

Provable Multicopy Dynamic Data Possession in Cloud Computing Systems

V. SOMASEKHAR
SMSKHR5@GMAIL.COM
DEPARTMENT OF CSE
SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA
ENGINEERING COLLEGE.
GOOTY, AP. INDIA

S. GNAWAZ
SNGNAWAZ@GMAIL.COM
ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR
DEPARTMENT OF CSE
SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA
ENGINEERING COLLEGE.
GOOTY, AP. INDIA

DR. R. RAMACHANDRA
PRINCIPAL OF
SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA
ENGINEERING COLLEGE.
GOOTY, AP. INDIA

ABSTRACT

Increasingly more and more organizations are opting for outsourcing data to remote cloud service providers (CSPs). Customers can rent the CSPs storage infrastructure to store and retrieve almost unlimited amount of data by paying fees metered in gigabyte/month. For an increased level of scalability, availability, and durability, some customers may want their data to be replicated on multiple servers across multiple data centers. The more copies the CSP is asked to store, the more fees the customers are charged. Therefore, customers need to have a strong guarantee that the CSP is storing all data copies that are agreed upon in the service contract, and all these copies are consistent with the most recent modifications issued by the customers. In this paper, we propose a map-based provable multicopy dynamic data possession (MB-PMDDP) scheme that has the following features: 1) it provides an evidence to the customers that the CSP is not cheating by storing fewer copies; 2) it supports outsourcing of dynamic data, i.e., it supports block-level operations, such as block modification, insertion, deletion, and append; and 3) it allows authorized users to

seamlessly access the file copies stored by the CSP. We give a comparative analysis of the proposed MB-


PMDDP scheme with a reference model obtained by extending existing provable possession of dynamic single-copy schemes. The theoretical analysis is validated through experimental results on a commercial cloud platform. In addition, we show the security against colluding servers, and discuss how to identify corrupted copies by slightly modifying the proposed scheme.

INTRODUCTION

What is cloud computing?

Cloud computing is the use of computing resources (hardware and software) that are delivered as a service over a network (typically the Internet). The name comes from the common use of a cloud-shaped symbol as an abstraction for the complex infrastructure it contains in system diagrams. Cloud computing entrusts remote services with a user's data, software and computation. Cloud computing consists

of hardware and software resources made available on the Internet as managed third-party services. These services typically provide access to advanced software applications and high-end networks of server computers.



Structure of cloud computing

How Cloud Computing Works?

The goal of cloud computing is to apply traditional supercomputing, or high-performance computing power, normally used by military and research facilities, to perform tens of trillions of computations per second, in consumer-oriented applications such as financial portfolios, to deliver personalized information, to provide data storage or to power large, immersive computer games.

The cloud computing uses networks of large groups of servers typically running low-cost consumer PC technology with specialized connections to spread data-processing chores across them. This shared IT infrastructure contains large pools of systems that are linked together. Often, virtualization techniques are used to maximize the power of cloud computing.

IMPLEMENTATION

MODULES:

1. Data Owners
2. Cloud Server
3. Users Module
4. Confidentiality

Software Environment

Java Technology

Java technology is both a programming language and a platform.


The Java Programming Language

The Java programming language is a high-level language that can be characterized by all of the following buzzwords:

- Simple
- Architecture neutral
- Object oriented
- Portable
- Distributed
- High performance
- Interpreted
- Multithreaded
- Robust
- Dynamic
- Secure

With most programming languages, you either compile or interpret a program so that you can run it on your computer. The Java programming language is unusual in that a program is both compiled and interpreted. With the compiler, first you translate a program into an intermediate language

called Java byte codes —the platform-independent codes interpreted by the interpreter on the Java platform. The interpreter parses and runs each Java byte code instruction on the computer. Compilation happens just once; interpretation occurs each time the program is executed. The following figure illustrates how this works.



SYSTEM STUDY

FEASIBILITY STUDY

The feasibility of the project is analyzed in this phase and business proposal is put forth with a very general plan for the project and some cost estimates. During system analysis the feasibility study of the proposed system is to be carried out. This is to ensure that the proposed system is not a burden to the company. For feasibility analysis, some understanding of the major requirements for the system is essential.

Three key considerations involved in the feasibility analysis are

- ECONOMICAL FEASIBILITY
- TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY
- SOCIAL FEASIBILITY

SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS:

HARDWARE REQUIREMENTS:

- System : Pentium IV 2.4 GHz.
- Hard Disk : 40 GB.
- Floppy Drive : 1.44 Mb.
- Monitor : 15 VGA Colour.
- Mouse : Logitech.
- Ram : 512 Mb.

SOFTWARE REQUIREMENTS:

- Operating system : - Windows XP.
- Coding Language: J2EE
- Data Base : MYSQL

SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE:

DATA FLOW DIAGRAM:

1. The DFD is also called as bubble chart. It is a simple graphical formalism that can be used to represent a system in terms of input data to the system, various processing carried out on this data, and the output data is generated by this system.
2. The data flow diagram (DFD) is one of the most important modeling tools. It is used to model the system components. These components are the system process, the data used by the process, an external entity that interacts with the system and the information flows in the system.

SYSTEM TESTING

The purpose of testing is to discover errors. Testing is the process of trying to discover every conceivable fault or weakness in a work product. It provides a way to check the functionality of components, sub assemblies, assemblies and/or a finished product. It is the process of exercising software with the intent of ensuring that the

Software system meets its requirements and user expectations and does not fail in an unacceptable manner. There are various types of test. Each test type addresses a specific testing requirement.

