International Journal of Research
Available at https://journals.pen2print.org/index.php/ijr/

e-ISSN: 2348-6848 p-ISSN: 2348-795X

Volume 06 Issue 09 August 2019

Image, Awareness, and Recognition — A Case Study of Multilevel Marketing

¹Pin-Fenn Chou*, ²Ying-Chun Wang

¹Department of Business Administration, Far East University, Tainan City, Taiwan, R.O.C.

² Department of Airline and Transport Service Management, National Kaohsiung University of Hospitality and Tourism,

Kaohsiung City, Taiwan, R.O.C.

Abstracts

The multilevel marketing industry has existed for many years. Many companies, not just multilevel

marketing companies, always use this sales style for sale of the company's goods or services.

However, the marketing method of multilevel marketing must be that consumers have a certain

understanding and understanding of the multilevel marketing products provided by the company. It is

necessary to trust the company's product quality or to listen to the company's brand name, or even to feel

that the business's image is excellent, so that consumers will be willing to buy multilevel marketing products

or use their services.

The aim of this study is to understand whether differences between these different groups in business

image, awareness, and recognition, such as: gender, age, education, and amount of annual consumption for

personal in multilevel marketing products. Results shown that female is more affirmative of the image of

multilevel marketing companies. The higher the age, these groups are more recognized the awareness of

multilevel marketing companies. It is easy to recognize the multilevel marketing products that are often

purchased, the lower education groups had percept agreement level are higher than the higher education

groups.

Available online: https://journals.pen2print.org/index.php/ijr/

Available at https://journals.pen2print.org/index.php/ijr/

e-ISSN: 2348-6848 p-ISSN: 2348-795X

Volume 06 Issue 09 August 2019

Keywords: multilevel marketing, image, awareness, and recognition

* Corresponding Author

Motivation and Literatures

There is different of sale style for multilevel marketing and traditional. It is mainly people-oriented. Seller

and customer are directly face-to-face contact to complete sales or recommendations. Multilevel marketing

remuneration comes from buying products, selling products, recruiting multilevel marketing sellers to buy

products and selling products from subordinate distributors (Coughlan and Grayson, 1998).

Multilevel marketing can attract a lot of people to join the ranks of marketing. What it appeals is that

the way the channel is promoted is different from the traditional way of marketing. Moreover, the

distribution of profits is also very detailed. Therefore, users and customers of multilevel marketing products

can also become distributors of the company. Sometimes, based on the fact that more marketing profits can

be allocated, users and customers of multilevel marketing products will be easily attracted by this sale style,

and will also join the company and actively promote the company's products.

From the perspective of marketing theory, customer repurchase ratio is an important indicator of

corporate profitability (Reichheld and Schefter, 2000; Yang and Peterson, 2004).

Biel (1992) scholars believe that when a customer sees a brand name, a link between a set of attributes

and Lenovo can be called a brand image. Srivastava and Kamdar (2009) define the brand image as:

Consumers build their own understanding of the brand based on a set of subjective association perceptions

of a particular brand to form a brand image. Pars and Gulsel (2011) pointed out that the brand image is the

association of product perception in consumer memory, the consumer's understanding of the overall product

or the way to identify the product.

Available online: https://journals.pen2print.org/index.php/ijr/

Available at https://journals.pen2print.org/index.php/ijr/

p-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 06 Issue 09

Volume 06 Issue 09
August 2019

e-ISSN: 2348-6848

Aaker (1991) pointed out that brand awareness, that is, consumers have the ability to identify the name

of the brand under different circumstances. Keller (1993) argues that brand awareness is one of the most

important factors in making purchasing decisions. When consumers make purchases, most will only choose

familiar and well-known brands. Therefore, brand awareness is a useful tool to assist consumers, simplify

product complexity, and become a useful tool to assist in purchasing decisions (Aaker, 1991).

Shabbir, Khan and Khan (2017) pointed out that brand awareness plays a major role in consumer

purchasing decisions. As brand awareness increases, specific products or brands will become part of

consumer thinking. Macdonald and Sharp (2000) believe that brand awareness is a rule of thumb for

consumers to decide whether to buy a product. According to Jara and Cliquet (2012), brand awareness is the

consumer's experience with the brand from previous to present, and the number of times the brand has

accumulated in its memory.

