

Vulnerability Injection overhead markup using XSS

CHALLA PRATHYUSH KUMAR¹ & Mrs. M.SRIDEVI²

¹M-Tech Dept of CSE Laqshya Institute of Technology and Science, Khammam. Mail id: <u>prathyushchalla@gmail.com</u>

²Asst. Professor & HOD, Department of CSE in Laqshya Institute of Technology and Science, Khammam. Mail Id: - <u>sridevi279.gunti@gmail.com</u>

Abstract

Researchers have devised multiple solutions to cross-site scripting, but vulnerabilities persists in many Web applications due to developer's lack of expertise in the problem identification and their unfamiliarity with the current mechanisms. As proclaimed by the experts, cross-site scripting is among the serious and widespread threats in Web applications these days more than buffer overflows. Recent study shows XSS has ranked first in the MITRE Common Weakness Enumeration (CWE)/SANS Institute list of Top 25 Most Dangerous Software Errors and second in the Open Web Application Security Project (OWASP). However, vulnerabilities continue to exist in many Web applications due to developers" lack of understanding of the problem and their unfamiliarity with current guarding strengths and limitations. Existing techniques for defending against XSS exploits suffer from various weaknesses: inherent limitations, incomplete implementations, complex frameworks, runtime overhead, and intensive manual-work requirements. Security researchers can address these weaknesses from two different perspectives. They need to look beyond current techniques by incorporating more effective input validation and sanitization features. In time, development tools will incorporate security frameworks such as ESAPI that implement state-of-the-art technology. This paper focus on program verification perspective, how researchers must integrate program analysis, pattern recognition, concolic testing, data mining, and AI algorithms to solve different software engineering problems and to enhance the effectiveness of vulnerability detection. Focus on such issues would improve the precision of current methods by acquiring attack code patterns from outside experts as soon as they become available.

Keywords: XSS, vulnerability, Injection, overhead, markup.

1. Introduction

Cross-site scripting (XSS) is a type of computer insecurity vulnerability typically found in Web applications, such as web browsers which breach the security that enables attackers to infuse client-side script into Web pages viewed by other users [1]. A cross-site scripting vulnerability may be used by attackers to bypass



p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 03 Issue 17 November 2016

access controls such as the same origin policy. Several major websites including Face book, Twitter, MySpace, eBay, Google, and McAfee have been the targets of XSS exploits. XSS is the result of limitations inherent in many Web applications" security mechanisms i.e. the lack or insufficient refinement of user inputs. XSS flaws exist in Web applications written in various programming languages such as PHP, Java, and .NET where application WebPages reference unrestricted user inputs. Attackers inject malicious code via these inputs, thereby causing unintended script executions through clients" browsers. Researchers have proposed multiple XSS solutions ranging from simple static analysis to complex runtime protection mechanisms. Cross-site scripting carried out on websites accounted for roughly 80.5% of all security vulnerabilities recorded by Symantec as of 2007. Their effect may range from a petty trouble to a significant overhead of security risk, depending on the value of the data handled by the vulnerable site and the nature of any security mitigation implemented by the site's owner. From a development perspective, researchers need to craft simpler, better, and more flexible security alternatives.

Cross-site scripting flaws are web-application vulnerabilities which allow attackers to bypass client-side security mechanisms normally imposed on web content by modern web browsers. By finding ways of injecting

malicious scripts into web pages, an attacker can gain elevated access-privileges to sensitive page content, session cookies, and a variety of other information maintained by the browser on behalf for user. Cross-site scripting attacks are therefore a unique case of code injection [2]. The expression "cross-site scripting" originally referred to the act of inducing the attacked, third-party web application from a distinct attack site, in a manner that executes a section of JavaScript programmed by the attacker in the security framework of the targeted domain. The definition gradually expanded to encompass other modes of code injection, including persistent and nonJavaScript vectors (including Java, ActiveX, VBScript, Flash, or even pure HTML, and SQL Queries), causing some uncertainty to newcomers to the field of information security [3]. XSS vulnerabilities have been reported and exploited since the 1990s. Well-known sites affected in the history include the social-networking sites Twitter, Face book, MySpace, and Orkut. In recent years, cross-site scripting flaws surpassed buffer overflows to become the most common publicly-reported security vulnerability, with some researchers in 2007 viewing as many as 68% of websites as likely open to XSS attacks.

