

1

# Reweighted ZAQV- LMS Based Adaptive Beam Forming Array Sensor

## STUDENT DETAILS:



Kanigolla Prem Manikanta Gupta M.Tech(DECS), Department of ECE

Abstract— The aim of this paper is to provide efficient solution to reduce the complexity of beamforming process and to reduce the energy consumption. In this letter an RZA-QLMS algorithm has been proposed for adaptive beamforming based on vector sensor arrays consisting of crossed dipoles. By using this technique in the process of beamforming the reduced system complexity and energy consumption can be achieved while an acceptable performance can still be maintained, which is especially useful for large array systems. Simulation results have shown that the proposed algorithm can work effectively for beamforming while enforcing a sparse solution for the weight vector where the corresponding crossed-dipole sensors with almost zero valued coefficients can be removed from the system.

Keywords:-vector sensor array, quaternion, adaptive beamforming, LMS, zero attracting.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Adaptive beamforming has a range of applications and has been studied extensively in the past for traditional array systems [1], [2], [3], [4]. With the introduction of vector sensor arrays, such as those consisting of crossed-dipoles and tripoles [5], [6], [7], adaptive beamforming for such an array system has attracted more and more attention recently [6], [8], [9], [10].

In this work, we consider the crossed-dipole array and study the problem of how to reduce the number of sensors involved in the beamforming process so that reduced system complexity and energy consumption can be achieved while an acceptable performance can still be maintained, which is especially useful for large array systems. In particular, we will use the quaternion-valued steering vector model for crossed-



dipole arrays [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], and propose a novel quaternion-valued adaptive algorithm for reference signal based beamforming.

In the past, several quaternion-valued adaptive filtering algorithms have been derived in [9], [16], [17], [18]. Notwithstanding the advantages of the quaternionic algorithms, extra cares have to be taken in their developments, in particular when the derivatives of quaternion-valued functions are involved, since

quaternion algebra is non-commutative. Very recently, properties and applications of a restricted HR<sup>1</sup> gradient operator for quaternion-valued signal processing were provided in [19]. Based on these recent advances in quaternion-valued signal processing, we here derive a reweighted zero attracting (RZA) quaternion-valued least mean square (QLMS) algorithm by introducing a RZA term to the cost function of the QLMS algorithm. Similar to the idea of the RZA least mean square (RZA-LMS) algorithm proposed in [20], the RZA term aims to have a closer approximation to the  $l_0$  norm so that the number of non-zero valued coefficients can be reduced more effec-tively in the adaptive beamforming process. This algorithm can be considered as an extension of our recently proposed zero-attracting QLMS (ZA-QLMS) algorithm [21], where the  $l_1$  norm penalty term was used in the update equation of the weight vector. We will show in our simulations that the RZA-LMS algorithm has a much better performance in terms of both steady state error and the number of sensors employed after convergence.

A review of adaptive beamforming based on vector sensor arrays is provided in Sec. II, and the proposed RZA-QLMS algorithm is derived in Sec. III. Simulations are presented in Sec. IV, and conclusions drawn in Sec. V.

II. ADAPTIVE BEAMFORMING BASED ON VECTOR

SENSOR ARRAYS

A. Quaternionic Array Signal Model



Fig. 1. A ULA with crossed-dipoles.

### International Journal of Research Available at

https://edupediapublications.org/journals

p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 04 Issue 06 May 2017

with a direction of arrival (DOA) defined by the angles  $\theta$  and  $\phi$ , its spatial steering vector is given by

$$S_{C}(\theta, \phi) = \left[1, e^{-j2\pi d \sin \theta \sin \phi/\lambda}, \dots \right]_{e^{-j2\pi(M-I)d \sin \theta \sin \phi/\lambda_{1}T}}$$
(1)

where  $\lambda$  is the wavelength of the incident signal and  $\{\cdot\}^{I}$ denotes the transpose operation. For a crossed dipole the spatial-polarization coherent vector is given by [22], [23]

