$

Now, we use the KKT optimality conditions to improve upon our solution. The partial derivatives at \overline{x} for the cost function are given as;



Available at http://internationaljournalofresearch.org/

p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 02 Issue 02 February 2015

$$\frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{11}} = 13 \qquad \frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{12}} = 8.52 \qquad \frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{13}} = 10 \qquad \frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{14}} = 11 \qquad \frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{15}} = 8.95$$

$$\frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{21}} = 12 \qquad \frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{22}} = 10 \qquad \frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{23}} = 6.52 \qquad \frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{24}} = 14 \qquad \frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{25}} = 8.88$$

$$\frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{31}} = 13.64 \qquad \frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{32}} = 10.84 \qquad \frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{33}} = 15 \qquad \frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{34}} = 12 \qquad \frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{35}} = 15$$

$$\frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{41}} = 11.97 \qquad \frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{42}} = 16 \qquad \frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{43}} = 13 \qquad \frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{44}} = 6.6 \qquad \frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{45}} = 14$$

Now we find from the cost equation of the occupied cell;

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x_{Bij}} = \frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{Bij}} - u_i - v_j = 0 \Longrightarrow \frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{Bij}} = u_i + v_j$$

Thus,

$u_1 + v_2 = 8.52$	$u_1 + v_5 = 8.95$	$u_2 + v_3 = 6.52$	$u_2 + v_5 = 8.88$
$u_3 + v_1 = 13.64$	$u_3 + v_2 = 10.84$	$u_4 + v_1 = 11.97$	$u_4 + v_4 = 6.6$

Letting $u_1 = 0$, from the equation above, we obtain; $u_1 = 0$, $u_2 = -0.07$, $u_3 = 2.32$, $u_4 = 0.65$, $v_1 = 11.32$, $v_2 = 8.52$, $v_3 = 6.59$, $v_4 = 5.95$ and $v_5 = 8.95$

We proceed to find the net evaluation factor or the reduced costs for the non-basic variable.

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x_{11}} = \frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{11}} - u_1 - v_1 = 1.68 \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial z}{\partial x_{33}} = \frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{33}} - u_3 - v_3 = 6.09$$

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x_{13}} = \frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{13}} - u_1 - v_3 = 3.41 \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial z}{\partial x_{34}} = \frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{34}} - u_3 - v_4 = 3.73$$

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x_{14}} = \frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{14}} - u_1 - v_4 = 5.05 \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial z}{\partial x_{35}} = \frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{35}} - u_3 - v_5 = 3.73$$

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x_{21}} = \frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{21}} - u_2 - v_1 = 0.75 \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial z}{\partial x_{42}} = \frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{42}} - u_4 - v_2 = 6.83$$

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x_{22}} = \frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{22}} - u_2 - v_2 = 1.55 \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial z}{\partial x_{43}} = \frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{43}} - u_4 - v_3 = 5.76$$

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x_{24}} = \frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{24}} - u_2 - v_4 = -1.88 \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial z}{\partial x_{45}} = \frac{\partial f(\bar{x})}{\partial x_{45}} - u_4 - v_5 = 4.4$$

Available online: http://internationaljournalofresearch.org/



Available at http://internationaljournalofresearch.org/

Since all the reduced costs for the nonbasic variables are all positive, it implies \bar{x} is the KKT optimality point. Because optimal solution is our primary goal, we then proceed to make our allocation.

Hence, the feasible solution that 8000 crates of Sprite and 5000 crates of the same product should be supplied to Umuahia market zone and Eket respectively. 12000 crates of Coca-Cola and 4000 crates of the same product to Nnewi and Eket respectively. 9000 crates and 2000 crates of schwepes product should be supplied to Aba, and Umuahia market zones respectively. 1000, and 14000 crates of Fanta should be allocated to Aba and Orlu market zones respectively. Total cost = 8000 (9) + 5000(9) + 12000(7) + 4000(9)+9000(14) + 2000 (11) + 1000(12)+14000(8)= **№**509, 000.

8. Conclusion

We have described the transportation problem of Nigerian Bottling Company Ltd Owerri Plant as a non-linear transportation problem. We also applied KKT optimality algorithm to solve the company's problem. Note that our research centred on the model of the non-linear transportation problem for a particular company in Nigeria. It can however be applied to any situation that can be modeled as such.

This paper aimed at solving transportation problem with volume discount on quantity of goods shipped which is a non-linear transportation problem. Using KKT optimality algorithm, with a set of data from a Nigerian company Ltd Owerri Plant, it was observed that the optimal solution that gave minimum achievable cost of supply was the supply of 8000 crates of Sprite and 5000 crates of the same product to Umuahia market zone and Eket respectively; 12000 crates of Cocacola, and 4000 crates of the same product to Nnewi and Eket respectively; 9000 and 2000 crates of Schweppes product to Aba, and Umuahia market zones respectively; 1000, and 14000 crates of Fanta should be allocated to Aba and Orlu market zones respectively, at a cost of N509,000.

Using the more scientific transportation problem model for the company's transportation problem gave a better result. Management may benefit from approach proposed for their the transportation problem purposes. We recommend therefore that the transportation problem model should be adopted by the company for their transportation problem planning.

References

- Caputo, A.C. (2006). A genetic approach for freight transportation planning, *Industrial Management and Data Systems*, Vol. 106 No. 5.
- Ekezie D. D. and Opara J. (2013). The Application of Transportation Algorithm with Volume Discount on Distribution Cost. Journal of Emerging Trends in Engineering and Applied Sciences (JETEAS). Vol. 4 No. 2.
- Ekezie, D.D.; Ogbonna, J.C. and Opara,J. (2013): The Determination ofParadoxical Pairs in a LinearTransportation Problem.International Journal of



Available at http://internationaljournalofresearch.org/

p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 02 Issue 02

February 2015

Mathematics and Statistics Studies. Vol.1, No.3, pp.9-19, September 2013

Ekezie D.D., Opara J., and Mbachu H. I. (2013). Paradoxic sum of Linear Linear Fractional and а Transportation Problem. International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Modeling, IJA2M@KINDI PUBLICATIONS. Vol.1, No.4, 1-17. October, 2013. ISSN: 2336-0054.

- Kidist, T.(2007). Nonlinear Transportation Problems. A paper submitted to the department of mathematics of Addis Asaba University.
- Kikuchi, S.A. (2000). A method to defuzzify the number: transportation problem application, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol. 116.
- Lau, H.C.W.; Chan, T.M.; Tsui, W.T.; Chan, F.T.S.; HO, G.T.S and Choy K.L. (2009). A fuzzy guided multiobjective evolutionary algorithm model for solving Transportation problem. Expert System with Applications: An International Journal. Vol. 36.
- Lohgaonkar, M.H. and Bajaj, V.H. (2010). Fuzzy approach to solve multi-objective capacitated transportation problem, International Journal of Bioinformatics Research. Vol. 2.
- Simons, A.R. (2006). Nonlinear Programming for Operation research, *Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics*, Vol.10, No.1.
- Zangiabadi, M. and Maleki, H.R. (2007). Fuzzy goal programming for multi-objective transportation problems. Applied Mathematics

and Computation, vol. 24, pp. 449 – 460.