



Nutritional Evaluation of some promising top foliages of Aizawl district of Mizoram

A.K.Samanta*, B.K.Das and S. Pawar

Department of Animal Nutrition College of Veterinary sciences and Animal Husbandry
Central Agricultural University Selesih, Aizawl, mizoram-796014, India

Corresponding author E mail. aksamanta73@gamil.com

Abstract :

Leaves of ten tree species *Ficus elastica* (Theirit hnah), *Schima wallichii* (Khang hnah), *Duabanga grandiflora* (Zuang hnah), *Artocarpus heterophyllus* (Lamkuang hnah), *Rhus semialata* (Khawmhma), *Albizia chinensis* (Vang), *Wendlandia tinctoria* (Batling), *Ficus hirta* (Sazutheipui), *Ficus prostrata* (Theitit) and *Derris robusta* (Thingkha hnah) were evaluated for their chemical composition, fibre fractions and in vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD) by Rumen Simulation Technique (RUSITEC). The crude protein, ether extract, total ash, Neutral Detergent fibre, Acid detergent fibre, and hemicelluloses content in different species of tree leaves ranged from 9.03 to 29.65%, 1.54 to 6.44%, 5.05 to 18.28%, 30.01 to 59.53%, 22.46 to 51.77%, 4.11 to 23.4% respectively. The IVDMD% of different species of tree leaves by rumen simulation technique varied from 38.25% to 58.99%. The Ca(%), P(%), Zn(ppm), Fe(ppm) & Cu(ppm) content in the top foliages varied from 0.77-2.96, 0.13- 0.61, 13.88 – 43.07, 72.73 – 323.17 and 7.51 – 56.44 respectively. The macro mineral (Ca & P) and micro mineral status of the tree leaves are within the normal range. Based on the present study, *Ficus hirta*, *Ficus prostrata*, *Albizia chinensis* and *Derris robusta* seem to have good nutritional potential for ruminants.

Key words: Tree leaves; chemical composition; drymatter digestibility; RUSITEC; macro & micro mineral

Introduction: The North Eastern Region (NER) of India comprising of 8 states namely Arunachal Pradesh, Assam, Manipur, Meghalaya, Mizoram, Nagaland, Tripura and Sikkim falls under the high rainfall zone and the climate ranges from subtropical to alpine. Mizoram, one of the states of North-Eastern hilly region of India is situated between the 20.58 and 23.35 degrees north latitude and 92.15 and 93.29 degrees east longitude. Agriculture has been one of the main occupation in Mizoram in which animal husbandry occupies a potential source of rural economy. Animal husbandry is an inseparable part of economy in Mizoram, as this state is dominated by mono cropping and crop failure often pushes the farmer's economy at the verge of collapse. Feed is a vital component as feed amounts to major input of cost of livestock production. Feeding of livestock costs more than 60 -65% of total recurring inputs. Fodder scarcity remains one of the major constraints in animal production which is all the more acute in a hilly state like Mizoram with very less area under the fodder cultivation and progressive decline of pasture lands further aggravates the situation. Due to lack of adequate transport facilities, import of feed ingredients from other states is very costly. So, the livestock particularly ruminants are largely dependent on the local vegetation. In this regard, the role of top foliages in the diet of ruminant animals is considered particularly important in the North East India. Tree foliages represent an important source of cellulosic biomass for feeding ruminants throughout the world. The tree leaves not only provide a cheap source of nitrogen, energy and micronutrients, but have also many other advantages like their wide spread availability and easy accessibility to farmers. The tree leaves can be harvested



48 and either directly fed as green fodder or sun dried and used in compounded protein
49 supplements and in complete feed block. Keeping in view the importance of tree foliages in
50 ruminant feeding, the present study was undertaken to exploit the nutritional potential of tree
51 leaves available in Aizawl district of Mizoram for ruminants feeding.

