

International Journal of Research (IJR)

e-ISSN: 2348-6848, p- ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 2, Issue 08, August 2015 Available at http://internationaljournalofresearch.org

A Novel Approach for Diminution of Inter-symbol Interference using Pilot Based Channel Estimation for OFDM in Wireless communication System

Darwani Ram Rastogi

Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering Al-Falah School of Engineering & Technology, Faridabad, Haryana, India Email-<u>darwani</u> rastoqi@yahoo.com

Javed Ashraf

Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering Al-Falah School of Engineering & Technology, Faridabad, Haryana, India Email-jashraf.jmi@gmail.com

Dr. Rajeev Ratan

Electronics & Communication Engineering Department MVN University, Palwal, Haryana, India Email – <u>rajeevarora@mvneducation.com</u>

Abstract:

Over the past two decades, the rapid development of wireless communication technology has brought great convenience to people's lives and work. Orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) is a modulation technique which is now used in most new and emerging broadband wired and wireless communication systems because it is an effective solution to inter-symbol interference caused by a dispersive channel. In this paper, pilot-based channel estimation of OFDM system is discussed in detail. Focus has been placed on comb type pilot arrangement scheme with two different ways of pilot placement for reducing the inter-symbol interference (ISI). The simulation results show that the performance becomes better as the increasing order of polynomial for interpolation. It is shown that low pass interpolation perform better than other three interpolation techniques.

Keywords: Orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM); Inter-symbol Interference (ISI); Channel estimation; minimum Mean square Estimator (MMSE); Least Square (LS)

1. Introduction:

Since Guglielmo M. Marconi invented wireless telegraph a century ago, the wireless transmission technology allows people to communicate without any physical connection. In recent decades, there is more rapid development of wireless mobile communications, from the initial 1G mobile communication system. Currently, 4G mobile communication system has been started in the world and begun the trial. Development of mobile communication is shown as following step [1, 2]. After demodulating the subcarrier of signals, the spectra information can be obtained from various quarters of FDM as shown in Figure 1.

2. Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing

Based on the principle of FDM, subcarrier sets of OFDM uses orthogonal sine or cosine function. The orthogonality of $\{\cos n\omega t\}$ and $\{\sin n\omega t\}$ (n, m = 1, 2, 3, -----) occurs in $(t_0, t_0 + T)$ as below [3].

$$\int_{t0}^{t0+T} \cos n\omega t \sin n\omega t dt = \begin{cases} 0 & (n \neq m) \\ \frac{T}{2} & (n = m) \\ T & (n = m = 0) \\ T = 2\pi/\omega & (1) \end{cases}$$

This function is similar to cosine function.

Accordingly to the theory, let frequencies of N subcarriers are f1 (t), f2 (t) ------ fN (t) and $fk = f_0 + \frac{k}{TN}$, k = 1, 2 ------ N where TN is unit cost duration.





Figure 1: Spectrum analysis of OFDM

The single sub-carrier signal is defined as

$$f\mathbf{k} = fk = \begin{cases} \cos(2\pi fkt) & 0 \le t < T\\ 0 & others \end{cases}$$
(2)

We know from the orthogonality that

$$\int fn(t)fm(t)dt = \begin{cases} TN & m = n \\ 0 & m \neq n \end{cases}$$
(3)

So sub-carriers are orthogonal each other. The receiver can demodulate signal modulated by orthogonality if signal is strictly synchronized.

The OFDM signal is same as FDM as below

$$S(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} fn \cos\omega n(t)$$
(4)

But, the difference is spectrum shown as in Figure 2. From Figure 2, FDM requires the protection of a wide interval because of frequency division required in the receiver. But the received OFDM signal spectrum needs smaller bandwidth, since the spectrum of adjacent sub-channels could be overlapped



Figure 2: Spectrums of FDM and OFDM

3 Pilot Based Channel Estimation for OFDM System

OFDM signals can be demodulated either coherently or differentially coherent manners. The most important advantage of differential demodulation is not requiring channel information, and the receiver is relatively simple. However, compared with coherent demodulation, system performance will be degraded from 3 to 4 dB [4]. Moreover, differential demodulation cannot be applied in multi-level modulation. So the coherent demodulation is preferred to achieve higher data



International Journal of Research (IJR) e-ISSN: 2348-6848, p- ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 2, Issue 08, August 2015

SN: 2348-6848, p- ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 2, Issue 08, August 201 Available at http://internationaljournalofresearch.org

rates, spectrum efficiency and good performance. Since coherent demodulation depends on the change of phase and amplitude of carrier signal, an accurate estimation of the channel is needed [5, 6]. In OFDM systems, it is very important to know how to get the best channel estimation.

