

## Maintaining quality and extending the Post-Harvest Life of Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.)

B. Pandey<sup>1</sup>, S.Shrestha<sup>1</sup> and B.P.Mishra<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Agriculture and Forestry University, Chitwan, Nepal

<sup>2</sup> Nepal Polytechnic Institute (Purbanchal University), Chitwan, Nepal

### Abstract

Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) is one of the most important vegetable crops grown and consumed extensively throughout the world. Owing to its wide consumption it has very high nutritive value. Tomato is a high water containing horticultural commodity and therefore is highly perishable in nature. It is prone to several losses during its harvesting, post harvest handling practices, transportation, storage and marketing. It is estimated that post harvest losses in tomatoes ranges from 25-42% globally. From various investigations it has been found that the quality of harvested tomatoes cannot be improved but only be maintained after harvest. Similarly, the post harvest storage life can be extended through proper post harvest handling practices and various treatments methods. It has also been discovered that the post harvest quality of tomatoes not only depends upon the post harvest handling operations like physical handling, pre cooling, cleaning and disinfecting, sorting and grading, packaging, transportation, storage temperature, storage humidity and combination of different gases but also on some pre harvest factors like cultivar type, fertilizer application, irrigation, pruning, maturity stage at harvest, methods and time of harvesting. Furthermore post harvest life can be enhanced through various post harvest treatment methods like heat treatment, refrigeration storage (cold storage), CA storage, MAP, CaCl<sub>2</sub> treatment, use of ethylene inhibitors (1-MCP), growth regulators (GA and 2,4-D), and tomato wax treatment.

### 1. Introduction

Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.), of all the horticultural produce, is the second important horticultural crop next to potato in terms of global production by weight [1]. It has become an integral part of human diet. It is extensively used in daily foods. It has diversified uses. It can be consumed as fresh salads or cooked with other vegetables. Similarly its processed forms like sauce, juice, ketchup, tomato soup, etc. are very common. Canned and dried tomatoes are widely consumed all over the world. In 2012, world production of tomatoes was estimated to be 162 million tonnes on 4.8

million hectares of harvested land area globally, with China accounting for 50 million tonnes, followed by India with 17.5 million tonnes [2]. The global production of tomatoes is in increasing trend.

Owing to its wide consumption it has very high nutritive value. Tomato is rich in minerals, vitamins, essential amino acids, sugars and dietary fibres [3]. Tomato is considered to be a good source of vitamin C. In addition tomatoes contain vitamins A, B, E and K, minerals like magnesium, manganese, phosphorous, iron, potassium, etc. and other constituents like lycopene and water. A tomato fruit

contains about 94.5% water per 100 g of fresh weight, 16% protein per 100 g of dry weight, 71.27% carbohydrate, 21.82 % of total dietary fibre, 15145.45 IU of Vitamin A and 230.91 mg of ascorbic acid [4]. Tomato is a high water containing horticultural commodity and therefore is highly perishable in nature. It is prone to several losses during its harvesting, post harvest handling practices, transportation, storage and marketing. Because of inadequate ideas and techniques in harvest handling, packaging, transportation and storage, there occurs a substantial loss both in quality and quantity of fruits. It is estimated that post harvest losses in tomatoes ranges from 25-42% globally [5].

There are various factors affecting post harvest losses in tomato fruits. Being climacteric fruit, losses occur due to natural ripening that can happen even after harvest because of ethylene production. Improper handling during harvest, packaging, transportation and storage also lead to various quantitative and qualitative losses. Cultural practices like types of nutrient, irrigation, pruning, maturity stage of harvesting and harvesting time and methods influence both pre- and postharvest quality of tomato [6]. Many postharvest quality losses are as a result of many pre harvest factors [7]. Water loss from harvested fruit produce is predominantly caused by the amount of moisture (relative humidity) present in the ambient air [8]. The quality of damaged, rotten, defective, diseased and infected, poorly irrigated and fertilised tomatoes or tomatoes of poorer quality before harvesting can never be improved by any postharvest treatment methods [9]. Thus it can be summoned as the post harvest qualities of the fruit cannot be improved after harvest but can only be maintained.

So in order to maintain high quality fruits after harvest focus must be given towards managing various pre harvest factors whilst using appropriate post harvest management techniques and treatment methods. This paper discusses about various pre and post harvest factors governing fruit quality after harvest and about various post harvest handling practices for maintaining the quality of fruits after harvest.