2. Related Work

2.1 TYPES OF XSS EXPLOIT:

Persistent or Stored Attacks: The persistent or stored XSS vulnerability is transpired when the



p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 03 Issue 17 November 2016

attacker is provided the data is saved back by the server, and then returned to other users in the course of normal browsing permanently displayed "normal" without as pages, appropriate HTML escaping. Mostly this type of vulnerability occurs in the Social websites where-in members scan the profiles of other members [2]. For privacy reasons, this site hides everybody's unique personal identity and email. These are kept secret on the server. Particularly in the case of social networking sites, the code would be further designed to self-propagate across accounts, creating an indirect kind of a client-side worm. Persistent XSS can be more significant than other types because an attacker's malicious script is turned into automatic nature, without the need to individually target victims or also lure them to a third-party website. Any data received by the web application (via email, system logs, etc.) that can be controlled by an attacker could befall into injection vector.

Non-persistent or Reflected Attacks: Nonpersistent XSS vulnerabilities in Google could permit malicious sites to attack Google users who visit them whilst logged in. A potential vector is a site search engine, given a search for a string; the search string will typically be redisplayed verbatim on the result page to indicate what was searched for. If this response does not properly escape or reject HTML control characters, a cross-site scripting flaw would result in. A reflected attack is typically

delivered via email or a neutral web site. These holes show up when the data provided by a web client, most frequently in HTTP query parameters or in HTML form submissions, is used immediately by server-side scripts to generate a page of results for that user, without properly cleansing the request. Because HTML documents have a flat, serial structure that blends control statements, formatting, and the actual content, any non-validated user-supplied data included in the resulting page without proper HTML encoding[5]. This may result in markup injection. In this class of scripting languages are also used, e.g., Action Script and VBScript. Mostly attackers would write the scripting in java language only for common practice of the attack includes a design step. In this context the attacker creates and tests an offending URI (Uniform Resource Indicator), a social engineering step, in which the offender convinces his victims to load this URI on their browsers, and the eventual execution of the offending code [4]. The web application might filter out"

DOM-Based Attacks: This name refers to the standard model for representing HTML or XML contents which is called the Document Object Model (DOM). JavaScript programs manipulate the state of a web page and populate it with dynamically computed data primarily by acting upon the DOM. With the arrival of web 2.0 applications a new class of XSS flaws has



emerged i.e. DOM-based vulnerabilities. DOMbased vulnerabilities occur in the content processing stages performed by the client, typically in client-side JavaScript [1].

2.2 TYPES OF XSS DEFENCES:

XSS defenses can be broadly classified into four types:

- a. Defensive coding
- b. XSS testing
- c. Vulnerability detection
- d. Runtime attack prevention.

It compares various current techniques, which each have strengths and weaknesses.

Defensive Coding

XSS arises from the improper handling of inputs, using defensive coding practices that validate and sanitize inputs is the best way to eliminate XSS vulnerabilities. The user must make sure that the inputs are validated and conform to a required input format [3]. The four basic input sanitization options are:

a. Replacement and elimination methods search for known bad characters (blacklist).

b. The former replaces them with non-malicious characters, whereas the latter simply removes them.

c. Escaping methods search for characters that have special meanings for client-side interpreters and remove those meanings.

d. Restriction techniques limit inputs to known good inputs (white list).

Checking blacklisted characters in the inputs is more scalable, but blacklist comparisons often fall short as it is difficult to foresee every attack signature alternative. White list comparisons are considered more protected, but they can result in the denial of many unlisted valid inputs. OWASP has issued rules that define proper escaping schemes for inputs referenced in different HTML output locations.

XSS Testing: Input validation testing could expose XSS vulnerabilities in Web applications. Specification based IVT methods generate test cases with a plan of exercising various combinations of valid or invalid input conditions stated in specifications. In general, the effectiveness of both specification and code based approaches depends largely on the completeness of specifications or the sufficiency of generated test suites for discovering XSS vulnerabilities in source code. Hossain Shahriar Mohammad Zulkernine developed and MUTEC, a fault based XSS testing tool that mutated creates programs by changing responsive program statements, or sinks, with mutation operators. Only test cases containing adequate XSS attack vectors can bring about original and mutated programs to behave. Example 1

Vulnerability Detection: This type of XSS defenses focus on identifying vulnerabilities in server-side scripts. Static-analysis based approaches can demonstrate the absence of



vulnerabilities, but they tend to produce many false positives. Recent approaches combine static analysis with dynamic analysis techniques to improve accuracy.