OFDM system channel estimation method can be divided into two ways, pilot-based channel estimation and blind channel estimation. The pilot channel estimation methods are based on the pilot channel and pilot symbol. However, due to twodimensional time-frequency structure of OFDM system, pilot symbol assisted modulation (PSAM) is more flexible [7]. PSAM is the method doing channel estimation by using pilot sequence and symbol, which are inserted into some fixed positions of signals sent by transmitter. The pilot symbol sent by transmitter makes spectral efficiency and power utilization lower with the trade-off of quick response to the channel variation. Blind channel estimation is focusing on the correlation between the data sent and received, without knowing the information of the transmitted data. Although it yields higher spectral and power efficiencies by using blind channel estimation, it needs more data to analyze. Hence it is suitable for slow varying channel [8-10]. This paper is concentrated on PSAM. For pilot based channel estimation of OFDM system, following three are required. Firstly, suitable pilot pattern needs to be considered. Secondly, pilotbased channel estimation algorithm with low complexity should be identified. Thirdly, proper demodulation method toward effective channel estimation has to be developed.

4 Channel Estimation Schemes:

4.1 Least Square (LS) Estimator

The impulse response of the multipath channel could be written as below

$$h(t, \tau) = \sum_{K=0}^{L-1} a_k(t) \,\delta(t - \tau_k)$$
(5)

Where τ_k is the delay of k^{th} path, $a_k(t)$ is the amplitude of k^{th} path, and L is the number of subcarriers, respectively. Because $a_k(t)$ is a generalized stationary narrow band complex

Gaussian random process, it is independent for each other from different paths. The advantage of LS algorithm is its simplicity, because no consideration of noise and ICI. So, without using any knowledge of the statistics of the channels, the LS estimators are calculated with very low complexity, but obviously it suffers from a high MSE. LS method, in general, is utilized to get initial channel estimates at the pilot subcarriers, which are then further improved via different methods.

4.2 Minimum Mean Square Error (MMSE) Estimator

The MMSE estimator employs the second-order statistics of the channel conditions to minimize the MSE [11]. Let us denote the error of channel estimation as e

$$\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{H} - \widehat{H} \tag{6}$$

Where H is actual channel estimation and \hat{H} is the raw channel estimation, respectively.

And the MSE of the channel is

$$E\{|e|^{2}\} = E\{|H - \widehat{H}|\}^{2}$$
(7)

 $E = \{ (H - \hat{H}) (H - \hat{H})^H \}$ Where E { } is the expression.

under the lower (Eb/N0) and MMSE estimator could gain 10-15 dB more of performance than LS [12]. However, because of the required matrix inversions, the computation is very complex when the number of subcarriers of OFDM system increases. Therefore, an important drawback of the MMSE estimator can be the high computational complexity

4.3 Comb Type Pilot Based Channel Estimation

In comb type pilot-based channel estimation, for each transmitted OFDM symbol, N_P pilot signals are inserted into subcarriers with same interval in frequency from each other [13].

$$l = 0$$

X (K) = X (m N_f A + l) =



International Journal of Research (IJR)

e-ISSN: 2348-6848, p- ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 2, Issue 08, August 2015 Available at http://internationaljournalofresearch.org

$$AX \left(m N_f A + l \right) \begin{cases} x_p (m) \\ Data \end{cases} l = 1, 2 \dots \dots N_f - 1$$
(8)

Where X (k) is the information, including pilot and data, of all sub-carriers, Xp(m) & is the value of m^{th} subcarrier pilot, and N_f is the frequency interval of inserted pilot, respectively. If there are N subcarriers,

$$Nf = \frac{N}{Np}$$

(9)

According to the pilot position, frequency response of corresponding sub-channel is calculated in the receiver

$$\widehat{H}_{P}(m) = \frac{Y_{p}(mpf)}{X_{P}(mNf)}$$
 $m = 0, 1$ -----, $Np - 1$
(10)

Where 'Xp and 'Yp are output and input of pilot subcarrier, respectively. When the pilot interval is shorter than coherent bandwidth, after the frequency response of pilot sub-channel is estimated, interpolation is used in frequency domain to get the channel estimation. Different interpolation methods will yield different accuracy.

