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#### Abstract

This paper presents a bit-serial architecture for efficient addition and multiplication in binary finite fields GF ( $2^{m}$ ) using a polynomial basis representation. Moreover, a low-voltage/low power implementation of the arithmetic circuits and the registers is pro-posed. The introduced multiplier operates over a variety of binary fields up to an order of $2^{m}$. We detail that the bit-serial multiplier architecture can be implemented with only $28 m$ gate equivalents, and that it is scalable, highly regular, and simple to design.


Index terms: Finite field arithmetic, bit-serial multiplier architec-ture, smart card cryptocoprocessor, low-power VLSI design.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Finite fields are increasingly important for many applications in cryptography and algebraic coding theory [4]. Certain properties of the binary finite field GF $\left(2^{m}\right)$ like its "carry-free" arithmetic make it very attractive for hardware implementation. Another advantage of $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ is the availability of different equivalent rep-resentations of the field elements, e.g. polynomial bases, normal bases, or dual bases. Depending on the applications, the degree $m$ of the field can vary over a wide range. In elliptic curve cryptography [3], $m$ has to be prime and is usually between 160 and 200, but it can also be as large as 500 or even more. The performance of an elliptic curve (EC) cryptosystem is primarily determined by the efficient implementation of the field arithmetic. In environments with limited computational power, such as smart cards, a hardware acceleration of the field arithmetic is necessary to
reach high performance, especially if $m$ is beyond 200.

According to the different basis representations, a variety of algorithms and architectures for multiplication in $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ have been proposed. As an example, we refer to [1] for a report on a Normal basis multiplier. However, in order to be compliant to well accepted standards for EC cryptography [5], the polynomial basis representation seems to be the best choice. From an architectural point of view, a polynomial basis multiplier can be realized in a bit-serial, digitserial, or bit-parallel fashion. For area-restricted devices like smart cards, the bit-serial architecture offers a fair area/performance trade-off. Bit-serial architectures for polynomial basis multiplication are well known since the early 1970s due to their exploration in coding theory [6], and later they have also been proposed for use in cryptography [2].

This paper presents a bit-serial multiplier architecture for the finite field $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ which is optimized for elliptic curve cryptogra-phy. Contrary to other designs, the proposed architecture can also perform addition of field elements, and it operates over a wide range of finite fields since it is neither restricted to a field of a given order, nor does it favor special irreducible polynomials like trinomials or pentanomials. The field multiplication is performed according to the shift-and-add principle and requires $m$ clock cycles. The reduction modulo the irreducible polynomial (IP) is realized by interleaved subtractions of the IP. Major advantages of the bit-serial architecture are low power consumption and a high degree of regularity, which makes it very attractive for VLSI implementation.

The remainder of this paper is structured as
follows: Section 2 provides some mathematical background information on the finite field GF( $2^{m}$ ) with an emphasis on the basic arithmetic operations (addition, multiplication). In Section 3, the bit-serial multiplier architecture is presented. Additionally, the execution of a fieldmultiplication is explained in Section 3.1. VLSI design related issues with a special focus on lowarea and low-power implementation of the field arithmetic are sketched in Section 4. The paper finishes with a summary of results (i.e. estimation of the silicon area) and conclusions in Section 5.

## 2. ARITHMETIC IN THE FINITE FIELD GF( $\mathbf{2}^{m}$ )

Abstractly, a finite field (or Galois field) consists of a finite set of elements together with the description of two operations (addition and multiplication) that can be performed on pairs of field elements. These operations must possess certain properties associativity and commutativity of both addition and multiplication, the distributive law, existence of an additive identity and a multiplicative identity, and existence of additive inverses as well as multiplicative inverses. $\mathrm{GF}(2)$ is the smallest possible finite field; it just contains the integers 0 and 1 as field elements. Addition and multiplication are performed modulo 2, therefore the addition is equivalent to the logical XOR, and the multiplication corresponds to the logical AND.

The binary finite field $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ contains $2^{m}$ elements where $m$ is a non-zero positive integer. Each of the $2^{m}$ elements of $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ can be uniquely represented with a polynomial of degree up to $m-1$ with coefficients in $\mathrm{GF}(2)$. For example, if $a(t)$ is an element in $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$, then one can have $m-1$
$a(t)={ }^{\mathrm{X}_{i}} t^{i}=a_{m-1} t^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{2} t^{2}+a_{1} t+a_{0}$ $i=0$ with $a_{i} \in\{0,1\}$. This binary polynomial can also be written in bit-string form as $A[m-1 . .0]$, whereby $A[i]$ corresponds to the coefficient $a_{i}$. Addition in $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ is implemented as component-wise XOR, while a multiplication can be performed modulo an irreducible polynomial $p(t)$ of degree $m$ with coefficients $p_{i}$ in $\mathrm{GF}(2)$. A
polynomial of degree $m$ is said to be irreducible over GF(2) if it can not be factored into a product of polynomials each of whose degree is less than $m$ with coefficients in GF(2).

