

International Journal of Research

Available at https://edupediapublications.org/journals

p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 03 Issue 13 September 2016

An Approach for Defending Malicious Nodes against Collaborative Attacks in MANETS

¹M. SHARMILA BEGUM ²B. SOWMYA

¹ M.Tech student, Dept of CSE, Intell Engineering College, Affiliated to JNTUA, AP, India. ² Assistant Professor, Dept of CSE, Intell Engineering College, Affiliated to JNTUA, AP, India.

Abstract: Wireless networks are computer networks that are not connected by cables of any kind. The use of wireless network enables enterprises to avoid the costly process of introducing cables into buildings or as a connection between different equipment locations. Wireless networks are susceptible to many attacks. One such specific attack is a blackhole attack in which malicious node falsely claiming itself as having the fresh and shortest path to the destination. This paper attempts to resolve this issue by designing a dynamic source routing (DSR)-based routing mechanism, which is referred to as the cooperative bait detection scheme (CBDS), that integrates the advantages of both proactive and reactive defense architectures. Our CBDS method implements a reverse tracing technique to help in achieving the stated goal. Proposed system helps us in defending against the blackhole attack without any requirement of hardware and special detection node.

Keywords: Cooperative bait detection scheme (CBDS), dynamic source routing (DSR), Twice Acknowledgement (2 Ack), gray hole attacks, malicious node, mobile ad hoc network (MANET).

INTRODUCTION

Due to the widespread availability of mobile devices, as the cooperative bait detection scheme (CBDS), that response operations. This is primarily due to their goal. infrastructure less property.

In a MANET, each node not only works as a host but can also act as a router. While receiving data, nodes also need cooperation with each other to forward the data packets, thereby forming a wireless local area network [3]. These The first two steps are initial proactive defense steps, great features also come with serious drawbacks from a security point of view. Indeed, the aforementioned applications impose some stringent constraints on the A. Initial Bait Step security of the network topology, routing, and data traffic. For instance, the presence and collaboration of malicious The goal of the bait phase is to entice a malicious node to nodes in the network may disrupt the routing process, leading to a malfunctioning of the network operations.

Many research works have focused on the security of MANETs. Most of them deal with prevention and detection approaches to combat individual misbehaving source node stochastically selects an adjacent node, i.e., n_r, nodes. In this regard, the effectiveness of these approaches within its one-hop neighborhood nodes and cooperates becomes weak when multiple malicious nodes collude with this node by taking its address as the destination together to initiate a collaborative attack, which may result address of the bait RREQ'. Since each baiting is done to more devastating damages to the network.

TT PROPOSED APPROACH

Black hole is an attack in wireless network in which and shortest path to the destination attract traffic towards itself and then drops it. The proposed approach attempts to [2](DSR)-based routing mechanism, which is referred to

mobile ad hoc networks (MANETs) [1], [3] have been integrates the advantages of both proactive and reactive widely used for various important applications such as defense architectures. Our CBDS method implements a military crisis operations and emergency preparedness and reverse tracing technique to help in achieving the stated

The CBDS scheme comprises three steps:

- 1. The initial bait step;
- 2. The reverse tracing step; and
- 3. The shifted to reactive defense step,

whereas the third step is a reactive defense step.

send a reply RREP by sending the bait RREO' that it has used to advertise itself as having the shortest path to the node that detains the packets that were converted. To achieve this goal, the following method is designed to generate the destination address of the bait RREQ'. The stochastically and the adjacent node would be changed if the node moved, the bait would not remain unchanged. This is illustrated in Fig. 4.1.

malicious node falsely claiming itself as having the fresh If n_r deliberately gave no reply RREP, it would be directly listed on the blackhole list by the source node. If only the n_r node had sent a reply RREP, it would mean that there resolve this issue by designing a dynamic source routing was no other malicious node in the network, except the route that n_r had provided; in this case, the route discovery



International Journal of Research

Available at https://edupediapublications.org/journals

p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 03 Issue 13 September 2016

phase of DSR will be started. The route that nr provides will not be listed in the choices provided to the route discovery phase.




Figure 4.1: Random Selection of cooperative bait.