4.3.1 Linear Interpolation

Linear interpolation performs better than the piecewise constant interpolation [14]. The channel estimation at the data subcarrier is obtained by estimation of response of two adjacent pilot subchannels. But the precondition is linearity of transmitted functions of adjacent sub-channels. The linear interpolation is shown as below,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{H}(k) &= \widehat{H}(mN_f + 1) \\ \widehat{H}_P(m) \frac{1}{N_f} + \widehat{H}_P(m) \\ m &= 0, 1, \dots, Np - 1 \end{aligned}$$

In Equation 10, note that only two pilots are used in linear interpolation for channel estimation. Hence complexity of computation is simple. But performance is not necessarily satisfactory.

4.3.2 Second Order Interpolation

Second order interpolation is better than linear interpolation, where the channel estimation at the data subcarrier is calculated by used linear combination of three adjacent pilots [15]. Theoretically, high order interpolation yields better channel estimation because of using more pilots. And the channel estimation will be close to the true channel response. But computation complexity also is increased as increasing of order. The channel estimation of second order interpolation is given by

$$\widehat{H}(k) = \widehat{H}(mN_f + 1) = C_1\widehat{H}_P(m - 1 + COHPm + C - 1HPm + 1$$
(12)

The coefficients are defined as,

$$c_{1} = \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{2}$$

$$c_{0} = -(\alpha - 1)(\alpha + 1), \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{N_{f}}$$

$$c_{-1} = \frac{\alpha(\alpha + 1)}{2}$$

4.3.3 Cubic Spline Interpolation

The cubic spline interpolation method produces a smooth and continuous polynomial fitted to given data points, which is given

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{H}(k) &= \widehat{H}(mN_f + 1) \\ &= \alpha_1 \widehat{H}(m + 1) + \alpha_0 \widehat{H}(m) + \\ N_f \alpha_1 \widehat{H'}_P(m + 1) - N_f \alpha_0 \widehat{H'}_P(m) \end{aligned}$$

$$m=0,1,\ldots\ldots N_P-1$$

 $\widehat{H}'(m)$ is the first order derivative of $\widehat{H}'_{P}(m)$, and

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{3(N_f - 1)^2}{N_f^2} - \frac{2(N_f - 1)^3}{N_f^3} \quad (11)$$

(14)

$$\alpha_0 = \frac{3l^2}{N_f^2} - \frac{2l^3}{N_f^3}$$

Although cubic spline interpolation with higher order interpolation can be used for better interpolation accuracy, the performance improvement is not obviously proven.



International Journal of Research (IJR)

e-ISSN: 2348-6848, p- ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 2, Issue 08, August 2015 Available at http://internationaljournalofresearch.org

4.3.4 Low Pass Interpolation

The low pass interpolation is performed by inserting zeros into the original Sequence and then applying a low pass FIR filter that allows the original data to pass through unchanged and interpolates between such that the mean square error between the interpolated points and their ideal values is minimized.

4.4 Pilot Updation Schemes

There are two ways of pilot updation. (a) Fixed Pilot Updation (b) Dynamic pilot updation

In fixed pilot updation scheme pilot location are kept fixed. It doesn't change for all those OFDM symbols in which pilots are placed. While in dynamic pilot updation scheme location of pilots in those OFDM symbols in which pilots are placed changes according to the channel coefficients values. In dynamic pilot updation channel is tracked symbol by symbol and pilots are placed at those locations at which fading is high. This scheme gives better result than fixed pilot updation scheme.

5. Simulation Results

This section discusses the simulation setup and results of pilot-based channel estimation in OFDM system. All algorithms of pilot-based channel estimation introduced are simulated by MATLAB. The performance of comb type pilot is analyzed. Different interpolation schemes are compared. Finally a simulation is done for dynamic pilot updation in which instead of inserting pilots at fixed locations for those OFDM symbol in which pilots are placed, pilot locations are updated corresponding to estimated channel coefficients

5.1 Simulation Scenarios

Table 1 shows the default OFDM system parameters. For some simulations of comparison, change of the scenario will be marked if needed.