### 2.1. Addition

The addition of two field elements of $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ is performed by adding the coefficients modulo 2 , which is nothing else than bit-wise XOR-ing the coefficients of equal powers of $t$. That is, if $a(t)$, $b(t) \in \mathrm{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$, the addition is done as follows:
$(m-1) a(t)+b(t)=c(t)=c_{i} t^{i}$ with $c_{i}=a_{i}+b_{i}$
$\bmod 2(2) i=0$
Compared to the addition of integers, the addition in $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ is much easier as it does not cause a carry propagation from less to more significant bit positions. A hardware implementation with an ensemble of $m$ XOR gates can perform the addition in constant time. In the finite field $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$, each element $a(t)$ is its own additive inverse since $a(t)+a(t)=0$, the additive identity. Thus, addition and subtraction are equivalent operations in $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$.

### 2.2. Multiplication

Contrary to $\mathrm{GF}(2)$, a number of different representations is com-monly used for the elements of a finite field $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$. The simplest representation is in polynomial basis, where the multiplication involves multiplying the two polynomials (carry-free coefficient multiplication) and then finding the residue modulo a given ir-reducible polynomial $p(t)$. In general, the reduction modulo an irreducible polynomial requires polynomial division. However, the polynomial division is very costly to implement in hardware. For an efficient implementation, it is necessary to find a method to perform the field multiplication without division.
One possibility is to interleave the reduction modulo $p(t)$ with the multiplication operation, instead of performing the reduction separately after
the multiplication of the polynomials is finished. This leads to a method which is generally known as "shift-and-add" multiplication, where the product is obtained by the addition of partialproducts, and the reduction is interleaved with the addition steps and performed by subtractions of the irreducible polynomial. The following pseudo-code describes this algorithm for multiplying two polynomials $a(t), b(t) \in \mathrm{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ modulo an irreducible polynomial $p(t)$ of degree $m$.

1. $r(t) \leftarrow 0$
2. for $i=m-1$ downto 0 do

2a. $r(t) \leftarrow t \cdot r(t)+a_{i} \cdot b(t)$
2b. if degree $(r(t))=m$ then $r(t) \leftarrow r(t)-p(t)$
3. return $r(t)$

The multiplication of two polynomials $a(t)$, $b(t)$ is done by scan-ning the coefficients of the multiplier-polynomial $a(t)$ from $a_{m-1}$ to $a_{0}$ and adding the partial-product $a_{i} \cdot b(t)$ to the intermediate result $r(t)$. The partial-product $a_{i}$ $\cdot b(t)$ is either 0 (if $a_{i}=0$ ) or the multiplicandpolynomial $b(t)$ (if $a_{i}=1$ ). After each partialproduct addition, the intermediate result must be multiplied by $t$ to align it for the next partialproduct. The reduction modulo the irreducible polynomial $p(t)$ is interleaved with the addition steps by subtraction of $p(t)$ whenever the degree of the intermediate result-polynomial is $m$.


Figure 1: MSB-first bit-serial multiplier architecture for $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{4}\right)$
3. MULTIPLIER ARCHITECTURE

A bit-serial multiplier architecture for $m$ bit operands has an area complexity of $O(m)$, and also the computation time is propor-tional to $m$, i.e. a multiplication in $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ requires $m$ clock cycles. In general, there exist two versions of bitserial multiplier architectures, depending on the schedule of the operands: The LSB-first version, and the MSB-first version. Figure 1 shows a MSB-first version of a bit-serial architecture for $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{4}\right)$ using polynomial basis representation. According to the bit-string notation introduced in Subsection 2.1, the coefficients $b_{i}, r_{i}, p_{i}$ of the multiplicand polynomial $b(t)$, the result polynomial $r(t)$, and the irreducible polynomial $p(t)$ are symbolized as $\mathrm{B}[\mathrm{i}], \mathrm{R}[\mathrm{i}]$, and $\mathrm{P}[\mathrm{i}]$, respectively.
The multiplier architecture depicted in Figure 1 employs multiplexers to switch between two modes of operation: Addition mode and multiplication mode. In addition mode (control signal mode $=0$ ), the upper inputs of the multiplexers in Figure 1 are propagated to the outputs. In this mode, the reduction (i.e. subtraction of $p(t))$ is disabled and the multiplier performs the addition $r(t) \leftarrow r(t)+b(t)$ if the multiplier bit $m r$ bit is set to 1 .