52

53 **Materials and methods:** The samples of commonly available tree leaves viz, *Ficus*
54 *elastica* (Thelrit hnah), *Schima wallichii* (Khang hnah), *Duabanga grandiflora* (Zuang hnah),
55 *Artocarpus heterophyllus* (Lamkhuan hnah), *Rhus semialata* (Khawmhma), *Albizia*
56 *chinensis* (Vang), *Wendlandia tinctoria* (Batling), *Ficus hirta* (sazutheipui), *Ficus prostrata*
57 (Theitit), *Derris robusta* (Thingkha hnah), were collected from Aizawl district of Mizoram
58 state. The leaves were individually sun dried and ground to pass 1 mm screen and stored in
59 screw capped plastic bottles for further analysis. These samples were analyzed for chemical
60 composition (AOAC, 1995), fibre fractions (Goering and Van Soest, 1970) and calcium
61 content (Talapatra et al., 1940). Phosphorus was determined by spectrophotometric method as
62 described by AOAC, 1995. Dry matter digestibility of different species of tree leaves was
63 estimated by Rumen Simulation Technique (RUSITEC) (Czerkawski and Breckenridge, 1977
64 and Jayasuria *et al.*, 1987). The instrument used for determining in vitro dry matter
65 digestibility (IVDMD) was Rusi-E-Tek (Eaga Tools and Instruments, Chennai). Rumen liquor
66 was collected from goat. 500 ml strained rumen liquor, 200 ml artificial saliva (McDougall,
67 1948) and 100 ml distilled water were placed in each reaction vessel. Approximately 80 gm
68 of solid rumen contents in a nylon bag and a quantity of grinded feed in another bag were
69 weighed and placed into the perforated feed container. After 24 hrs all the nylon bags were
70 removed and processed for determining IVDMD %. All samples were processed by
71 following standard procedure and micro mineral content of top foliages was estimated by
72 Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (Model No. SENSAA, GBC, Australia) The data
73 observed were subjected to standard statistical analysis by statistical method of Snedecor and
74 Cochran (1994) for discussion and interpretation of results.

75

76

77 **Results and discussions:**78 **Chemical composition:**

79 There was a wide variation in the crude protein content of the top foliages (Table 1). Most of
80 the tree leaves have a medium to high range of crude protein (CP) with the highest in *Albizia*
81 *chinensis* (29.65%) and lowest in *Schima wallichii* (9.03%). The CP content (on DM basis) in
82 *Ficus hirta*, *Ficus prostrate* and *Derris robusta*, was found to be 19.91%, 25.60% and
83 21.81%, respectively. Crude protein content in the seven sample out of the ten sample was
84 found to be more than 14% indicating the potential of these tree leaves as protein supplement.
85 The findings are similar with the observation of Kanhal & Subba, 2001 who reported that
86 crude protein content of the fodder tree species was higher than 11.0%. Subba, 1999 reported
87 that tree leaves containing more than 14% CP sufficient for medium level of production
88 performances from the ruminants. Sheikh *et al.* (2011) observed that different species of the
89 foliages of Kargil district of Ladakh was varied from 8.29 – 25.35%. The Ether extract
90 content of different top foliages ranged from 1.54 to 6.44%. The ether extract content was
91 below 5.0% in all tree leaves except *Rhus semialata* (5.06%) and *Ficus elastica* (6.45%).
92 Similar observation on EE content of the top foliages was reported by Azim *et al.*, 2011.

93 **Fibre fractions :**

94 Fibre fraction (NDF, ADF & Hemi-cellulose) composition of the top foliages are presented in
95 the Table 1. The NDF content was highest in *Artocarpus heterophyllus* (59.53%) and lowest
96 in *Ficus hirta* (30.01%) The ADF content in leaves of different tree leaves varied from
97 22.46% (*Ficus prostrate*) to 51.77% (*Artocarpus heterophyllus*). The results are in

98 agreement with the findings of Prakash *et al.*, (2007). Hemicellulose content varied from
 99 4.11% in *Ficus elastic* to 23.46% in *Ficus prostrate*. Hemicellulose content in most of the top
 100 foliages was low. The NDF%, ADF% & hemicelluloses % of the top foliages are comparable
 101 with the earlier findings of Prakash *et al.* (2007) and Bakshi *et al.* (2006). However, the lower
 102 values of fibre fractions were reported by Singh *et al.*, 2009. The differences in chemical
 103 composition, fibre fractions could be due to variation in agro climatic condition, soil fertility,
 104 season harvesting methodology and sample processing methods.

105 **In vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD)**

106 The in vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD) by RUSITEC varied from 36.55% in *Albizia*
 107 *chinensis* to 58.99% in *Derris robusta*. The IVDMD% of *Wendlandia tinctoria*, *Ficus hirta*,
 108 *Ficus prostrate* was 56.23%, 55.29% and 55.06% respectively. Similar finding on IVDMD
 109 was reported by Datt *et al.* (2008). The lower IVDMD% in some top foliages under the study
 110 could be due to high fibre content.