PARAMETERS	SPECIFICATION
FFT Size	256
Number of Carriers	256
Pilot ratio	1/8
Guard length	16
Guard type	Cyclic Extension
Modulation Scheme	QPSK /16 QAM
Channel	Raleigh Fading
	3-PATH, 5-PATH

Table 1: OFDM system simulation parameter

5.2 Simulation Results

Simulation Results are arranged in four parts. In the first part channel coefficient plots are shown in which different interpolation techniques linear, square, cubic and low pass are compared for two different channel estimation techniques Least Square (LS) Estimate and Minimum Mean Square Error (MMSE) Estimate. Channel coefficients are measured for two different multipath channels 3 PATH and 5 PATH. Modulation technique used in the simulation is QPSK. SNR is taken 5dB. In the second part scatter plots are shown for different multipath channels, different modulation schemes and for different SNRs. These scatter plots show the in phase and quadrature phase component of the transmitted/received symbols. In the third part Mean Square Error plots are shown which represent comparison between different interpolation techniques, different modulation techniques and different channel estimation techniques. In the fourth part a mean square error plot is shown which compares two different types of pilot updating schemes for Minimum mean square estimator.

5.2.1 Channel coefficients plot:



In the figure 3 channel coefficient plots is shown in which four different interpolation techniques are compared for Least Square Estimate with 3 PATH channel. In this figure 3 first sub plot shows plot of actual channel coefficients and other four subplots are for linear, second order, cubic and low pass interpolation techniques respectively. It is clear from the figure 3 that for low pass interpolation technique channel coefficients are close to the actual channel coefficients. These channel coefficients are measured with least square estimator and for 3 PATH channel.



Figure 3: Comparison of estimated channel coefficients for different interpolation techniques for Least Square Estimator (3 PATH channel)



Figure 4: Comparison of estimated channel coefficients for different interpolation techniques for Least Square Estimate (5 PATH channel)

In the figure 4 channel coefficient plots is shown in which four different interpolation techniques are compared for Least Square Estimate with 5 PATH channel. In this figure 5 first sub plot shows plot of actual channel coefficients and other four subplots are for linear, second order, cubic and low pass interpolation techniques respectively. It is clear from the figure 5 that for low pass interpolation



technique channel coefficients are close to the actual channel coefficients. These channel coefficients are measured with least square estimator and with 5 PATH channel. Here we can see that in case of 5 PATH channel also low pass interpolation perform better than other interpolation techniques



Figure 5: Comparison of estimated channel coefficients for different interpolation techniques for MMSE estimate (3 PATH channel)

In the figure 5 channel coefficient plots is shown in which four different interpolation techniques are compared for Minimum Mean Square Estimate with 3 PATH channel. In this figure 6 first sub plot shows plot of actual channel coefficients and other four subplots are for linear, second order, cubic and low pass interpolation techniques respectively. It is clear from the figure 5 that for

low pass interpolation technique channel coefficients are close to the actual channel coefficients. These channel coefficients are measured with Minimum Mean Square Estimate and with 3 PATH channel. From the above figures 4 and figure 5, it is clear that MMSE gives better channel estimate than LSE.



Figure 6: Comparison of estimated channel coefficients for different interpolation techniques for MMSE estimate (5 PATH channel)

In the figure 6 channel coefficient plots is shown in which four different interpolation techniques are compared for Minimum Mean Square Estimate with 5 PATH channel. In this figure 6 first sub plot shows plot of actual channel coefficients and other four subplots are for linear, second order, cubic and low pass interpolation techniques respectively. It is clear from the figure 6 that for



interpolation technique channel low pass are close to the actual channel coefficients coefficients. These channel coefficients are measured with Minimum Mean Square Estimate and with 5 PATH channel. Here we can see that in case of 5 PATH channel also low pass interpolation perform better than other interpolation techniques. From the above figures 5 and figure 6 it is clear that MMSE gives better channel estimation.

5.2.2 Mean Square Error Plots

In figure 7, MSE vs. SNR plots are drawn for fixed pilot updation scheme. In fixed pilot updation scheme pilot locations are kept fixed for each OFDM symbol. In this arrangement scheme pilot locations are independent of channel coefficient values. Figure 7 shows a plot between MSE and SNR. It shows a comparison of different interpolation schemes for MMSE estimate. For this simulation modulation scheme used is QPSK, channel is 3 PATH. From the figure 7, it can be concluded that low pass interpolation technique perform better than other three interpolation techniques and with the continuous tracking of channel and with the up-dation of the pilot locations according to the channel coefficient the mean square error is reduced.