## **2. Factors affecting post harvest quality of tomato:**

### **2.1 Pre harvest factors:**

**2.1.1 Cultivar Type:** The fruit quality of tomato is dependent on cultivar type. The differences in sweetness, sourness, and overall flavour intensity among the fruits are due to the differences among genotypes of tomato fruits in terms of sugar and acid contents [10]. Firmness at harvest and softening pattern determines the post harvest quality of fruits. And these parameters are genotype dependent. Cultivars that can maintain firmness after table-ripe stage can be harvested at later stages. This helps to obtain the better flavour of fruits. Different cultivars differ in their characteristics and are famous for their own quality parameters. Some are more resistant to diseases and pests while others have higher sugar content, some have lower weight loss on storage and some have appropriate shape and size that are appealing to the consumers, some have higher storage life, etc. So for obtaining good quality tomatoes, care must be taken while selecting the cultivars. Improper selection of cultivars may lead to lower yield and the lower quality of fruits that subsequently leads to poor post harvest qualities. Various studies have been made

on the influence of tomato cultivar on postharvest quality traits stored under different conditions. It was found that the tomato cultivar Roma VF has higher sugar content with lower weight loss as compared to the cultivar Marglobe [11,12]. Thus, cultivar selection is one of the critical factor governing the postharvest quality and storage life of tomatoes.

**2.1.2 Fertilizer Application:** Appropriate dose of fertilizers is very essential for proper growth of plants and production of higher quality fruits. Various research efforts have been made regarding the influence of fertilizers on the quality of fruits produce. Adequate application of potassium fertilizer has been found to improve the fruit colour and reduce the incidence of yellow shoulder [13] whilst enhancing the TA of the fruit [14]. Excessive supply of nitrogen fertilizer has been found to reduce the fruit quality by decreasing the sugar content of the fruits [14]. It also interferes with some important fruit qualities like TSS [15], glucose, fructose and pH [16]. However lower supply of nitrogen has been found to improve fruit flavours [17]. Boron deficiency leads to reduction in firmness of the fruit [18]. Similarly calcium has a major role in fruit qualities of tomato. Blossom end rot disease is caused due to its deficiency. In some studies calcium is found to be helpful in prevention of some diseases [14]. It also helps in slowing down the rate of loss of firmness of fruit. The fertilizer requirements of tomatoes are high and rate of application depends upon the soil fertility status.

**2.1.3 Irrigation:** Since tomato is not a drought resistant crop, water deficiency during its critical growth stages results in poor yield and low quality fruits. In a

study carried out by Mitchell et al., it was found that the shortage of water reduced the accumulation of water in fruits and the fresh fruit yield was also reduced whereas TSS content of fruit was increased [19]. They also revealed that early morning irrigation every three days resulted in higher yields than daily irrigation. So for obtaining good quality fruits post harvest, irrigation at critical growth stages is essential.

**2.1.4 Pruning:** Pruning is another important practice which determines the quality of fruits. Excessive number of flowers and fruits should be avoided in order to reduce the competition between them for nutrients. This leads to the diversion of nutrients or assimilates to fewer sinks and subsequently there is increase in fruit size [20] and in some cases, there is increase in sugars and TSS content [21]. However, the effects of pruning on TSS depends on a number of variables including the fruit-to-leaf ratio (or fruit load), before and after pruning, truss position, sink developmental stage, and genetic background [20]. Pruning helps in obtaining bigger sized fruits that are considered appealing to most consumers.

**2.1.5 Maturity at harvest:** Being a climacteric fruit, tomato can be harvested at any stages of maturity between immature green and fully ripe stage. Harvesting at different maturity stages has its own advantages and disadvantages during post harvest storage. Harvesting at proper maturity is a major determinant of the post harvest fruit quality (flavour, firmness, pH, shelf life, etc.). Tomato harvested at immature green stage will have poor ripening and poor post harvest quality. When harvested at mature green, it

will have sufficient time for ripening and as a result it has longer post harvest life. The shelf life of all tomato cultivars is longest when harvested at mature green stage [22]. Shelf life is not the only concerning attribute regarding post harvest quality of fruits. Fruit nutritional value, flavour and appearance also play a major role. Fruits harvested at immature green or mature green stage will not attain better flavour upon ripening and have lower nutritional value. On the other hand tomatoes harvested later than mature green stage will attain better flavour and will be less susceptible to water loss because of their better developed cuticular layer [23]. Nutritional value of fruit increases if harvested later than mature green stage. Harvesting at later stages also gives sufficient time for accumulation of sugar which increases the risk of mechanical injuries and shorten shelf life as well [24]. It was found that the tomatoes ripened in vine in mother plant will accumulate more sugars, acids and ascorbic acid, and will develop better flavour than mature-green tomatoes ripened off the plant [25]. Tomato harvested in over-ripe stage was shown to have lower ascorbic acid content and higher ascorbate oxidase activity [26]. Intensities of sweetness, saltiness and “fruity-floral” flavour were higher in tomatoes harvested at the table-ripe stage than at earlier stages [27]. Thus tomatoes should be harvested at various maturity stages depending upon the distance of marketing i.e. mature green stage for long distance and fully ripe stage for local marketing.