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\theta, \phi, \gamma, \eta) = & [-\cos\gamma, \cos\theta\sin\gamma e^{j\eta}] & \text{for } \phi = \frac{\pi}{2} \\ S_p^{\{\cos\gamma, & -\cos\theta\sin\gamma e^{j\eta}\}} & & \text{for } \phi = \frac{-\pi}{2} \\ & & & 2 \end{array}$$

where  $\gamma$  is the auxiliary polarization angle with  $\gamma \in [0, \pi/2]$ , and  $\eta \in [-\pi, \pi]$  is the polarization phase difference.

The array structure can be divided into two sub-arrays: one the y-axis. The complexparallel to the x-axis and one to valued steering vector of the x-axis sub-array is given by

$$(\theta, \phi, \gamma, \eta) = \frac{-\cos\gamma S_c(\theta, \phi)}{\int_{\cos\gamma S_c(\theta, \phi)}^{\infty} for \phi = \frac{\pi}{2}}$$
(3)

and for the y-axis it is expressed as

$$s_{y}(\theta, \phi, \gamma, \eta) = \begin{cases} \cos \theta \sin \gamma e^{j\eta} S_{c}(\theta, \phi) & \phi = \frac{\pi}{2} \\ \cos \theta \sin \gamma e^{j\eta} S_{c}(\theta, \phi) & \phi = \frac{-\pi}{2} \end{cases}$$
(4)

Before we present the quaternion-valued steering vector model, we first very briefly review some basics about quaternion. A quaternion q can be described as

$$q = q_1 + (q_2 i + q_3 j + q_4 k), \tag{5}$$

where  $q_1, q_2, q_3$ , and  $q_4$  are real-valued [24], [25]. In this paper, we consider the conjugate operator of q as  $q^* = q_1$  -

$$q_{2}i - q_{3}j - q_{4}k.$$
 The three imaginary units *i*, *j*, and *k* satisfy  

$$ij = k, \quad jk = i, ki = j,$$

$$ijk = i \quad \stackrel{2}{=} j \quad \stackrel{2}{=} k \quad \stackrel{2}{=} -1;$$
(6)

where the exchange of any two elements in their order gives a different result. For example, we have ji = -ij rather than ji = ij. For a general quaternion-valued function f(q), the df(q)derivative . with respect to q can be expressed as [19],

$$\begin{bmatrix} 21 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 26 \end{bmatrix} \\ \frac{df(q)}{df(q)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial f(q)}{\partial q} - \frac{\partial f(q)}{\partial q} \right)^{i} - \frac{\partial f(q)}{\partial q} = \frac{\partial f(q)}{\partial q} \\ \frac{\partial f(q)}{\partial q} = \frac{\partial f(q)}{\partial q} + \frac{\partial f(q)}{\partial q} = \frac{\partial f(q)}{\partial q} + \frac{\partial f(q)}{\partial q} = \frac{\partial f(q)}{\partial q}$$

while the derivative of f(q) with respect to  $q^*$  is given by  $\partial f(q)$ df(q) $\partial f(q)$  $\partial f(q)$  $\partial f(q)$ 

$$dq^* = 4 (\partial q_1 + \partial q_2 \quad i + \partial q_3 \quad j + \partial q_4 \quad k) . \quad (8) \partial f$$
  
Combining the two complex-valued subarray steering vec-

one real part and three imaginary parts can be constructed as

tors together, an overall quaternion-valued steering vector with

$$S_{q}(\theta, \phi, \gamma, \eta) = \{S_{x}(\theta, \phi, \gamma, \eta)\} + i\{S_{y}(\theta, \phi, \gamma, \eta)\} + i\{S_{x}(\theta, \phi, \gamma, \eta)\} + k\{S_{y}(\theta, \phi, \gamma, \eta)\},$$

where  $\{\cdot\}$  and  $\{\cdot\}$  are the real and imaginary parts of a complex number/vector, respectively. Given a set of coefficients, the response of the array is given by



Reference signal based adaptive beamforming. Fig. 2.