Static Analysis: Benjamin Livs and Monica Lam used binary decision diagrams to relate points to analysis to server-side scripts. Their approach requires users to specify vulnerability patterns in Program Query Language [6] .Yichen Xie and Alex Aiken proposed a static analysis technique that acquire block and function summary information from symbolic execution Pixy, an open source vulnerability scanner and also includes alias analysis to improve precision. These techniques identify tainted inputs accessed from exterior data sources, track the flow of tainted data, and check if any reached sinks such as SQL statements and HTML output statements. For example, for the program traveler Tip it reports the following statements as vulnerable:

3. Implementation

3.1 IMPLEMENTATION OF XSS DEFENCES:

We all consent that cross-site scripting is a serious problem, but what continues to amaze me is the lack of good documentation on the subject. It is easy to find instructions how to execute attacks against applications vulnerable to XSS, but finding something adequate to cover defense is a real challenge [2]. No wonder programmers keep making the same errors over

and over again. I am sure that one page that describes the problems and the solutions is somewhere out there, but I have been unable to find it. All I am getting is a page after page after page of half-truths and partial information, and even people saying that XSS is impossible to defend against [3]. To help developers practice its defensive coding rules, OWASP has created the Enterprise **SecurityAPI** (https://owasp.org/index.php/Category:OWASP _Enterprise_ Security_API) i.e. ESAPI, an open source library for many different programming languages. Microsoft also provides the Web Protection Library (http://wpl.codeplex.com) for .NET developers. To produce web applications that is safe against XSS and other injection attacks [7]. Every such function must be aware of the character encoding used in the application. Then, for every piece of code that sends data from one component into another, make sure you use the correct function to encode data to make it safe check that every piece of data you receive is in the correct character encoding and that the format matches that of the type you are expecting (input validation). One must use white listing (as blacklisting does not work) in preventing attackers from executing JavaScript code in data pretending to be an Internet address.

4. Experimental Work

To avoid the conflict arise in this scenario the URL-SAP is designed and implemented. Now



Options: View Full Header | View Printable Version | Download this as a file

SOME OF THE LINKS MAY BE MALICIOUS !!!!! CAREF CLICKING ON THEM

Dear customer of Trusted Bank,

We have received notice that you have recently attempted to withdraw the following amount from your checking account while in another country \$135.25

If this information is not correct, someone unknown may have access to your account. As a safety measure, please visit our website via the link below to verify your personal information

http://www.039.biz

Once you have done this our fraud department will work to resolve this issue. we are happy you have chosen us to do business with.

3(c)

Options: View Full Header | View Printable Version | Download this as a file

SOME OF THE LINKS MAY BE MALICIOUS !!!!! CAREFUL BEFORE

Once you have done this our fraud department will work to resolve this issue, we are happy you have chosen us to do business with. Thank wos.

3(d)

Figure 3: URL Obfuscation Attacks and Cross-Site Scripting Attacks Representation in Different Views: 3.a) Normal Mail Representation 3.b) URL with more number of dots 3.c) Black-listed URL 3.d) Encoded URL 3.

5. Conclusion

Inherent limitations, unfinished implementations, complex frameworks, runtime overhead and rigorous manual-work requirements. These are the existing techniques for defending against XSS exploits suffer from various weaknesses. Security researchers can

the end-users first validate the given URL and can enter into the authentication process. Only registered valid URLs will be available to enter into the system. Thus it avoids the data capturing by hackers through URL. More devise thing followed in this URL-SAP is whatever URL an end-user can get through, but in authentication process the given details cannot be attain by hacking. Because of dynamic mechanism involved in authentication process no such malicious person capture the data given by the end-users.



3(a)

Options: View Full Header | View Printable Version | Download this as a file

SOME OF THE LINKS MAY BE MALICIOUS !!!!! CAREFUL CLICKING ON THEM

Dear customer of Trusted Bank,

We have received notice that you have recently attempted to withdraw the following amount from your checking account while in another country \$135.25

If this information is not correct, someone unknown may have access to your account. As a safety measure, please visit our website via the link below to verify your personal information

http://www.state.bank.of.india.com

Once you have done this our fraud department will work to resolve this issue, we are hacov you have chosen us to do business with.

