



“Study Of Common Reasoning Errors Associate With The Social Issues Related With Child Protection And Child Abuse.”

Author Name.... Dr. SHAIKH AHMAD S/O SHAIKH ISMAIL.

Working as a Lab. Scientific Officer in Civil Hospital Mudkhed, District Nanded.

B.Sc.(Chemistry), M.Sc.(Ecology & Environmental Science), M.Sc.(Chemistry), M.Sc.(Information Technology), P.hD. (Environmental Science). L.L.B. (Bachelor Of LAW), M.Phil (Social Work), M.S.W.(Medical & Psychiatric), M.B.A.(Marketing Management), M.A.(English Literature), M.A.(Clinical Psychology), M.A.(Human Rights), M.A.(Rural Development), M.A.(Economics), B.J.(Media Science), M.J.(Print Media), Post Graduate Diploma In Medical Lab. Technology (P.G.D.M.L.T), Post Graduate Diploma In Rural Development (P.G.D.R.D), Post Graduate Diploma in Forensic Science And Criminology (P.G.D.F.S.C.), L.L.M.(Master of Law In Criminology Appeared.)

E-mail: shaikh.ahmad524@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The balanced scorecard (BSC) appears to be the most recent administration fad to seize the institutional world. Notwithstanding its evident reputation, there is only restricted methodical investigative-dependent proof related to BSC uses. The objective of the current research is to identify how BSCs are used in Finland and why firms implement them. The study included a sequence of semi-structured interviews in 17 firms. It seems that BSCs are primarily employed in two varied manners. The first handles management by objectives. The second is to employ BSCs solely as an information system. Furthermore, the current inferences recommend that the construct of correlating procedures together depending on a presumed reason-and-impact associations was not properly comprehended by the initial users of BSCs. The supply-side forces appear to have a crucial function while describing the reputation of BSCs. These inferences, in addition to the description of BSC, are explained and constructs for additional studies are put forth.

Keywords : Child Abuse , Child Protection, Reasoning Errors, Social Issues,

Introduction:

In the past century neglect and abuse of child have been identified as leading social issues. The public have become interested about securing children at hazards. Their interest has been intensified by tragic and publicize children deaths highly at their parent's hands. Inquiries have been held into

suspected child sexual abuse cases where it appears that professionals were eliminating children too readily and affecting severe trauma to families (Department of Health, 1988 and Scottish Office, 1992). Complete accuracy is an unreal anticipation but in certain cases it seems that on the proof accessible professionals drew the incorrect



inferences and that the consequent distress and tragedies could have been avoided. Soon it became evident that the inquiries were generating common interests of services offered to family and child. Several reports reviews sought to recognize recurrent errors (DHSS, 1982 and Department of Health, 1991a). Dingwall (1986, p 489) comments on inquiries findings repetitive character recommending that these inquiries failing to make final influence on occupations everyday practice and firms under scrutiny. In its second inquiries review the Health department mentions that there is no systematic supervision to set up whether staffs are learning from errors but that tragedies are not existing and there is no proof of development (1991a, p 109). Children continue to die and public inquiries continue to be held at rate of more than one year. This research study initiated from premise that the author would be good enough to devise guidelines to develop practice if the author perceives not only errors keep reoccurring but what they perform so. Resources and time are evident limitations on practice but this study's focus was on the processes of reasoning of involved professionals. The

study included inquiry reports content analysis over a 20 year time duration in a framework derived from human reasoning's psychological study.

In old centuries reasoning study two leading kinds have been recognized commonly namely intuitive and analytic. Analytic reasoning is described as a logically defensible and a step by step conscious method (Hammond, 1996, p 60). They are always indicated as competitive kind of thought with people acquiring a view of partisan of their respective advantages. Analytic reasoning has the benefit of being explicit and clear about how it attains an inference. On the other side intuition is related with imagery, creativity and imagination but its critics charge it of being obscurantist and irrational generating notions without clear justification. The intuition strengths are shown in circumstances requiring a fast digest of several factors namely human communications. There as often been controversy about which reasoning form is most proper in caring professions. Presently those discussing for an analytic scientific process have been dominant but they have been dominant but they have been

challenged by those claiming that the rapport of doctor patient based on intuitive understanding is a difficult healing element. In the always heated discussions between intuitive and analytic thinkers the two processes tend to be presented as competitors. In the work of child protection the two kinds of reasoning are visible easily. Several professionals particularly social workers depend heavily in skills of intuition in practice (Farmer and Owen, 1995, Thorpe, 1994, Parsloe and Stevenson, 1978). Efforts to develop practice tend to acquire an analytic kind for instance the growth of risk assessment guidelines, instruments and checklists. There is a big psychological research body that describes the intuitive skills defects. Kleinmuntz and Schkade (1993) summarizing the observations infer research's two decades have emphasized the defects of decision making processes and human judgment. In making judgments everyday people acquire mental shortcuts. If they were rational perfectly they would regard entire proof carefully before attaining an inference as professionals are desired to perform in practice guidelines for work of child protection. Usually people chose to predict ways of simplifying reasoning by