#### B. Reference Signal Based Adaptive Beamforming

The aim of beamforming is to receive the desired signal while suppressing interferences at the beamformer output. When a reference signal d[n] is available, adaptive beamforming can be implemented by the standard adaptive filtering structure, as shown in Fig. 2, where  $x_m[n]$ ,  $m = 1, \dots, M$ 3) are the received quaternion-valued input signals through the Mpairs of crossed-dipoles, and  $w_m[n] = a_m + b_m i + c_m j + d_m k$ ,

1,  $\cdots$ , *M* are the corresponding quaternion-valued m =weight coefficients with a, b, c and d being real-valued. y[n]is the beamformer output and e[n] is the error signal

$$y[n] = w^{T}[n]x[n], \quad e[n] = d[n] \quad w^{T}[n]x[n], \quad (11)$$

where

$$w[n] = [w_{I}[n], w_{2}[n], \cdots, w_{M}[n]]^{T}$$
  
$$x[n] = [x_{I}[n], x_{2}[n], \cdots, x_{M}[n]]^{T}.$$
 (12)

н

The conjugate form of the error signal is  $e^{*}[n]$ , given by

$$e^{*}[n] = d^{*}[n] - x [n] w^{*}[n],$$
 (13)

where  $\{\cdot\}^{H}$  is the combination of both  $\{\cdot\}^{T}$  and  $\{\cdot\}^{*}$  operations for a quaternion. Then w can be updated by minimizing the instantaneous square error  $J_0[n] = e[n]e^*[n]$ .

For a general quaternion-valued function f(w), the differentiation with respect to the vector w and w\* is

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial a_{i}} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_{i}} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial b_{i}} i - \frac{\partial f}{\partial c_{i}} j - \frac{\partial f}{\partial d_{i}} k$$

$$= \frac{\partial f}{\partial f} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial f} i - \frac{\partial f}{\partial c_{M}} j - \frac{\partial f}{\partial f} k$$

$$(14)$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial a_{I}} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial i_{I}} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial j_{I}} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial d_{I}} k$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial a_{I}}{\partial a_{M}} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial b_{M}} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial c_{M}} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial d_{M}} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial d_{M}}$$
(15)

As discussed in [19], [27], the gradient of  $J_0[n]$  with respect to w\* would give the steepest direction for the optimization

(9) surface. It can be obtained as follows

$$J \quad [n] = \frac{1}{e[n]} e[n] ,$$

$$\nabla_{W} = \frac{1}{\theta} e[n] - \frac{1}{2} x$$
(16)  
and the update equation for the weight vector with step size

Available online: https://edupediapublications.org/journals/index.php/IJR/ Page | 546



w

# **International Journal of Research**

Available at https://edupediapublications.org/journals

p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 04 Issue 06 May 2017

$$\begin{aligned} r(\theta, \phi, \gamma, \eta) &= \mathbf{w}^H \mathbf{S}_q(\theta, \phi, \gamma, \eta) \\ \text{where w is the quaternion-valued weight vector.} \\ - \nabla \end{aligned}$$

(10) 
$$\mu$$
 is given by  $w[n+1] = w[n] \qquad \mu \qquad w \quad J_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}[n],$  (17)



3

0

 $w_m[n] = 0$ 

leading to the following QLMS algorithm [16], [17], [26]

$$w[n+1] = w[n] + \frac{1}{2}\mu(e[n]x^{*}[n]).$$
(18)

#### **III. THE RZA-OLMS ALGORITHM**

Using the QLMS algorithm, we can find the optimal coefficient vector in terms of minimum mean square error (MSE) and obtain a satisfactory beamforming result. However, to reduce the complexity and also power consumption of the system, in particular for a large array, we can reduce the number of sensors involved, at the cost of the final beamforming performance. To achieve this, we here derive a novel quaternion-valued adaptive algorithm by introducing an RZA term to the original cost function of the QLMS algorithm. In this way, we can simultaneously minimise the number of sensors involved while suppressing the interferences during the beamforming process.