Alternatively, in multiplication mode, the
architecture illustrated in Figure 1 is in principle a direct mapping of the "shift-and-add" algorithm described in Subsection 2.2. The generation of the partial-product $a_{i} \cdot b(t)$ is simply done by a bitwise AND operation of the coefficient $a_{i}$ (the multiplier bit, denoted as $m r$ bit in Figure 1)
and all the coefficients of $b(t)$. The multiplication $t \cdot r(t)$ from line (2a.) of the algorithm is nothing else than a 1-bit left-shift of the bit-string representation of $r(t)$. The only point in which a multiplication in the presented multiplier architecture differs from the algorithm in Section 2.2 is the order of partial-product addition and reduction modulo $p(t)$, i.e. subtraction of the irreducible poly-nomial. In the architecture depicted in Figure 1, these operations are performed together within one clock cycle, which makes it necessary to subtract the irreducible polynomial $p(t)$ when the degree of $r(t)$ is $m-1$. However, the value to be subtracted from $r(t)$ is either 0 (if the coefficient $r_{m-1}=0$ ) or $p(t)$ (if $r_{m-1}=1$ ). Both cases require a bit-wise AND operation between $r_{m-1}$ and all coefficients $p_{i}$ of the irreducible polynomial $p(t)$. It turns out that the computation of $r(t)=a(t) \cdot b(t) \bmod p(t)$ requires $m$ steps; at each step we perform the following operations:

- Calculation of $t \cdot r(t)$ (a 1-bit left-shift).
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- Generation of the partial-product (logical AND between $a_{i}$ and the coefficients of $\bar{b}(t)$ ).
- Addition of the partial-product ( $m$-bit XOR operation).
- Generation of the subtrahend (logical AND between $r_{m-1}$ and the coefficients of $p(t)$ ).
- Subtraction of subtrahend ( $m$-bit XOR operation).
Thus, the required logical operations are reduced to AND, XOR and 1-bit left-shift operations, which makes an implementation of the bit-serial architecture very simple.


Figure 2: Block diagram of the proposed $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ multiplier
In the following we propose an implementation of the bit-serial architecture which can be used as a coprocessor in a smart card. The main design goal is to achieve the smallest possible silicon area. Some "tricks" employed to reach this goal will be presented later in this section. Figure 2 shows the major components of our bit-serial $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$-multiplier. The introduced design can be imple-mented to provide a classical coprocessor functionality: first the operands are loaded into registers within the multiplier, then the arithmetic operation (addition or multiplication) is executed, and finally the result can be fetched from register Result. Note that in Figure 2, the register for the
irreducible polynomial is not shown.
The register Multiplier is used to store the bitstring represen-tation of the multiplierpolynomial $a(t)$. This register must be able to perform 1-bit left-shift operations in MSB direction and an $m$-bit parallel load operation. The multiplicand-polynomial $b(t)$ is stored in the register Multiplicand. The register Multipli-cand is supposed to be able to carry out an $m$-bit parallel load operation and additionally a $w$-bit right-shift operation, whereby $w$ denotes the wordsize of the data bus (typically 8 or 16 bit). All data transfers from the multiplier core to registers outside the crypto-coprocessor and vice versa are performed via the register Multiplicand. Therefore, register Result supports parallel data transfer to register Multiplicand, and register Multiplier is able to perform parallel data transfer from register Multiplicand.

### 3.1. Execution of a Multiplication

In order to explain how a multiplication is carried out in the presented bit-serial multiplier, let us assume that at the beginning of the multiplication the multiplier-polynomial $a(t)$ resides in register Multiplier and the multiplicand-polynomial $b(t)$ is stored in register Multiplicand. After the register Result has been cleared, the register Multiplier is shifted bit by bit in MSB direction, which delivers the multiplier-bits (i.e. the coefficients $a_{i}$ ) to the $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$ arithmetic unit, beginning with $a_{m-1}$. The calculation of the intermediate result $r(t)$ is performed as described earlier in this section. After the final coefficient $a_{0}$ has been processed, the result of the multiplication resides within register Result. From there it can be loaded into register Multiplicand, where it might act as multiplicand-polynomial for the next multiplication, or from where it can be transferred to the world outside the multiplier.

## 4. IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

In this section we present a possible implementation of the regis-ters and the arithmetic circuits. Due to the high regularity of the multiplier architecture, the register cells and the arithmetic cells essentially determine the overall size of the crypto-coprocessor. It turns out that transmissions gates are very useful for an area and power optimized implementation of the proposed field multiplier.