TYPES OF TESTS

Unit testing

Integration testing

Functional test

System Test

Black Box Testing

White Box Testing

INPUT DESIGN

The input design is the link between the information system and the user. It comprises the developing specification and procedures for data preparation and those steps are necessary to put transaction data in to a usable form for processing can be achieved by inspecting the computer to read data from a written or printed document or it can occur by having people keying the data directly into the system. The design of input focuses on controlling the amount of input required, controlling the errors, avoiding delay,

avoiding extra steps and keeping the process simple. The input is designed in such a way so that it provides security and ease of use with retaining the privacy. Input Design considered the following things:

- What data should be given as input?
- How the data should be arranged or coded?
- The dialog to guide the operating personnel in providing input.
- Methods for preparing input validations and steps to follow when error occur.

OUTPUT DESIGN

A quality output is one, which meets the requirements of the end user and presents the information clearly. In any system results of processing are communicated to the users and to other system through outputs. In output design it is determined how the information is to be displaced for immediate need and also the hard copy output. It is the most important and direct source information to the user. Efficient and intelligent output design improves the system's relationship to help user decision-making.

1. Designing computer output should proceed in an organized, well thought out manner; the right output must be developed while ensuring that each output element is designed so that people will find the system can use easily and effectively. When analysis design computer output, they should Identify the specific output that is needed to meet the requirements.

2. Select methods for presenting information.

3. Create document, report, or other formats that contain information produced by the system.

The output form of an information system should accomplish one or more of the following objectives.

- ◆ Convey information about past activities, current status or projections of the
- ◆ Future.
- ◆ Signal important events, opportunities, problems, or warnings.
- ◆ Trigger an action.
- ◆ Confirm an action.

CONCLUSION

Outsourcing data to remote servers has become a growing trend for many organizations to alleviate the burden of local data storage and maintenance. In this work we have studied the problem of creating multiple copies of dynamic data file and verifying those copies stored on untrusted cloud servers. We have proposed a new PDP scheme (referred to as MB-PMDDP), which supports outsourcing of multi-copy dynamic data, where the data owner is capable of not only archiving and accessing the data copies stored by the CSP, but also updating and scaling these copies on the remote servers. To the best of our knowledge, the proposed scheme is the first to address multiple copies of dynamic data. The interaction between the authorized users and the CSP is considered in our scheme, where the authorized users can seamlessly access a data copy received from the CSP using a single secret key shared with the data owner. Moreover, the proposed scheme supports public verifiability, enables arbitrary number of auditing, and allows possession-free

verification where the verifier has the ability to verify the data integrity even though he neither possesses nor retrieves the file blocks from the server. Through performance analysis and experimental results, we have demonstrated that the proposed MB-PMDDP scheme outperforms the TB-PMDDP approach derived from a class of dynamic single-copy PDP models. The TB-PMDDP leads to high storage overhead on the remote servers and high computations on both the CSP and the verifier sides. The MB-PMDDP scheme significantly reduces the computation time during the challenge-response phase which makes it more practical for applications where a large number of verifiers are connected to the CSP causing a huge computation overhead on the servers. Besides, it has lower storage overhead on the CSP, and thus reduces the fees paid by the cloud customers. The dynamic block operations of the map-based approach are done with less communication cost than that of the tree-based approach. A slight modification can be done on the proposed scheme to support the feature of identifying the indices of corrupted copies. The corrupted data copy can be reconstructed even from a complete damage using duplicated copies on other servers. Through security analysis, we have shown that the proposed scheme is provably secure.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Ateniese et al., "Provable data possession at untrusted stores," in Proc. 14th ACM Conf. Comput. Commun. Secur. (CCS), New York, NY, USA, 2007, pp. 598–609.

- [2] K. Zeng, "Publicly verifiable remote data integrity," in Proc. 10th Int. Conf. Inf. Commun. Secur. (ICICS), 2008, pp. 419–434.
- [3] Y. Deswarte, J.-J. Quisquater, and A. Saïdane, "Remote integrity checking," in Proc. 6th Working Conf. Integr. Internal Control Inf. Syst. (IICIS), 2003, pp. 1–11.
- [4] D. L. G. Filho and P. S. L. M. Barreto, "Demonstrating data possession and uncheatable data transfer," IACR (International Association for Cryptologic Research) ePrint Archive, Tech. Rep. 2006/150, 2006.
- [5] F. Seb , J. Domingo-Ferrer, A. Martinez-Balleste, Y. Deswarte, and J.-J. Quisquater, "Efficient remote data possession checking in critical information infrastructures," IEEE Trans. Knowl. Data Eng., vol. 20, no. 8, pp. 1034–1038, Aug. 2008.
- [6] P. Golle, S. Jarecki, and I. Mironov, "Cryptographic primitives enforcing communication and storage complexity," in Proc. 6th Int. Conf. Financial Cryptograph. (FC), Berlin, Germany, 2003, pp. 120–135.
- [7] M. A. Shah, M. Baker, J. C. Mogul, and R. Swaminathan, "Auditing to keep online storage services honest," in Proc. 11th USENIX Workshop Hot Topics Oper. Syst. (HOTOS), Berkeley, CA, USA, 2007, pp. 1–6.
- [8] M. A. Shah, R. Swaminathan, and M. Baker, "Privacy-preserving audit and extraction of digital contents," IACR Cryptology ePrint Archive, Tech. Rep. 2008/186, 2008.
- [9] E. Mykletun, M. Narasimha, and G. Tsudik, "Authentication and integrity in outsourced databases," ACM Trans. Storage, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 107–138, 2006.
- [10] G. Ateniese, R. D. Pietro, L. V. Mancini, and G. Tsudik, "Scalable and efficient provable data possession," in Proc. 4th Int. Conf. Secur. Privacy Commun. Netw. (SecureComm), New York, NY, USA, 2008, Art. ID 9