Alba and Hutchinson (1987) define consumer product knowledge in two parts, namely familiarity and

expertise. Engel, Blackwell and Miniard (1995) suggested that product knowledge should include product

categories, brand perceptions, product-related terms and meanings, product attributes, product characteristics,

general beliefs or beliefs about specific brands, and product prices. Malhotra (1993) believes that Awareness

is a consumer's familiarity with a particular brand of product. If consumers are familiar with the product,

consumers will be more likely to be impressed.

Zeithaml (1988) defines product cognition, indicating that product cognition is a holistic judgment and

assessment of product specifications by consumers. Kotler (1991) argues that because of the different

characteristics of consumers, their cognitive attitudes will have a significant impact on consumers'

perceptions, quality and purchasing behavior.

R

International Journal of Research

Available at https://journals.pen2print.org/index.php/ijr/

e-ISSN: 2348-6848 p-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 06 Issue 09 August 2019

Test analysis

1. T-test

Firstly, this study to test whether significant difference between the gender and image, awareness, and recognition.

According to the ranking shown in Table 1, the female percept the image items are all higher than male. 'The multilevel marketing of the product image is excellent' item, the female had percept 'agree' (mean=4.04) highest score on the image items. However, in the awareness concept, the male percept all items the scores are higher than female. 'I know the multilevel marketing brands' name' is the highest score by the male percept, and female too. In the recognition concept, 'The quality of the multilevel marketing brands I often buy is reliable' item is the highest score item by male and female percept.

Further, this study uses the t-test analysis. The analysis results only item 'The multilevel marketing of the corporate image is excellent' has a significant difference between gender (p=0.010).

Table 1 The t-test analysis on gender

	Ge	nder		
Items	Male	Female	T value	P value
Image				
The multilevel marketing of the corporate image is excellent.	3.80	3.96	-2.593	0.010
The multilevel marketing of the product image is excellent.	3.96	4.04	-1.227	0.220
I have a higher sense of identity in multilevel marketing brands.	3.73	3.82	-1.485	0.138
Using the multilevel marketing brands of products, that can show	3.79	3.79	-0.134	0.893
my personal stylish.				
Awareness				
The multilevel marketing brands I often buy is very well known.	3.91	3.83	1.060	0.289
I know the multilevel marketing brands' name.	3.95	3.88	0.773	0.440
I understand the all of products offered by the multilevel marketing	3.88	3.84	0.531	0.596

Available online: https://journals.pen2print.org/index.php/ijr/



Available at https://journals.pen2print.org/index.php/ijr/

e-ISSN: 2348-6848 p-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 06 Issue 09 August 2019

brands I often to buy.

I know the differences between the multilevel marketing brands that	3.79	3.73	0.948	0.344
I often to buy and the general competitive brand (non- multilevel				
marketing brands).				

Recognition

Recognition				
Easily, I can recognize the products of the multilevel marketing	3.76	3.76	-0.001	0.999
brands I often to buy.				
I think that, the name of the multilevel marketing brands I often to	3.77	3.81	-0.441	0.659
buy is the synonymous with the multilevel marketing industry.				
The quality of the multilevel marketing brands I often buy is	4.13	4.04	1.121	0.263
reliable.				
I think that the products of the multilevel marketing brands I often to	3.87	3.94	-1.046	0.296
buy are very characteristic.				

2. One-way Analysis of Variance

In age, this study divided 6 groups. The item 'The multilevel marketing of the product image is excellent' is the percept highest score item between these age groups. 'I know the multilevel marketing brands' name' item then the 6 age groups percept the highest score in awareness concept. And in recognition concept, except with item 'I think that, the name of the multilevel marketing brands I often to buy is the synonymous with the multilevel marketing industry' that is the percept highest score by the 46-55 age group; other, the item 'The quality of the multilevel marketing brands I often buy is reliable' is the percept highest score in others groups.