First, to minimise the number of sensors, we could add the  $l_0$  norm of the weight vector w to the cost function  $J_0[n]$  to form a new cost function

$$J \ \boldsymbol{\rho}[n] = (1 - \delta_{\boldsymbol{I}})e[n]e^{*}[n] + \delta_{\boldsymbol{I}} /\!\!/ w[n] /\!\!/ \boldsymbol{\rho}, \tag{19}$$

where  $\delta_I$  is a weighting term between the original cost function and the newly introduced term. In this way, the number of nonzero valued coefficients in w will be minimised too, where the similar idea has been applied in [28].

In practice, we could replace the  $l_0$  norm by the  $l_1$  norm. However, l1 norm would uniformly penalise all non-zero valued coefficients, while  $l_0$  norm penalises smaller non-zero values more heavily. To have a closer approximation to  $l_0$ norm, we can introduce a larger weighting term to those coefficients with smaller values and a smaller weighting term to those with larger values. This weighting term will change according to the resultant coefficients at each update of the algorithm. This general idea has been implemented as a reweighted l<sub>1</sub> minimization [29], [30] and employed in the sparse array design problem [31], [32], [33].

The modified cost function for the proposed RZA-QLMS algorithm with the reweighting term is given by

$$J_{\boldsymbol{I}}[n] = (1 - \delta_{\boldsymbol{I}})e[n]e^{\star}[n] + \delta_{\boldsymbol{I}} \qquad \sum_{m=\boldsymbol{I}}^{M} (\varepsilon_m/w_m[n]/), \qquad (20)$$

where  $\varepsilon_m$  is the reweighting term for  $w_m$ . Then using the chain rule in [19], we can obtain the gradient of  $J_{I}[n]$  with respect to  $w^{*}[n]$ . In particular, the differentiation of the second part of  $J_{I}[n]$  with regards to  $w_{m}^{*}[n]$  is given by

$$\frac{\partial (\varepsilon_m / w_m[n] /)}{\partial w_m^*} = 1$$

$$1 \qquad \partial (w_m^*[n] /) \quad \partial (/w_m[n] /)$$

$$-\varepsilon_m (w_m^* - w_m^* - w_m^* - w_m^*)$$

$$\varepsilon_m w_m^*$$

=

$$+ \frac{\partial(w_m[n])}{\partial c_m} j + \frac{\partial(w_m[n])}{\partial d_m} k m[n]$$

$$=\frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial c_m}{\varepsilon_m(m[n])} \frac{\partial d_m}{w_m[n]/i} \frac{c_m}{\omega_m(m[n])} \frac{c_m}{i} n]$$

TABLE I COMPARISON OF COMPUTATIONAL COMPLEXITY.

	QLMS	ZA-QLMS	RZA-QLMS
Real-valued addition	28M+4	35M+4	38M+4
Real-valued multiplication	32M+4	44M+4	52M+4
(Including square root operation)	(0)	(M)	(2M)

where  $sign(\cdot)$  is a component-wise sign function

$$sign(w [n]) = w_m[n]//w_m[n]/ w_m[n]/=$$

{0 The overall gradient result is given by

$$\nabla_{m}^{w} = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \delta) e[n] x^{*} [n] + \frac{1}{4} \delta \varepsilon_{m} (sign(w_{m} [n])).$$
(22)