acquiring shortcuts risking a greater error level. In psychology research has revealed that people are not rational thinkers who have lapses occasionally. Instead they tend to chose imperfect but simpler ways of reasoning. They create norms that decrease critical tasks of judgment to easier ones by limiting the number of data they regard. These norms are better enough in everyday situations but in certain situations they lead to persistent and large biases with severe suggestions for decision making (Kahneman, Slovic and Tversky, 1990, p 464). This study investigated the hypothesis that numerous recurrent errors contributing to tragic results are due to bias implemented by using reasoning's everyday habits in reviewing and assessing cases.

Objective:

Repeated enquiries of public into tragedies of child abuse in Britain describe the public concern level about the services configured to secure children. These inquiries recognize mistakes in practice of professionals but the differences in their observations represent that they are having inadequate influence on developing practice. This study is based on



the hypotheses that the repeated mistakes may be definable as instances of typical mistakes of human reasoning recognized by psychological research.

Methods:

The sample consists of entire inquiry reports of child abuse issued in Britain between 1973 and 1994. Using a content examination and a framework derived from psychological study in reasoning a study was made of reasoning of professionals included and the inquiries findings.

Results:

It was predicted that assessments based on professionals of risk on a wide number of proof. It was based towards the data accessible to them readily overlooking essential information known to other professionals. The extent was biased towards much memorable information that is towards proof that was concrete, vivid, emerging emotion and either the last or first data acquired. The proof was always faulty to dishonest or biased errors or reporting in interaction. An analytic attitude to proof was predicted to correlate with whether or not the new data assisted the existing family

view. A major issue was that professionals were gradual to revise their judgments despite a mounting body of proof against them.

Conclusions:

In professional reasoning errors in work of child protection are not unexpected but predictable on research basis on how people simplify the processes of reasoning intuitively in making composite judgments. These mistakes can be decreased if people are aware of them and strive to avoid them consciously. Support to reasoning required to be evolved and identify the intuitive reasoning leading role but provide methods for verifying intuitive judgments much systematically and rigidly.

Methodology:

The study investigated entire inquiry reports of child abuse issued in Britain between 1973 and 1994 a total of 45 reports. One other report (Humberside Child Protection Committee, 1990) was excluded because only the inferences were made public and these consistent inadequate details for any examination of practice of professionals. The reports content analysis was undertaken



in which criticisms of inquiries of professional practice were categorized and recognized according to the kind of mistake mentioned. A record was comprised of professionals involved. A qualitative software programme was utilized to facilitate manipulation of data in terms of counting every criticism frequency and examining the contexts in which they existed. One restriction of the analysis was that documents were not ready for this research study specifically. They share a common target of attempting to describe why the tragedy existed but they differ in size from little pamphlets to considerable books. Therefore they differ highly in the number of detail they involve and in their coverage of problems of interests to perform this research study. This influences the analysis precision. The social workers practice acquired most of the attention in inquiries because of their major role in protection of child but other professionals contributions were enclosed to different extent as most of the major decisions were multi professional.

Discussions:

Inquiries are a biased practice sample since they concentrate on cases with a tragic results. However there are better grounds for regarding them to be representative. Professionals who have read them have usually agreed them as capable and typical of providing lessons for others. No powerful efforts have been made to order them as instances of poor practice unusually. There are other observed practice studies that confirm the practice picture depicted in the reports (Social Services Inspectorate, 1993; Corby, 1996). Farmer and Owen's (1995) study assists the professionals slowness findings in revising assessments of risk. In a study of 120 case conferences they predicted that initial assessment and case management patterns were not re-appraised critically but endorsed at consequent reviews generally even when it was deficient (Farmer and Owen, 1995, p 258). This study assists the observations on what proof is utilized. A focus on present was evident at case conferences. Present data acquired from social work and police examination dominated conferences. The emphasis was not on past evolution but on giving brief verbal accounts of what appeared at this stage, what members of family had said and



how they reacted to examination. The analysis findings of inquiry reports grant with human reasoning's psychological research. In child protection professionals are not uncommon in owning onto views despite comparative proof. The observations on the kind of data that was used under are what would be anticipated from psychological study. The Kahneman psychologist explains social world as always informative enormously (Kahneman et al, 1990) and professionals with heavy loads of case and restricted time can feel immersed easily by the extent of essential details to regard when assessing a family.

