International Journal of Research
Available at https://edupediapublications.org/journals
p-ISSN: 2348-6848
e-ISSN: 2348-795X
Volume 03 Issue 12
September 2016

Design of Steel Structure for Seismic Resistance in Different Load Conditions.

1.G. Narender, 2.M. Ashok,M.tech, 3.Ch. Manikanta Reddy M.tech

[1].M.Tech , Department of Civil Engineering, Anurag Engineering College,Kodad

2.Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Anurag Engineering College,Kodad

3. Assistant Professor, Head Of Department of Civil Engineering, Anurag Engineering College,Kodad

ABSTRACT

Though the structures are supported on soil, most of the designers do not consider the soil structure interaction and its subsequent effect on structure during an earthquake. Different soil properties can affect seismic waves as they pass through a soil layer. When a structure is subjected to an earthquake excitation, it interacts the foundation and soil, and thus changes the motion of the ground. It means that the movement of the whole ground structure system is influenced by type of soil as well as by the type of structure. An attempt has been made in this paper to study the effect of Soil-structure interaction on multi storeyed buildings with various foundation systems. Also to study the response of buildings subjected to seismic forces with Rigid and Flexible foundations. Multi storeyed buildings with fixed and flexible support subjected to seismic forces were analyzed under different soil conditions like hard, medium and soft. The buildings were analyzed by Response spectrum method using software STAAD Pro. The response of building frames such as Lateral deflection, Storey drift, Base shear, Axial force and Column moment values for all building frames were presented in this paper.

INTRODUCTION

The conventional structural evaluation of a RC house body is implemented assuming basis resting on unyielding helps. The analysis is implemented by using considering that backside finish of the columns fixed and neglecting the influence of soil deformations. In truth, any building body rests on deformable soil leading to redistribution of forces and moments as a result of soil-constitution interplay. Consequently, traditional evaluation is

unrealistic and may be hazardous. The interplay outcomes is more said in case of multi-storied structures because of heavy loads and could turn out to be additional aggravated when such buildings are subjected to seismic loads. In the present study, 3-D soil- structure interaction evaluation has been implemented for a six storey RC framed building with remoted footings below ordinary as well as seismic masses utilising finite aspect software ANSYS. The analysis has been appliedconsidering the fact that space frame resting on 4 layers of deformable soil . In four layers of deformable soil, the soil consist of clay, silt soil, gravel with sand and gravel. Quite a lot of combinations of lifeless, are living and seismic masses are viewed as per IS-1893 (part-1): 2002. The model is easily extendable to any configuration of house frame as full three-D area body is considered for analysis. The outcome of traditional i.E. Non interaction analysis (NIA) as good as linear interaction analysis (LIA) are when put next for the distance body resting on four layers of deformable soil to investigate the influence of complete settlements and differential contract on axial forces and moments in the footings. The outcome show that there is gigantic redistribution of forces and moments within the house frame because of the interplay outcomes. Soil-constitution interaction: most of the civil engineering buildings contain some form of structural detail with direct contact with ground. When the outside forces, reminiscent of earthquakes, act on these techniques, neither the structural displacements nor the ground displacements, are impartial of every different. The approach wherein the response of the soil influences the motion of the constitution and the movement of the constitution influences the response of the soil is termed as soil-structure interaction (SSI). Conventional structural design methods forget the SSI results. Neglecting SSI is reasonable for gentle constructions in reasonably stiff soil such as low upward push buildings and simple inflexible preserving walls. The outcomes of SSI, nevertheless, becomes outstanding for heavy buildings resting on reasonably soft soils for example nuclear vigour crops, high-rise buildings and increased-highways on tender soil

WANT OF THE PRESENT BE TRAINED

Asymmetrical buildings are subjected to lateral loads, certainly seismic masses, eccentricity between centre of mass and centre of stress explanations horizontal twisting of the constitution and it turns into necessary to perform dynamic evaluation to make sure that the expected behaviour of the building is simulated in the analytical mannequin. Due to eccentricity, the building could strengthen massive torsional moments that may eventually lead to high demand on the framing programs, for this reason justifying the trouble for its seismic safety. For tall structures thevertical load resisting procedure are not able to face up to lateral forces effectually. It has been discovered that the incorporation of shear walls helps in resisting the lateral forces extra successfully. Through developing shear walls, damages because ofoutcomes of lateral forces due to earthquake and high winds will also be minimized From monetary, structural force

and stiffness considerations, it is foremost that the lateral force resisting method be cautiously viewed within the preliminary design stage and included as an primary characteristic of design. Alternatively, Soil - structure-interplay (SSI) effects could also be either important or hazardous to the performance of buildings. When important, by using incorporating SSI effects in the seismic code calculations, more cost-potent designs are viable. For some occasions, such as the design or retrofitting of bridges, dams or buried structures, and so forth., an right inclusion of SSI results in seismic calculations may just deliver tremendous design rate savings to our society. There may be an pressing need for performing comparative price-benefit reviews with and without given that carefully the SSI effects for different forms of constructions. When it depends upon calculations that SSI effects may also be harmful to the efficiency of constructions, by way of mere recognition and taking robust measures, safeguard and higher performance can be executed.