We choose the reweighting term  $\varepsilon_m$  as

$$\varepsilon_m = 1/(\sigma + /w_m[n]/), \qquad (23)$$

with  $\sigma$  being roughly the threshold value below which the corresponding sensor will not be included in the update. Then, with the step size  $\mu_I$ , we finally obtain the following update equation for the RZA-QLMS algorithm in vector form

$$w[n+1] = w[n] + \frac{1}{2} (\mu_I - 4\rho_I)(e[n]x^*[n]) -\rho_I(sign(w[n]))./(\sigma + /w[n]/), \quad (24)$$

where  $\rho_I = \frac{I}{4} \mu_I \delta_I$ , |w[n]/ is a vector formed by taking the absolute value of the coefficients in w[n], './' is a componentwise division between two vectors, and sign(w[n]) is defined as {

$$sign(w[n]) = \begin{matrix} w[n] / / w[n] / & w[n] \neq 0 \\ 0 & w[n] = 0 \end{matrix}$$

When  $\sigma + /w[n]/$  is removed from the above equation, it will be reduced to the ZA-QLMS algorithm in [21], with its cost function given by

$$J_2[n] = (1 - \delta_2)e[n]e^*[n] + \delta_2/\!\!/ w[n]/\!\!/_1, \qquad (25)$$

where  $\delta_2$  is a trade-off factor. The update equation for the ZA-QLMS algorithm is

$$w[n+1] = w[n] + \frac{1}{2} (\mu_2 - 4\rho_2)(e[n]x^*[n]) - \rho_2 \cdot sign(w[n]),$$
(26)

where  $\rho_2 = \frac{I}{4} \mu_2 \delta_2$ , and  $\mu_2$  is the step size.

We now discuss the computational complexity of the algorithms. The results are shown in Tab. I, where M is the number of vector sensors of the array. Obviously, the RZA-QLMS algorithm has the highest complexity. However, as we will see in simulations, this additional cost is paid back by a

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon_m(sign(w_m[n]))}, 4 / w_m[n] / 4$$

Available online: https://edupediapublications.org/journals/index.php/IJR/ Page | 548



## **International Journal of Research**

Available at <u>https://edupediapublications.org/journals</u>

resultant

p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 04 Issue 06 May 2017

$$\frac{1}{d_m / w_m[n]} k$$

much smaller number of sensors, and especially at a later stage of the (21) adaptation, when the number of sensors involved becomes smaller, the overall complexity of the RZA-QLMS algorithm could be lower than other the two algorithms. After removing the sensors with а smaller magnitude for their coefficients compared to  $\sigma$ , the beam response difference  $\Delta r$  between the original array and the new one is given by  $\Delta r = /\mathbf{w}^H \mathbf{S}_q - (\mathbf{w} - \Delta \mathbf{w})^H \mathbf{S}_q /$  $= |\Delta \mathbf{w}^H \mathbf{S}_q| \le |\Delta \mathbf{w}^H / \cdot / \mathbf{S}_q| \le \sigma \cdot \Delta M \cdot$ M (27)



QLMS ZA-QLMS RZA-QLMS





0

where  $\Delta M$  is the number of removed sensors, and  $\Delta w$  is the change of w after some of its sensors are removed (the corresponding coefficients on the positions of removed sensors have a magnitude smaller than  $\sigma$  and are then set to zero). As a result, the maximum possible change in array response, due

to removal of some sensors, is given by  $\sigma \cdot \Delta M \cdot \frac{\sqrt{M}}{M}$ .

#### **IV. SIMULATION RESULTS**

Using the QLMS algorithm, we can find the optimal coefficient vector and obtain a satisfactory beamforming result as shown in below figure. However, to reduce the complexity and also power consumption of the system, in particular for a large array, at the cost of the final beamforming performance. To achieve this, we here derive a novel quaternion-valued adaptive algorithm by introducing an RZA term to the original cost function of the QLMS algorithm. In this way, we can simultaneously minimise the number of sensors involved while suppressing the interferences during the beamforming process.



**Comparision of MSE** 

we see that although these three algorithms have a similar convergence speed, the original QLMS algorithm has the smallest steady state error, which is not surprising since it has



the most degrees of freedom among them. On the other hand, the proposed RZA-QLMS algorithm has achieved a lower steady state error than the ZA-QLMS algorithm.