The test whether differences between different age groups to percept the image, awareness, and the recognition, this study adopt the method of one-way analysis of variance. As shown in Table 2, in Image concept, only item 'The multilevel marketing of the product image is excellent' had not difference between 6 age groups. Item 'I know the differences between the multilevel marketing brands that I often to buy and the



Available at https://journals.pen2print.org/index.php/ijr/

e-ISSN: 2348-6848 p-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 06 Issue 09 August 2019

general competitive brand (non-multilevel marketing brands)' in Awareness concept, which had not significant difference between 6 age groups. 'Easily, I can recognize the products of the multilevel marketing brands I often to buy' and 'I think that, the name of the multilevel marketing brands I often to buy is the synonymous with the multilevel marketing industry' two items in Recognition concept, there are significance difference between 6 age groups percept.

In addition, in education, the 4 groups were divided, there are junior high school, senior school, university, and master and Ph. D. The ranking analysis, item 'The multilevel marketing of the corporate image is excellent' had a most percept level in the Image concept for four education groups. Item 'The quality of the multilevel marketing brands I often buy is reliable' also had a most percept level in the Recognition concept for four groups.

To used the ANOVA analysis, four items there are following 'The multilevel marketing of the corporate image is excellent', 'I understand the all of products offered by the multilevel marketing brands I often to buy', 'Easily, I can recognize the products of the multilevel marketing brands I often to buy', and 'I think that the products of the multilevel marketing brands I often to buy are very characteristic' had a significant and differences between four education groups (Table 3).

Table 2 Different age groups to percept the image, awareness, and the recognition

Age groups								
Items	<=15	16-25				>=56	T value	P value
Image								
The multilevel marketing of the corporate image is excellent.	3.54	3.64	3.84	4.03	4.10	3.95	7.533	0.000
The multilevel marketing of the product image is excellent.	4.04	3.89	3.88	4.13	4.00	4.04	1.538	0.176
I have a higher sense of identity in multilevel marketing	3.83	3.47	3.77	3.82	3.93	3.82	3.959	0.002
brands.								
Using the multilevel marketing brands of products, that	3.67	3.38	3.80	3.98	3.84	3.88	6.679	0.000



Available at https://journals.pen2print.org/index.php/ijr/

e-ISSN: 2348-6848 p-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 06 Issue 09 August 2019

can show my personal stylish.

Awareness

The multilevel marketing brands I often buy is very well	3.94	3.57	3.89	3.90	4.18	3.77	5.507	0.000
known.								
I know the multilevel marketing brands' name.	3.96	3.32	3.84	4.09	4.33	<i>3.88</i>	12.151	0.000
I understand the all of products offered by the multilevel	3.81	3.25	3.77	4.15	4.11	<i>3.87</i>	12.525	0.000
marketing brands I often to buy.								
I know the differences between the multilevel marketing	3.73	3.57	3.78	3.87	3.85	3.70	2.068	0.068
brands that I often to buy and the general competitive								
brand (non-multilevel marketing brands).								

Recognition

Easily, I can recognize the products of the multilevel 3.69	3.40	3.73	4.01	4.04	3.60	8.891	0.000
marketing brands I often to buy.							
I think that, the name of the multilevel marketing brands 3.83	3.17	3.82	4.04	4.16	3.66	15.138	0.000
I often to buy is the synonymous with the multilevel							
marketing industry.							
The quality of the multilevel marketing brands I often 4.10	4.00	4.12	4.16	4.01	4.07	0.398	0.850
buy is reliable.							
I think that the products of the multilevel marketing 3.88	3.81	4.15	3.83	3.92	3.88	1.834	0.105
brands I often to buy are very characteristic.							

Table 3 Different education level groups to percept the image, awareness, and the recognition

		Edu				
	Junior	Senior	University	Master	F test	P value
Items	high	school		and Ph.D		
	school					
Image						
The multilevel marketing of the corporate image is excellent.	3.75	3.95	3.98	3.63	4.974	0.002
The multilevel marketing of the product image is excellent.	3.96	4.07	3.99	3.96	0.644	0.587
I have a higher sense of identity in multilevel marketing brands.	3.79	3.81	3.76	3.63	0.590	0.622
Using the multilevel marketing brands of products,	3.76	3.88	3.76	3.67	1.078	0.358



Available at https://journals.pen2print.org/index.php/ijr/

e-ISSN: 2348-6848 p-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 06 Issue 09 August 2019

that can show my personal stylish.