3(b)



deal with these weaknesses from two different perspectives. Researchers need to craft simpler, better, and more flexible security defenses [6]. They need to look beyond current techniques by incorporating more effective input validation and sanitization features. In time to come many development tools would be incorporated for security frameworks such as ESAPI that implement state-of-the-art technology. Researchers must integrate program analysis, pattern recognition, concolic testing, data mining, and AI algorithms would be used rigorously in future to solve different software engineering problems to improve the effectiveness of vulnerability detection. They can also improve the precision of current methods by gaining attack code patterns from outside experts.

6. References

[1]https://www.owasp.org/index.php/Top_10_2
013-Top_10

[2] Nilesh Kochre, Satish Chalukar, Santosh Kakde, "Survey On SQL Injection Attacks And Their Countermeasures ", International Journal Of Computational Engineering And Management, Vol -14, October 2011

[3]https://www.owasp.org/index.php/Top_10_2 013-A2-

Broken_Authentication_and_Session_Managem ent [4] Hossain Shaihriar and Mahammad Zulkernine, "S2 XS2 : A Server Side Approach To Automatically Detect XSS Attacks", Ninth International Conference on Dependable, Automatic Secure Computing, IEEE, 2011 PP.7-17

[5] Jeom-Goo Kim, "Injection Attack DetectionUsing Removal of SQL Query Attribute Values", IEEE 2011

[6] Chai Wenguuang, Tan Chunhui, Duan
Yuting, "Research Of Intelligent Intrusion
Detection System Based On Web Data Mining
Technology", IEEE 4th International
Conference On Business Intelligence And
Financial Engg. 2011, PP. 14-17

[7] Sruthy Mamadhan, Manesh T, Varghese Paul, "SQLStor: Blockage of Stored Procedure SQL Injection Attack Using Dynamic Query Structure Validation" 12th International Conference on Intelligent Systems Design and Applications (ISDA), IEEE, Nov. 2012, PP. 240-245

[8] Gao Jiao, Chang-Ming XU, JING Maohua "SQLIMW: a new mechanism against SQL-Injection" in Proc. Of 2012 International Conference on Computer Science and Service System, 2012, PP. 1178-1180

[9] Jaskanwal Minhas, Raman Kumar, "Blocking of SQL Injection Attacks by Comparing Static and Dynamic Queries" in International Journal Computer Network and Information Security, vol.2, 2013 PP.1-9

[10] D.Huluka, O.Popov, "Root cause analysis of session management and broken



p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 03 Issue 17 November 2016

authentication vulnerabilities", IEEE World Congress on Internet Security, 2012, PP. 82-86 [11] Yusuki Takamastu, Yuji Kosuga, Kenji Kono, "Automatic Detection Of Session Fixation Vulnerabilities ", 2012 Tenth Annual International Conference on Privacy, Security and Trust IEEE, PP- 112-119

[12] Atul S. Choudhary and M.L Dhore, "CIDT:Detection Of Malicious Code Injection AttacksOn Web Application", International Journal OfComputing Applications Volume-52-N0.2,August 2012, PP. 19- 25

[13] Takeshi Matsuda, Daiki Koizumi, "Cross Site Scripting Attacks Detection Algorithm Based on the Appearance Position of Characters", 5th Intern

ational Conference on Communications, Computers and Applications, IEEE, October 2012, PP. 65-70

[14] Yousra Faisal Gad Mahgoup Elhakeem , Bazara I. A. Barry," Developing a Security Model to Protect Websites from Cross-site Scripting Attacks Using Zend Framework Application", International Conference on Computing, Electrical and Electronics Engineering (ICCEEE), August 2013, PP. 624-629.

Author's profile



CHALLA PRATHYUSH KUMAR

B-Tech in Laqshya Institute of Technology And Science, Khammam, M-Tech Computer Science and Engineering In Laqshya Institute of Technology and Science, Khammam, Mail id: <u>prathyushchalla@gmail.com</u> Phone number: 9666560146



M.SRI DEVI

Working as Asst.Professor and HOD , Department of CSE in Laqshya Institute of Technology and Sciences since 2008 July to till date. Working as IEEE student branch councellor, Deputy Representative for ISO certification work.

Email id: - sridevi279.gunti@gmail.com