111

112 **Macro & micro mineral status**

113 Concentration of macro (Ca & P) and micro (Cu, Fe & Zn) minerals is presented in Table 2
 114 The calcium content of the tree leaves was varied from 0.77% to 2.96%. Most of the top
 115 foliages had higher calcium content, more than 1.0% with as high as 2.96% in *Derris robusta*.
 116 High calcium level in tree leaves is very useful for the lactating cattle to prevent them from
 117 milk fever. Phosphorus content in the top foliages ranged from 0.13 to 0.61%. The
 118 phosphorus content in the entire sample was below 1%. Phosphorus content was
 119 comparatively lower in all the top foliages. P is one of the most important minerals for many
 120 metabolic processes in animals (Conrads *et al.*, 1988) and its deficiency may lead to poor
 121 reproductive performances (Paterson *et al.*, 2006). Fe content of the top foliages ranged from
 122 72.73 ppm (*Duabanga grandiflora*) to 323.17 ppm (*Derris robusta*). It was observed that
 123 most of the top foliages in Aizawl districts of Mizoram are high in Fe content which could
 124 mostly be attributed to acidic soils (McDowell, 1997). However, high Fe content would not
 125 have any detrimental effects because ruminants can tolerate much higher level of Fe (>1000
 126 ppm) if it is from the natural feed resources (NRC, 1978). Cu content of the top foliages
 127 varied from 7.51 ppm to 56.44 ppm. Most of the top foliages had higher Cu concentration
 128 than normal requirement range. Zn content in the top foliage ranged from 13.88 ppm to 43.07
 129 ppm. The highest concentration of Zn was found in *Ficus hirta*. Most of the top foliages had
 130 Zn levels within the normal requirement range.

131

132 **Conclusion:** Based on the chemical composition, fibre fractions and in vitro dry matter
 133 digestibility, *Ficus hirta*, *Ficus prostrata*, *Albizia chinensis* and *Derris robusta* seem to
 134 have good nutritional potential for ruminants.

135

136 **References**

137

138

- 139 [1.] AOAC (1995). Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International. 16th Edition, vol.
 140 2, Arlington, Virginia.
 141 [2.] Azim, A., Ghazanfar, S., Latif, A. and Nadeem, M.A. (2011). Nutritional evaluation
 142 of some top fodder tree leaves and shrubs of district Chakwal, Pakistan in relation to
 143 ruminants requirements. Pakistan J. Nutr., 10 (1), 54-59.
 144 [3.] Bakshi, M. P. S., Wadhwa, M. and Rana, K.K. (2006). Nutritional value of tree leaves
 145 for livestock from a semi-hilly arid region of India. A publication prepared under the
 146 framework of an RCA project with technical support of the Joint FAO/IAEA
 147 Programme of Nuclear Techniques in Food and Agriculture., Part-2, 3, 73-190.



- 148 [4.] Conrad, J.H., McDowel, L.R., Ellis, G.L., Loosli, J.K., (1985). Mineraux pour les
149 ruminants de pâturage des régions tropicales. In: Bibliothèque du Congrès: 84-72137.
150 D'épartement de Zootechnie, Université de Floride, Floride, USA, p. 96.
- 151 [5.] Czerkawski, J.W. and Breckenridge, G. (1977). Design and development of a long term
152 simulation technique (Rusitec). *British Journal of Nutrition*, 38, 371-384.
- 153 [6.] Datt, C., Datta, M. and Singh, N. P. (2008). Assessment of fodder quality of leaders
154 of multipurpose trees in subtropical humid climate of India. *J. Forestry Res.*, 19 (3) ,
155 209-214
- 156 [7.] Goering, H.K. and Van Soest, P.J. (1970). Forage Fibre Analysis, *Agricultural*
157 *Handbook*. 379. USDA, Washington D.C 8-12.
- 158 [8.] Jayasuriya, M.C.N., Hamilton, R. and Rogovic, B. (1987). The use of an artificial
159 rumen to assess low quality fibrous feeds. *Biological Wastes*, 20, 241-250.
- 160 [9.] Khanal, R.C. and Subba, D.B. (2001). Nutritional evaluation of leaves from some
161 major fodder trees cultivated in the hills of Nepal. *Animal Feed Science and*
162 *Technology*, 92, 17-32.
- 163 [10.] McDowell, L.R. 1997. Minerals for grazing ruminants in tropical
164 regions. University of Florida, Institute for Food and Agricultural Sciences, USA
- 165 [11.] NRC. (1978). Nutrient Requirements of Domestic Animals. Nutrient
166 Requirements of Dairy cattle, Vol. 3 5th Edition. National Research Council, National
167 Academy of Sciences, Washington, DC.
- 168 [12.] Paterson, R.T., Roothaert, R.L., Nyata, O.Z., Akyeampong, E., Hove, L.,
169 (1996). Experience with *Calliandra calothyrsus* as a feed for livestock in Africa. In:
170 Evans, D.O. (Ed.), *Proceeding International workshop on the genus calliandra*.
171 Winrock Internal, Bogor, Indonesia, pp. 195-209.
- 172 [13.] Prakash, B., Rathore, S.S., Das, K.C. Walling, I., Dhali, A., Medhi, d., Hazarika, H.
173 and Rajkhowa, C. (2007). In situ degradability of forage based foliages in Mithun.
174 *Indian Journal of Animal Nutrition*, 24, 241-247.
- 175 [14.] Sheikh, G.G., Majeed Ganie and Ahmad, G. A. (2011). Nutritional Evaluation
176 of Some Tree Leaves, Feeds and Fodders of Ladakh. *Indian J. Anim. Nutr.*, 28 (4) ,
177 427-431
- 178 [15.] Singh, A., Sharma, R.K., Barman, K. and Kumar, R. (2009). Nutritional
179 evaluation of some promising top foliages. *Journal of Research, SKUAST-J*, 8(
180 2), 116-122.
- 181 [16.] Snedecor GW, Cochran WG (1994) *Statistical method*, 1st East-West Press ed.,
182 Affiliate East-West Private Ltd., New Delhi.
- 183 [17.] Subba, D.B. (1999). Tree fodders and browse plants as potential nutrient
184 suppliers for ruminants. In : Neopane, S.P., Khanal, R.C (EDS) *Proceedings of the 3rd*
185 *National Workshop on Livestock and Fisheries Research*. NARc, Kathmandu, Nepal.
- 186 [18.] Talapatra, S.K.; Ray, S.C. and Sen, K.C. (1940). Estimation of Phosphorus,
187 Chlorine, Calcium, Magnesium, Sodium and Potassium in Foodstuff. *Indian*
188 *Journal of Vety. Science and A.H.*, 10, 243-246.