B. Reverse Tracing Step

The reverse tracing step is used to detect the behaviors of malicious nodes through the route reply to the RREQ' message. If a malicious node has received the RREQ', it will reply with a false RREP. Accordingly, the reverse tracing operation will be conducted for nodes receiving the RREP, with the goal to deduce the dubious path information and the temporarily trusted zone in the route.




Figure 4.2: Reverse Tracing Phase

C. Reactive Defense Step

After the above initial proactive defense (steps A and B), the DSR [10] route discovery process is activated. When the route is established and if at the destination it is found that the packet delivery ratio significantly falls to the threshold, the detection scheme would be triggered again to detect for continuous maintenance and real-time reaction efficiency.

The threshold is a varying value in the range [85%, 95%] that can be adjusted according to the current network efficiency.

The initial threshold value is set to 90%.

We have designed a dynamic threshold algorithm that controls the time when the packet delivery ratio falls under the same threshold. If the descending time is shortened, it means that the malicious nodes are still present in the network.

In that case, the threshold should be adjusted upward. Otherwise, the threshold will be lowered.

```
Algorithm for Reactive defense phase
float threshold=0.9;
initialDefence();
float dynamic(threshold)
         float t1,t2;
         t1=calculate the time of PDR down to threshold;
         if(PDR < threshold)
         initialDefence();
         t2=calculate the time of PDR down to threshold;
         if(t2 < t1)
                  if(threshold < 0.95)
                  threshold=threshold+0.01;
                  if(threshold > 0.85)
                  threshold=threshold-0.01;
                  if(simulationTime < 800) {
                  return threshold;
                  dynamic(threshold);
                  else return 0.9;
}
```

The operations of the CBDS are captured in Fig. 4.3. It should be noticed that the CBDS offers the possibility to obtain the dubious path information of malicious nodes as well as that of trusted nodes; thereby, it can identify the trusted zone by simply looking at the malicious nodes reply to every RREP.

In addition, the CBDS is capable of observing whether a malicious node would drop the packets or not.

As a result, the proportion of dropped packets is disregarded, and malicious nodes launching a gray hole attack would be detected by the CBDS the same way as those launching blackhole attacks are detected.



International Journal of Research

Available at https://edupediapublications.org/journals

p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 03 Issue 13 September 2016




Figure 4.3: Operations of CBDS

III. CONCLUSION

In this approach, we have proposed a new mechanism (called the CBDS) for detecting malicious nodes in MANETs under gray/collaborative blackhole attacks. The address of an adjacent node is used as bait destination address to bait malicious nodes to send a reply RREP message, and malicious nodes are detected using a reverse tracing technique. Any detected malicious node is kept in a blackhole list so that all other nodes that participate to the routing of the message are alerted to stop communicating with any node in that list.

Unlike previous works, the merit of CBDS lies in the fact that it integrates the proactive and reactive defense architectures to achieve the aforementioned goal.

REFERENCES

- [1]. P.-C. Tsou, J.-M. Chang, H.-C. Chao, and J.-L. Chen, "CBDS: A cooperative bait detection scheme to prevent malicious node for MANET based on hybrid defense architecture," Wireless Commun., VIT AE, Chennai, India, 2011.
- [2]. D. Johnson and D. Maltz, "Dynamic source routing in ad hoc wireless networks," Mobile Comput, 1996.
- [3]. S. Corson and J. Macker, RFC 2501, Mobile Ad hoc Networking (MANET): Routing Protocol Performance Issues and Evaluation Considerations, March, 2013.
- [4]. S. Ramaswamy, H. Fu, M. Sreekantaradhya, J. Dixon, and K. Nygard, "Prevention of cooperative blackhole attacks in wireless ad hoc networks," in Proc. Int. Conf. Wireless Netw., Jun. 2003.
- [5]. H. Weerasinghe and H. Fu, "Preventing cooperative blackhole attacks in mobile ad hoc networks: Simulation implementation and evaluation," in Proc. IEEE ICC, 2007.
- [6]. K. Liu, D. Pramod, K. Varshney, and K. Balakrishnan, "An Acknowledgement based approach for the detection of routing misbehavior in MANETs," IEEE Trans. Mobile Comput., vol. 6, no. 5, May 2007.
- [7]. K. Vishnu and A. J Paul, "Detection and removal of cooperative black/gray hole attack in mobile ad hoc networks," Int. J. Comput. Appl., vol. 1, no. 22, 2010.