Figure 7: Comparison of different interpolation schemes with QPSK interpolation:

6. Conclusion

In this paper, pilot-based channel estimation of OFDM system is discussed in detail. Focus has been placed on comb type pilot arrangement scheme with two different ways of pilot placement. One is fixed pilot arrangement and second is dynamic pilot arrangement. In fixed pilot arrangement pilot locations are not updated but in dynamic pilot arrangement pilot locations are updated according to channel coefficient values. LS estimator yields the worst performance but with the simplest complexity. Based on LS algorithm, MMSE is analyzed and it shows better performance compared with LS but with more computation complexity. Linear interpolation, second order interpolation, cubic spline interpolation and low pass interpolation are discussed. The simulation results show that the performance becomes better as the increasing order of polynomial for interpolation. It is shown that low pass interpolation perform better than other three interpolation techniques.

References

 Taewon Hwang, Chenyang Yang, Gang Wu, Shaoqian Li, Geoffrey Ye Li, —OFDM and Its Wireless Applications: A Surveyl,



International Journal of Research (IJR) e-ISSN: 2348-6848, p- ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 2, Issue 08, August 2015

Available at http://internationaljournalofresearch.org

IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, May 2009, Vol. 58, No.

- [2] Kenneth G. Paterson, —Generalized Reed-Muller Codes and Power Control in OFDM Modulation^{II}, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 2000, Vol. 46, No. 1, pp. 104-120.
- [3] Zigang Yang, Xiaodong Wang, —A Sequential Monte Carlo Blind Receiver for OFDM Systems in Frequency-Selective Fading Channels^{II}, IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, 2005, Vol. 50, No. 2, pp. 271.
- [4] Hiroshi Harada, Ramjee Prasad, Simulation and Software Radio for Mobile Communications, 1st ed., Artech House, Norwood, MA, 2002.
- [5] B. Le Floch, M. Alard, C. Berrou,
 —Coded Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing Used in Communication^{II}, IEEE Transactions on Communications, 2003, Vol. 83, No. 6, pp. 982~984.
- [6] R. Negi, J. Cioffi Pilot Tone Selection for Channel Estimation in a Mobile OFDM System, IEEE Transactions on consumer Electronics, August 1998, Vol. 44, NO. 3, pp.1122-1128
- [7] P. Hoeher, S. Kaiser, P. Robertson Twodimensional Pilot-Symbol aided Channel Estimation by Wiener Filtering, Acoustics, Speech and signal processing, 1997. ICASSP-97., 1997 IEEE International Conference, Apr 1997, Vol. 3, pp. 1845-1848.
- [8] Geoffrey Ye Li,- Pilot-symbol-aided Channel Estimation for OFDM in Wireless System, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, July 2000, Vol 49 No. 4, pp. 1207-1215
- [9] S. Weinstein, P. Ebert, Data Transmission by Frequency-Division Multiplexing Using the Discrete Fourier Transform, IEEE Transaction on Communication Technology October 1971, Vol. 19 No. 5, pp. 628 – 634

- [10] Geoffery Ye Li Simplified Channel Estimation for OFDM System with multiple Transmit Antenna, IEEE Transaction on Wireless Communications, Jan, 2002, Vol. 1
- [11] Van de Beek, J.- J. Edfors, O. Sandell. M. Wilson, S. K. Borjesson - On Channel Estimation in OFDM Systems, Vehicular Technology Conference, 1995 IEEE 45th, July 1995, Vol. 2, pp. 815-819.
- [12] S. Coleri, M. Ergen, A. Puri, A. Bahai Channel Estimation Technology based on Pilot arrangement in OFDM System, IEEE Transactions on Broadcasting, Sep 2002, Vol. 48, No. 3, pp. 223-229.
- [13] Baoguo yang, Zhigang Cao, K. B. Letaief,-Analysis of low-complexity windowed DFTbased MMSE channel estimator for OFDM systems, IEEE Transactions On Communications, Nov. 2001, Vol. 49, No. 11, pp. 1997-1998.
- [14] O. Edfors, M. Sandell, Van de Beek, J.-J. Wilson, S. K. Borjession – OFDM Channel Estimation by Singular Value Decomposition, IEEE Transactions on Communications, July 1998, Vol. 46, No.7, pp. 931-939.
- [15] S. Galih, R. Karlina, A. Irawan, T. Adiono, A. Kurniawan,- Low Complexity Partial Sampled MMSE Channel Estimation for Downlink OFDMA IEEE 802.16e System, Intelligent Signal Processing and Communication Systems, 2009. ISPACS 2009. International Symposium, Jan 2009, pp. 162-166.