METHODOLOGY

basic

Elastic 1/2 area method was once adopted for the evaluation. Because the physical representation of elastic half of area model is vastly advanced to Winkler‟ s mannequin. To begin with the asymmetrical constructing body with exclusive location of shear walls is analysed making use oftraditional process i.E. Fixing the bottom by way of offering a

constant help. Without seeing that the effect of SSI. In the following case, the constructing is analysed with bendy technique i.E. Having spring base stipulations, that's incorporating the effect of flexibility of soil, the footing is believed to be resting on elastic medium. In this case six springs, one to accommodate the vertical motion, two to comprise the translational motion in corresponding horizontal instructions and three rotational springs are furnished at the groundwork stage. Within the 0.33 case ,the building is analysed without shear wall and with out SSI. The stiffness of the springs is calculated using the members of the family given via Richart et.Al.(1970). Thirdly the difference between the circumstances is when put next with each other on the bases of axial forces, bending moments, shear drive, storey float and time period. The present work offers with three D multi-bay reinforced concrete constructing situated on footings resting onunfastened soil. The connection between columns and footings will also be either constant or constant but spring. Nonetheless, it'sassumed that the soil offers flexibility to the vertical displacement, horizontal displacement and rotation on thenodded facets on the original interface between the footings and soil. The structural evaluation has been carried out making use of STAAD pro application which is centered on stiffness matrix approach. On this work, a multi-bay bolstered constructing was once analysed for one-of-a-kind types of masses and cargo combos i.E. Gravity Load which include lifeless load and proper percent of reside load (GL); Seismic or Earthquake load (EL). Then the frames had been analysed for primary load or load combination.

POSITION OF SHEAR WALL`S CONSIDERD IN THE ANALYSIS

For the present be taught, two locations of shear walls were integrated in the design procedure.

1. First of all, shear walls are offered in the outside body of the constructing, that is in the severe end area, the shear walls are supplied in L shape, within the exterior frame of the constructing, and a lift good shear wall is supplied in the center body of the constructing

2. Secondly, shear partitions are provided in the inner frame of the constructing, in a similar fashion L shaped shear walls are provided within the severe corners of the

body and the core component of the structure is furnished with elevate

STRUCTURAL MODELLING Description of Software Used Finite element method is considered to be the best tool for analyzing the structures recently many software's uses this method for analyzing and designing. The most popular and the easiest to learn is ANSYS software. It is a general purpose finite element modeling package for numerically solving a wide variety of mechanical problems. All users, from designers to advanced experts, can benefit from ANSYS structural analysis software. The fidelity of the results is achieved through the wide variety of material models available, the quality of the elements library, the robustness of the solution algorithms and the ability to model every product from single parts to very complex assemblies with hundreds of components interacting through contacts or relative motions. ANSYS FEA tools also offer unparalleled ease of use to help product developers focus on the most important part of the simulation process, understanding the results and the impact of design variations on the model. Finite Element Modelling The finite element modelling and analysis of the problem is achieved using ANSYS software which has wide variety of elements and material models suited for the problem under consideration. ANSYS requires creation of model geometry, selection of appropriate element types, defining real constant sets in terms of cross sectional details for various elements, defining material properties, assigning these element types, real constants and material properties to various components of the interaction system and finite element mesh discretization in its pre-processing module. Boundary conditions, analysis type and loads are defined in its solution module.

METHODOLGY Specimen Geometry For soil mass, simulation element SOLID45 was chosen from the ANSYS element library. SOLID45 has plasticity, creep, swelling, stress stiffening, large deflection, and large strain capabilities. A reduced integration option with hourglass control is available. For footing , simulation element SOLID65, it is used for the three-dimensional modeling of solids with or without reinforcing bars (rebars). For beam and column element BEAM4 and for slabs SHELL63 elements are used. Surface to surface contact is established between foundation bottom area

and soil using ANSYS surface to surface contact elements CONTA174 and TARGE170. Boundary Conditions The vertical displacement (Uz) is restrained on soil bottom as bed rock is assumed to be encountered at this location. The side boundaries of soil are considered to be restrained laterally i.e. horizontal displacement (Ux) is restrained on boundaries perpendicular to X-direction and horizontal displacement (Uy) is restrained on boundaries perpendicular to Y-direction. Meshing To achieve high accuracy, the meshing of the element should be fine as possible. The results heavily depend upon the quality of mesh.