Awareness						
The multilevel marketing brands I often buy is very	3.79	3.91	3.89	3.89	0.677	0.566
well known.						
I know the multilevel marketing brands' name.	3.92	4.00	3.88	3.59	1.759	0.154
I understand the all of products offered by the	<i>3.81</i>	4.01	3.84	<i>3.44</i>	3.840	0.010
multilevel marketing brands I often to buy.						
I know the differences between the multilevel	3.65	3.80	3.81	3.74	1.563	0.198
marketing brands that I often to buy and the general						
competitive brand (non- multilevel marketing						
brands).						
Recognition						
Easily, I can recognize the products of the multilevel	3.60	3.81	3.87	3.59	3.947	0.008
marketing brands I often to buy.						
I think that, the name of the multilevel marketing	3.77	3.80	3.85	3.44	1.882	0.132
brands I often to buy is the synonymous with the						
multilevel marketing industry.						
The quality of the multilevel marketing brands I often	4.06	3.95	4.21	4.04	2.356	0.071
buy is reliable.						
I think that the products of the multilevel marketing						0.010
	<i>3.90</i>	<i>3.76</i>	4.04	3.89	3.355	0.019

Amount of annual consumption for personal in multilevel marketing brands, there was shown in Table 4, the groups were divided: ≤ 2000 , 2001-3000, 3001-4000, 4001-5000, and ≥ 5001 group in this study, respectively.

Table 4 Different groups amount of annual consumption for personal in multilevel marketing brands to percept the image, awareness, and the recognition

Amount of annual consumption for personal in multilevel marketing products



Available at https://journals.pen2print.org/index.php/ijr/

e-ISSN: 2348-6848 p-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 06 Issue 09 August 2019

						_	
	<u>≤2000</u>	2001-3000	3001-4000	4001-5000	≥5001	F test	P value
Image							
The multilevel marketing of the corporate image is	3.60	3.99	4.09	3.97	<i>3.76</i>	12.270	0.000
excellent.							
The multilevel marketing of the product image is	3.86	4.07	4.01	4.13	4.24	2.888	0.022
excellent.							
I have a higher sense of identity in multilevel	<i>3.65</i>	3.96	<i>3.76</i>	<i>3.87</i>	3.63	4.209	0.002
marketing brands.							
Using the multilevel marketing brands of products,	3.65	3.93	<i>3.88</i>	3.84	<i>3.45</i>	5.150	0.000
that can show my personal stylish.							
Awareness							
The multilevel marketing brands I often buy is very	3.76	4.03	3.88	3.92	3.63	3.426	0.009
well known.							
I know the multilevel marketing brands' name.	3.82	4.10	3.90	3.92	3.61	3.206	0.013
I understand the all of products offered by the	3.71	4.11	3.83	3.87	3.61	4.951	0.001
multilevel marketing brands I often to buy.							
I know the differences between the multilevel	3.65	3.94	3.69	3.76	3.79	3.636	0.006
marketing brands that I often to buy and the general							
competitive brand (non- multilevel marketing							
brands).							
Recognition							
Easily, I can recognize the products of the	3.67	3.88	3.73	3.82	3.74	1.434	0.222
multilevel marketing brands I often to buy.							
I think that, the name of the multilevel marketing	3.70	4.01	<i>3.78</i>	3.79	3.42	4.609	0.001
brands I often to buy is the synonymous with the							
multilevel marketing industry.							
The quality of the multilevel marketing brands I	3.93	4.29	4.06	4.03	4.11	2.899	0.022
often buy is reliable.							
I think that the products of the multilevel marketing	3.71	4.06	<i>3.98</i>	<i>3.87</i>	3.89	3.536	0.007
brands I often to buy are very characteristic.							

Item 'The multilevel marketing of the product image is excellent' in the Image concept, and item 'The quality of the multilevel marketing brands I often buy is reliable' in the Recognition concept, are the most percept agreement level for five groups.