Facts are memorable if they are concrete, vivid and emerge emotion and this fits this study findings namely the dull abstract material in case records, research studies, reports and letters was overlooked while the current vivid data from interviews was remembered. Hence social worker who has formed a better view of a family is much probable to treat new allegation of skepticism abuse. Present events occur much readily than past ones and this is described in the way professionals become absorbed in issues of present day and fails to withdraw and place present events into a bigger term

family assessment. This bias can be strong in preserving the present risk assessment by obscuring the behavior pattern or frequency with which little events are happening. These observations recommend that one way of developing practice of child protection is to conceive strategies that offset the errors and biases to which reasoning of human is unsafe. In Hammond's (1996) framework of an intuitive/analytic continuum analytic components are required to add intuitive skills and shift reasoning practice along the continuum towards end of intuition. It is impractical to regard that the author could remove the element of intuition. The statistical issues of finding rare incidents integrated with restricted predictive factors knowledge for mean of abuse that any instrument used in a usual way will generate greater inaccuracy level unacceptably. Browne evaluated that on existing risk factors knowledge if the author screen 10000 children in usual population the author would miss 7 cases of high risk recognize 33 and recognize another 1000 population falsely and 195 families as greater risks (Brownie and Saqi, 1988). Memory is a major factor in generating bias



in the proof used in making judgments. Strategies are required to compensate for the way certain data are far much retrieved easily than others. Vivid data details are imagined easily, concrete, charged emotionally and spring to mind much readily than by gone abstract, statistical or pallid. In work of child protection this means written records, past history, reseach observations and abstract theory tend to be under used compared with present always charged emotionally, factual data acquired in interviews. Present strategies to help practitioners usually include guidelines and checklists that provide equal emphasis to entire information areas. Better records are important in enhancing access to essential information about the past history of family their developing conduct patterns, parenting record or essential behavior changes. Another major issue to resolve is developing ability of practitioners to alter their minds besides developing the extent of proof used. For professionals one strategy suggested by psychologists is to think that they are acquiring the converse view point and to imagine of reasons why their penalty might be incorrect. Reviewing judgments essentially is a difficult activity not only

mentally intellectually but also emotionally. Practitioners evolve close rapport with their clients. The decisions and judgments in work of child protection have leading repercussions on lives of those included. Case conferences have essential functions in exchange of data and as a source of security and strength for professionals (Hallett and Birchall, 1992) but it may be impractical to anticipate them to offer the setting for critical review.

Conclusion:

Identifying child abuse and assessing risk and neglect are critical activities. Mistakes of any type have difficult consequences for parents and their children whether a child is left in a severe house or families are categorized unimportantly. Certain errors are inevitable because they are due to their restricted knowledge. Others emerging from mistakes in human reasoning are avoidable. This inquiry analysis reports describes that these mistakes are not random but predictable on research basis in psychology about how people simplify the processes of reasoning in making composite judgments. Mistakes can be decreased if people are aware of them and strive to avoid them

consciously. The challenge is to devise support to reasoning that identify the major intuition role and do not seek to parallel or ignore it but utilizing their understanding of its known weaknesses provideways of augmenting and testing it. Intuitive reasoning tends to be biased towards that which is concrete, vivid, emotive and either the most recent or first. Better checklist and records are important to decrease this prejudice but could be much efficient if they mention the areas which professionals failed to notice. The other prominent human reasoning weakness is a reluctance to alter mind of an individual. The judgments of professionals must be considered as valuable but fallible required to be handled as hypotheses needing further testing. Given the ingenuity of people's ways of owning on to trust, supervisors and practitioners face an analytic task in developing a much critical attitude. However given the significance of accuracy in work of child protection in human suffering terms it is a challenge that required to be faced.

References:

[1] Birchall E. and Hallett C. (1995).
Working Together in Child

Protection. Edinburgh, Scotland, HMSO.

[2] Bridge Child Care Consultancy (1991). *Sukina: An evaluation report of the circumstances*

[3] Browne K. and Saqi S. (1988). *Early Prediction and Prevention of Child Abuse*. Chichester, England, Wiley.

[4] Cheshire Central Review Committee for Child Abuse (1982). *The report of an Inquiry Panel into the examination of the implications of the death of a child*. Chester, England, Cheshire County Council.

[5] Corby B. (1987). *Working with Child Abuse*. Milton Keynes, England, Open University Press.

[6] Corby B., Millar M. and Young L. (1996). Parental participation in child protection work: re-thinking the rhetoric. *British Journal of Social Work*, **26**, 475-492.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.bjsw.a011120>

[7] Dept. of Health (1988). *Report of the Inquiry into Child Abuse in Cleveland*. London, HMSO.