Beampattern of all three algorithms are drawn in above results. From the above simulation results we have observed

RZA QLMS have satisfactory beamforming results.





Beam pattern of proposed RZA-OLMS algorithm has been shown in above figure. It can reduce system complexity and energy consumption can be achieved while an acceptable performance can still be maintained, which is especially useful for large array systems. Simulation results have shown that the proposed algorithm can work effectively for beamforming while enforcing a sparse solution for the weight

#### V. CONCLUSION

An RZA-QLMS algorithm has been proposed for adaptive beamforming based on vector sensor arrays consisting of crossed dipoles. It can reduce the number of sensors involved in the beamforming process so that reduced system complexity and energy consumption can be achieved while an acceptable performance can still be maintained, which is especially useful for large array systems. Simulation results have shown that the proposed algorithm can work effectively for beamforming while enforcing a sparse solution for the weight vector where the corresponding crossed-dipole sensors with almost zero-valued coefficients can be removed from the system.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] H. L. Van Trees, Optimum Array Processing, Part IV of Detection, Estimation, and Modulation Theory. New York: Wiley, 2002.
- [2] W. Liu and S. Weiss, Wideband Beamforming: Concepts and Techniques. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, 2010.
- C. G. Li, F. Sun, J. M. Cioffi, and L. X. Yang, "Energy Efficient MIMO [3] Relay Transmissions via Joint Power Allocations," IEEE Transactions on Circuits & Systems II: Express Briefs, vol. 61, no. 7, pp. 531-535, July 2014.
- [4] X. C. Chen, W. Zhang, W. Rhee, and Z. H. Wang, "A  $\Delta\Sigma$  TDC-based beamforming method for vital sign detection radar systems," IEEE Transactions on Circuits & Systems II: Express Briefs, vol. 61, no. 12, pp. 932–936, December 2014.
- [5] R. T. Compton, "The tripole antenna: An adaptive array with full polarization flexibility," *IEEE Transactions on Artennas and Propagation*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 944–952, November 1981.
- [6] A. Nehorai, K. C. Ho, and B. T. G. Tan, "Minimum-noise-variance beamformer with an electromagnetic vector sensor," IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol. 47, no. 3, pp. 601-618, March 1999.
- [7] M. D. Zoltowski and K. T. Wong, "ESPRIT-based 2D direction finding with a sparse uniform array of electromagnetic vector-sensors," IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol. 48, no. 8, pp. 2195-2204, August 2000.
- [8] X. M. Gou, Y. G. Xu, Z. W. Liu, and X. F. Gong, "Quaternion-Capon beamformer using crossed-dipole arrays," in Proc. IEEE International Symposium on Microwave, Antenna, Propagation, and EMC Technologies for Wireless Communications (MAPE), November 2011, pp. 34-37.
- [9] X. R. Zhang, W. Liu, Y. G. Xu, and Z. W. Liu, "Quaternion-valued robust adaptive beamformer for electromagnetic vector-sensor arrays with worst-case constraint," Signal Processing, vol. 104, pp. 274-283, November 2014.
- [10] M. B. Hawes, and W. Liu, "Design of fixed beamformers based on vector-sensor arrays," International Journal of Antennas and Propagation, vol. 2015, 2015.
- [11] N. Le Bihan and J. Mars, "Singular value decomposition of quaternion matrices: a new tool for vector-sensor signal processing," Signal Processing, vol. 84, no. 7, pp. 1177-1199, 2004.
- [12] S. Miron, N. Le Bihan, and J. I. Mars, "Quaternion-MUSIC for vectorsensor array processing," IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol. 54, no. 4, pp. 1218-1229, April 2006.
- [13] N. Le Bihan, S. Miron, and J. I. Mars, "MUSIC algorithm for vectorsensors array using biquaternions," IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol. 55, no. 9, pp. 4523-4533, 2007.

vector where the corresponding crossed-dipole sensors with almost zero valued coefficients can be removed from the system.