International Journal of Research Available at https://journals.pen2print.org/index.php/ijr/

ANOVA analysis results also shown in Table 4, all item had significance differences between five

groups that except with item 'Easily, I can recognize the products of the multilevel marketing brands I often

Although multilevel marketing has existed for many years, it is also one of the marketing methods used by

the business community. However, in order to enable consumers to understand more about multi-level

marketing products, it is necessary to explore the image and products of business, and consumer perception

Summing up the findings, female is more affirmative of the image of multilevel marketing companies.

In addition, for the corporate image of a multilevel marketing company, and it is easy to recognize the

As the amount of annual consumption for personal in multilevel marketing brands, the 2001-3000

group is most percept the image, awareness, and recognition are then others groups. The imply the

multilevel marketing companies do not have to focus on the high-consumer customer base, but instead have

a significant recognition and made a sale plan for customers who spend less than 3,000 a year.

The higher the age, these groups are more recognized the awareness of multilevel marketing companies. In

terms of image, the middle-high age group also has a significant percept of identity than the younger groups.

multilevel marketing products that are often purchased, the lower education groups had percept agreement

e-ISSN: 2348-6848

p-ISSN: 2348-795X

Volume 06 Issue 09 August 2019

References

level are higher than the higher education groups.

to buy'.

level.

Conclusion

Aaker, D. A. (1991). Managing brand equity. New York: Free Press.

Available online: https://journals.pen2print.org/index.php/ijr/



Available at https://journals.pen2print.org/index.php/ijr/

e-ISSN: 2348-6848 p-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 06 Issue 09 August 2019

- Alba, J. W., and J. W. Hutchinson (1987). Dimensions of consumer expertise. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 13, 411-454.
- Biel, A. L. (1992). How brand image drives brand equity. *Journal of Advertising Research*, 32(6), 6-12.
- Coughlan, A. T., and K. Grayson (1998). Network marketing organizations: Compensation plans, retail network growth, and profitability. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 15(5), 401-426.
- Engel, J. F., Blackwell, R. D. and P. W. Miniard (1995). *Consumer Behavior*. (8th ed.) Chicago, New York: Dryden Press.
- Jara, M., and G. Cliquet (2012). Retail Brand Equity: Conceptualization and Measurement. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 19 (1), 140-149.
- Keller, K. L. (1993). Conceptualizing, measuring and managing customer-based brand equity. *Journal of Marketing*, 57(1), 1-22.
- Kotler,P.(1991). *Marketing management : analysis, planning, implementation, and control*, 7th,New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.
- Macdonald, E. K. and B. M. Sharp (2000). Brand awareness effects on consumer decision making for a common, repeat purchase product: A replication. *Journal of Business Research*, 48(1), 5-15.
- Malhotra, N. K. (1993). *Marketing Research: An Applied Orientation. Consumer Behavior.* New Jersey: Prentice Hall, Inc.
- Pars, S. R. and C. Gulsel, (2011). The effects of brand image on consumers' choice. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 2(20), 227-238.
- Reichheld, F.F. and P. Schefter (2000) E-Loyalty: Your secret weapon on the web. *Harvard Business Review*, 78, 105-113.
- Shabbir, M. Q., Khan, A. A., and S. R. Khan (2017). Brand loyalty brand image and brand equity: The mediating role of brand awareness. *International Journal of Innovation and Applied Studies*, 19 (2), 416-423.



Available at https://journals.pen2print.org/index.php/ijr/

e-ISSN: 2348-6848 p-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 06 Issue 09 August 2019

Srivastava, M. and R. M. Kamdar (2009). Brand image formation as a function of involvement and familiarity. *Paradigm*, 13(1), 84-90.

Yang, Z., and R. T. Peterson (2004). Customer perceived value, satisfaction, and loyalty: The role of switching costs. *Psychology and Marketing*, 21(10), 799-822.

Zeithaml, V. A. (1988). Consumer perceptions of price, quality and value: A means-end model and synthesis of evidence. *Journal of Marketing*, 52(3), 2-22.