189

190

191



Table1. Chemical composition, fibre fraction and in vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD) of top foliages.

Scientific name	Common name	CP%	EE%	TA%	NDF%	ADF%	HC%	IVDMD%
<i>Ficus elastica</i>	Theirit hnah	11.68	6.44	7.76	50.05	45.94	4.11	39.96
<i>Schima wallichii</i>	Khiang hnah	9.03	2.87	16.15	47.40	39.18	8.22	38.25
<i>Duabanga grandiflora</i>	Zuang hnah	11.15	2.53	18.28	36.27	27.08	9.19	48.42
<i>Artocarpus heterophyllus</i>	Lamkhuang hnah	16.04	2.72	16.66	59.53	51.77	7.76	50.23
<i>Rhus semialata</i>	Khawmhma	17.59	5.06	16.97	49.83	38.23	11.60	43.79
<i>Albizia chinensis</i>	Vang	29.65	2.85	16.89	53.69	44.54	9.15	46.55
<i>Wendlandia tinctoria</i>	Batling	14.49	1.78	5.05	37.13	24.91	12.22	56.23
<i>Ficus hirta</i>	Sazutheipui	19.91	1.83	9.70	30.01	25.60	4.41	55.29
<i>Ficus prostrata</i>	Theitit	25.60	1.54	6.53	45.92	22.46	23.46	55.06
<i>Derris robusta</i>	Thingkha hnah	21.81	4.44	8.99	44.65	30.86	13.79	58.99
Average		17.69	3.21	13.29	45.44	35.05	10.39	49.27
SE		2.09	0.51	1.61	2.79	3.24	1.75	2.26

CP=Crude protein, EE= Ether extract, TA=Total ash, NDF= Neutraldetergent fibre, ADF= Acid detergent fibre, HC=Hemicellulose, IVDMD= In vitro dry matter digestibility



SI.No	Top foliage	Micro mineral			Macro mineral	
	Scientific name	Zn(ppm)	Fe(ppm)	Cu(ppm)	Ca(%)	P(%)
1	<i>Ficus elastica</i>	21.66	130.10	41.43	1.28	0.29
2	<i>Schima wallichii</i>	13.88	284.13	56.44	1.39	0.28
3	<i>Duabanga grandiflora</i>	14.24	72.73	16.83	2.04	0.23
4	<i>Artocarpus heterophyllus</i>	20.29	130.39	19.91	1.59	0.22
5	<i>Rhus semialata</i>	25.78	150.32	9.23	1.94	0.30
6	<i>Albizia chinensis</i>	33.57	137.37	47.98	0.77	0.48
7	<i>Wendlandia tinctoria</i>	31.04	148.41	9.75	2.37	0.13
8	<i>Ficus hirta</i>	43.07	139.50	11.01	2.59	0.25
9	<i>Ficus prostrata</i>	24.41	87.38	7.51	2.02	0.39
10	<i>Derris robusta</i>	35.76	323.17	29.70	2.96	0.61
	Average	26.33	160.35	24.97	1.89	0.31
	SE	3.00	25.35	5.66	0.20	.04
	<i>Normal requirement*</i>	20-40 ppm	30-50 ppm	7-11 ppm	0.19 – 0.82 %	0.12- 0.48 %

Table 2. Macro & Micro mineral content of tree leaves

.SE Standard Error

*Recommended mineral elements (for all classes of ruminants) suggested by National Research Council and summarized by McDowell (1997)