- [8] Dept. of Health (1991a). *Child Abuse: A Study of Inquiry Reports, 1980-89*. London, HMSO.
- [9] Dept. of Health (1991b). *Working Together under the 1989 Children Act*. London, HMSO.
- [10] Dept. of Health and Social Security (1979). *The Report of the Committee of Inquiry into the Actions of the Authorities and Agencies relating to Darryn James Clarke*. London, HMSO.
- [11] Dept. of Health and Social Security (1982). *Child Abuse: A Study of Inquiry Reports, 1973-81*. London, HMSO.
- [12] Dingwall R. (1986). The Jasmine Beckford Affair. *The Modern Law Review*, **49**, 489-507. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2230.1986.tb01700.x>
- [13] Farmer E. and Owen M. (1995). *Child Protection Practice: Private Risks and Public Remedies*. London, Department of Health.
- [14] Hallett C. and Birchall E. (1992). *Co-ordination and Child Protection: A review of the literature*. Edinburgh, Scotland, HMSO.
- [15] Hammond K. (1996). *Human Judgement and Social Policy*. Oxford, England, Oxford University Press.
- [16] Humberside Child Protection Committee (1990). *A report of a panel of enquiry instituted by the Humberside Child Protection Committee into the quality of foster care provided by Mr and Mrs 'A' for children placed in their care*. Hull, England, Humberside Child Protection Committee
- [17] Janis I. (1982). *Groupthink: Psychological Studies of Policy Decisions and Fiascoes*. Boston MA, Houghton Mifflin.
- [18] Kahneman D., Slovic P. and Tversky A. (1990). *Judgements under uncertainty: Heuristics and biases*. Cambridge, England, Cambridge University Press.
- [19] Kleinmuntz D. and Schkade D. (1993). Information displays and decision processes. *Psychological Science*, **4**, 221-229. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.1993.tb00265.x>

- [20] Koriat A., Lichtenstein S. and Fischhoff B. (1980). Reasons for Confidence. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Learning and Memory*, **6**, 107-118. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0278-7393.6.2.107>
- [21] Kuhn T. (1970). *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*. Chicago IL, Chicago University Press.
- [22] *leading to her death*. London, Bridge Child Care Consultancy.
- [23] London Borough of Brent (1985) *A Child in Trust: the report of the panel of inquiry into the circumstances surrounding the death of Jasmine Beckford*, London, London Borough of Brent.
- [24] London Borough of Greenwich (1987) *A Child in Mind: Protection of Children in a Responsible Society*, London, London Borough of Greenwich.
- [25] London Borough of Hillingdon (1986) *Report of the Review Panel of the London Borough of Hillingdon Area Review Committee on Child Abuse into the Death of Heidi Koseda*, London, Borough of Hillingdon.
- [26] Munro E. (1996). Avoidable and unavoidable mistakes in child protection work. *British Journal of Social Work*, **26**, 793-808. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.bjsw.a011160>
- [27] Munro E. (1998). *Understanding Social Work: An Empirical Approach*. London, Athlone Press. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/32392>
- [28] Norfolk County Council (1975). *Report of the Review Body appointed to enquire into the case of Steven Meurs, 1975*. Norwich, England, Norfolk County Council.
- [29] Nottinghamshire Area Child Protection Committee (1994). *Report of Overview Group into the Circumstances Surrounding the Death of Leanne White*. Nottingham, England, Nottinghamshire County Council.
- [30] Oldham District Review Committee (1986). *Review of Child Abuse Procedures*. Oldham, England, Oldham Council.



- [31] Parsloe P. and Stevenson O. (1978). *Social Services Teams: The Practitioner's View*. London, HMSO.
- [32] Plous S. (1993). *The Psychology of Judgement and Decision Making*. Philadelphia PA, Temple University Press.
- [33] Social Services Inspectorate (1993). *Evaluating Child Protection Services: Findings and Issues*. London, Department of Health.
- [34] Sutherland S. (1992). *Irrationality: The Enemy Within*. London, Constable.
- [35] Thorpe D. (1994). *Evaluating Child Protection*. Buckingham, England, Open University Press.
- [36] Vernon J. and Fruin D. (1986). *In Care: A Study of Social Work Decision Making*. London, National Children's Bureau.
- [37] Wald M. and Woolverton M. (1990) Risk assessment: the emperor's new clothes? *Child Welfare*, LXIX (6): 483-511.
- [38] Wandsworth Area Child Protection Committee (1989). *The Report of the Stephanie Fox Practice Review*. London, London Borough of Wandsworth.