### 4.1. Implementation of the Field Arithmetic

Transmission gates are often used in digital design as they allow simple realizations of complex circuits. But when designing transmission gate based devices, one has to be aware of some problems which are specific for that circuit class. A transmission gate is not an ideal switch, has limited drive strength, and causes delay.


Figure 3: Transmission gate XOR
An example of the effective use of transmission gates is the popular XOR circuit shown in Figure 3 (see [7]). This XOR gate requires only eight transistors (including the inverter at the output). compared to the twelve transistors required for a complementary implementation. Moreover, the presented XOR circuit does not require
complementary input signals and is very well suited for low-voltage low-power applications. The XNOR function can be realized in a similar way as the presented XOR circuit.


Figure 4: 1-bit arithmetic cell


Figure 5: Static register cell with two data inputs.

Figure 4 shows a 1-bit arithmetic cell which can be used in the GF( 2 m )-multiplier. The arithmetic cell performs generation and addition of the partial-product, as well as subtraction of the irreducible polynomial if the degree of the resultpolynomial is $\mathrm{m}-1$. Each arithmetic cell contains a multiplexer to switch between addition mode and multiplication mode. A multiplexer can be implemented with two transmission gates and an inverter (see [7], p. 212). In this case, the presented arithmetic cell consists of 30 transistors.
4.2. Static Register Cell Static CMOS logic is known to be fast, offers a large noise margin, and is very easy to design. But also from the viewpoint of power consumption, static register
cells provide a significant advantage compared to their dynamic counterparts: They do not require a clock signal to store their logical state. The principle of a gated clock is often used in synchronous digital systems to prevent inactive circuits from consuming unnecessary power. The basic idea of clock gating is to mask off the clock to registers which are idle. Synchronous loadenable registers can be realized in this way by inserting AND gates into the clock network. The clock pulses are prevented from propagating to the registers whenever the load enable signal is false. In summary, gating the clock of a register saves power in two ways: First, a register consumes significantly less power when it is not clocked since clock toggling is generally considered to cause substantial power dissipation in flip-flops. Second, also the corresponding portion of the clock tree does not consume power when the clock signal is masked off. Especially for the register Multiplicand, a gated
clock can be advantageous since this register is only active during operand loading and is always idle for the remaining time of the multiplication. A static register cell which provides serial and parallel load can be composed of four transmission gates, four inverters and a multiplexer,asshowninFigure5.
Whenthemultiplexerisrealized by two transmission gates and an inverter, the static register cell consists of 22 transistors.

## 5. SUMMARY OF RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

A 1-bit multiplier cell of the proposed bit-serial architecture consists of 3 register cells and the 1bit arithmetic circuit illustrated in Figure 4. Additionally, a simple register cell for the irreducible polynomial is required, which can be implemented with 16 transistors. Thus, the overall transistor count of the 1-bit multiplier cell is 112,
which results in 28 m gates for an m-bit multiplier. A multiplier based on the presented architecture has a linear array structure with a bit-slice feature. The multiplication requires $m$ cycles, whereby the max. clock frequency is independent of m , but primarily determined by the delay of the arithmetic circuit. Low power consumption can be achieved by clock gating, and contrary to LSBfirst architectures only two registers (Result and Multiplier) have to be clocked at any given cycle. An m-bit multiplier operates over a variety of fields. For example, a multiplier originally dimensioned for 200 bits can also be used for fields of smaller order (e.g. 163 bits) by leftaligning all operands in the registers. Therefore, the presented design is very well suited to implement a small-area and low-power GF(2m)multiplier for elliptic curve cryptography.

## REFERENCES

[1] G.B.Agnew,R.C.Mullin,andS.A.Vanstone. Animplementationofellipticcurvecryptosystemso verF2155. IEEEJournal on Sel. Areas in Communications, 11(5):804-813, June 1993. [2] T. Beth, B. M. Cook, and D. Gollmann. Architectures for exponentiation in $\mathrm{GF}(2 \mathrm{n})$. Advances in Cryptology - CRYPTO '86, LNCS 263 pp. 302-310. Springer Verlag, 1987. [3] I. F. Blake, G. Seroussi, and N. P. Smart. Elliptic Curves in Cryptography. Cambridge University Press, 1999. [4] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter. Introduction to Finite Fields and Their Applications. Cambridge University Press, 1994. [5] National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). Recommended elliptic curves for federal government use, 1999. [6] W. W. Peterson and E. J. Weldon. Error-Correcting Codes. MIT Press, second edition, 1972. [7] J. M. Rabaey. Digital Integrated Circuits - A Design Perspective. Prentice Hall, 1996.