- [14] J. W. Tao and W. X. Chang, "A novel combined beamformer based on hypercomplex processes," IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, vol. 49, no. 2, pp. 1276-1289, 2013.
- [15] J. W. Tao, "Performance analysis for interference and noise canceller based on hypercomplex and spatio-temporal-polarisation processes," IET Radar, Sonar Navigation, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 277-286, 2013.
- [16] J. W. Tao and W. X. Chang, "Adaptive beamforming based on complex quaternion processes," Mathematical Problems in Engineering, vol. 2014, 2014.
- [17] Q. Barthelemy,' A. Larue, and J. I. Mars, "About QLMS derivations," IEEE Signal Processing Letters, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 240-243, 2014.
- [18] W. Liu, "Channel equalization and beamforming for quaternion-valued wireless communication systems," Journal of the Franklin Institute (arXiv:1506.00231 [cs.IT]), November 2015.
- [19] M. D. Jiang, Y. Li, and W. Liu, "Properties and applications of a restricted HR gradient operator," arXiv:1407.5178 [math.OC], July 2014.
- [20] Y. Chen, Y. Gu, and A. O. Hero, "Sparse LMS for system identification," in Proc. IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, Taipei, April 2009, pp. 3125-3128.
- M. D. Jiang, W. Liu, and Y. Li, "A zero-attracting quaternion-valued [21] least mean square algorithm for sparse system identification," in Proc. of IEEE/IET International Symposium on Communication Systems, Networks and Digital Signal Processing, Manchester, UK, July 2014.
- [22] R. Compton, "On the performance of a polarization sensitive adaptive array," IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 718-725, 1981.
- [23] J. Li and R. Compton Jr, "Angle and polarization estimation using esprit with a polarization sensitive array," IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, vol. 39, pp. 1376-1383, 1991.
- [24] W. R. Hamilton, Elements of quaternions. Longmans, Green, & co., 1866.
- [25] I. Kantor, A. Solodovnikov, and A. Shenitzer, Hypercomplex numbers: an elementary introduction to algebras. New York: Springer Verlag, 1989
- [26] M. D. Jiang, W. Liu, and Y. Li, "A general quaternion-valued gradient operator and its applications to computational fluid dynamics and adaptive beamforming," in Proc. of the International Conference on Digital Signal Processing, Hong Kong, August 2014.
- [27]D. H. Brandwood, "A complex gradient operator and its application in adaptive array theory," IEE Proceedings H (Microwaves, Optics and
- Antennas), vol. 130, no. 1, pp. 11–16, 1983. [28] J. Yoo, J. Shin, and P. Park, "An improved NLMS algorithm in sparse systems against noisy input signals," IEEE Transactions on Circuits & Systems II: Express Briefs, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 271–275, March 2015. E. J. Cand'es, M. B. Wakin, and S. P. Boyd, "Enhancing sparsity by
- [29] reweighted l1 minimization," Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications, vol. 14, pp. 877-905, 2008.
- [30] W. Xu, J. X. Zhao, and C. Gu, "Design of linear-phase FIR multiplenotch filters via an iterative reweighted OMP scheme," IEEE Transactions on Circuits & Systems II: Express Briefs, vol. 61, no. 10, pp. 813-817, October 2014.
- [31] B. Fuchs, "Synthesis of sparse arrays with focused or shaped beampattern via sequential convex optimizations," IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, vol. 60, no. 7, pp. 3499-3503, 2012.
- [32] G. Prisco and M. D'Urso, "Maximally sparse arrays via sequential convex optimizations," IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters, vol. 11, pp. 192-195, 2012.
- M. B. Hawes, and W. Liu, "Compressive sensing based approach to the [33] design of linear robust sparse antenna arrays with physical size constraint", IET Microwaves, Antennas & Propagation, vol. 8, issue 10, pp. 736-746, July 2014.