CONCLUSIONS

Constitution used to be modeled in ANSYS. Load calculations have been finished as per IS codes. The differential settlement of footings causes enormous redistribution of forces and moments in the footings of house body-soil and house body-soil methods. The seismic forces intent compression/tensions in the footings and reversal in the nature of forces is discovered when direction of seismic forces is reversed. Interaction result reduces this outcomes and presents extra stability to the constitution. Shear partitions further add to the stability of the constitution. The interaction result causes massive broaden in axial force within the outer footings and gigantic scale down within the inside footings beneath vertical load. The interplay influence enormously raises the worth of bending moments (Mx) in all footings of area body-soil methodin many of the load cases. The proposed methodology can be effectively used to evaluate the settlements and forces in the superstructure and groundwork for multi-story house framel-soil system for better and effective constructing design.

REFERENCES

[1] Gaikwad M.V., Ghogare R.B and Vageesha S. Mathada (2015) " Finite element analysis of frame with soil structure interaction" IJRET: International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology, Volume: 04 Issue: 1 | Jan-2015pg 91-95

[2] Nithya Chandran J., Abhilash Rajan and Soni Syed (2014) "Seismic analysis of building with underground stories considering Soil Structure Interaction"

International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering Volume 4, Issue 11, November 2014, pg 112-117

[3] Ayman Ismail (2014) "Effect of soil flexibility on seismic performance of 3-D frames" IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering (IOSR-JMCE) Volume 11, Issue 4 Ver. II (Jul- Aug. 2014), Pg 135-143.

[4] Mahesh Suresh Kumawat and L.G.Kalurkar (2014) " Static and dynamic analysis of multistory building using composite structure" IJRET: International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology Volume: 03 Special Issue: 07 | May-2014, pg 638-647

[5] Sushma Pulikanti and Pradeep Kumar Ramancharla "SSI Analysis of Framed Structure Supported on Pile Foundations - With and Without Interface Elements" Frontiers in Geotechnical Engineering (FGE) Volume 3 Issue 1, March 2014, pg 10-16

[6] R. R. Chaudhari and Dr K. N. Kadam (2013) " Effect of piled raft design on high-rise building considering soil structure interaction" INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC & TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH VOLUME 2, ISSUE 6, JUNE 2013, pg 72-79

[7] Jing Ji, Wenfu Zhang, Wenyan Zhao, Chaoqing Yuan and Yingchun Liu "Research of Seismic Testing and Dynamic Character of High-rise Building Structure Based on ANSYS" International Journal of Digital Content Technology and its Applications(JDCTA) volume6,number8,may 2012,pg 63-71

[8] Garg Vivek and Hora M.S. 2012. "Interaction effect of space frame-strap footing-soil system on forces in superstructure". ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences. 7(11): 1402-1415.

[9] Shakib H. and Atefatdoost G.R. 2011. "Effect of soil-structure interaction on torsional response of asymmetrical wall type systems". Elsevier, Procedia Engineering. 14: 1729-1736. [10] Agrawal R. and Hora M.S. 2010. "Effect of differential settlements on nonlinear interaction behaviour of plane frame-soil system". ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences. 5(7): 75-87.

[11] Bishop A.W. and Henkel D. J. 1962. The measurement of soil properties in the tri-axial test. Edward Arnold (Publishers) Ltd., London, 2nd Edition.

[12] Shah H. J. and Jain Sudhir K., Document No. :: IITK-GSDMA-EQ26-V3.0, Final Report :: A - Earthquake Codes, IITK-GSDMA Project on Building Codes: Design example of a six storey building.

[13] Pankaj Agrawal and Manish Shrikhande. Earthquake Resistant Design of Structure. 1st edition 2006 (Reprint 2013), PHI Learning Pvt. Ltd.

[14] IS 1893 (Part 1): 2002. Criteria for Earthquake Resistant Design of Structures. (Part 1: General Provisions and Buildings) (5th Revision).

[15] IS 875 (Part 2):1987 (Reaffirmed 1997). Indian Standard code of practice for design loads (other than earthquake) for buildings and structures, part 2, imposed loads (2ndRevision).

[16] IS 456: 2000 Indian Standard, plain and reinforced concrete - code of practice (4th Revision).