**2.1.6 Methods of harvesting:** Tomatoes can be harvested mechanically or through hands. These harvesting methods determine the extent of physical injuries which in turn affect the post harvest

quality of fruits. During hand harvesting, fruits should be gently twisted at pedicel without tearing or pulling. For cluster harvesting of vine-ripe tomatoes, whole fruit cluster is cut off from the plants with the help of secateurs, clippers or sharp scissors. Physical damage during harvesting leads to increase in respiration, ethylene production and water loss and makes fruits susceptible to pathogen infestation.

**2.1.7 Time of Harvesting:** Harvesting time also affects the quality of harvested fruit. It has been found that fruits harvested before 10 AM in the morning yield better quality and lasts longer. Therefore, harvesting in morning within 10 AM is advantageous in controlling the damage due to high temperature.

## 2.2 Post harvest factors:

**2.2.1 Handling:** Physical handling of the harvested fruits plays a significant role in determining the post harvest quality. Improper harvesting and mishandling during various steps like packaging, sorting, grading, washing/cleaning, storage and transportation may cause several physical injuries like bruises, scars, scuffs, cuts, punctures, etc. to the fruit. These damages drastically reduce the post harvest quality of fruits. Due to the above mentioned damages, various undesirable physiological changes occur in the fruit such as accelerated transpiration, respiration, ethylene production, pathogen infestation and other unwanted chemical reactions which degrade its quality [28,29]. Further, in a study carried out in Nigeria, it was found that the TSS and TA of fruit with external physical injuries were lower than that of intact fruits on transportation from the area of production to the city market for sale [30]. The best

preventive measure for physical damage is to harvest the fruit in mature green stage when it still can withstand the physical injuries during harvesting, handling after harvest and transportation [31]. Care must be given during post harvest handling operations to maintain the quality of fruits.

**2.2.2 Pre cooling:** Field heat on fruits after harvest can cause undesirable increase in metabolic activities such as respiration, ethylene production, water loss, etc. This results in loss of quality and storage life of tomatoes. So the field heat should be removed as quickly as possible. To reduce the field heat pre cooling must be carried out. For pre cooling harvested tomatoes can be handled at about 13-20°C [32,33]. Pre cooling helps in reducing respiration, ethylene production and rate of water loss. It also helps in minimizing the microbial activity and rapid decay of fruits thereby preserving the quality and extending the shelf life of harvested tomatoes [34,35]. In most advanced countries, pre cooling is done through forced air cooling, vacuum cooling, hydro cooling or through icing. But in developing countries, cooling of harvested tomato fruits is done by room cooling or by dipping the fruits in cold water (hydro cooling) or by keeping the harvested fruits under shade house. During hydro cooling disinfectants can be mixed with water such that both field heat and microbial infestation can be reduced.

**2.2.3 Cleaning and disinfecting:** Tomatoes after harvesting may be filled with dirt and cleaning of these dirty fruits is very essential. These dirty tomatoes reduce the quality and are not appealing to the consumers. Similarly, pathogen infested tomatoes have poor quality and short storage life as pathogen attacks the fruits and decay them faster. Also various

food-borne illness and diseases are transmitted via infected fruits. So cleaning and disinfecting are important activities after harvesting of fruits. According to a report by Indian government, a number of pathogens like *Salmonella*, *Cryptosporidium*, *Cyclospora*, *E. coli*, *Clostridium* sp., Hepatitis A virus, etc. have been found to be transmitted to the consumers through infested fruits [36]. In most under developed and developing countries the practice of cleaning and disinfecting of harvested fruits is not common. However they are mandatory in most developed countries. Tomatoes should be cleaned with water after harvest. For disinfection various chemicals like sodium hypochlorite solution, thiabendazole solution and chlorinated water are common. Sterilizing the harvested tomatoes with sodium hypochlorite solution reduces the incidence of fungal infection [37]. Similarly the microbial load on fruits can be reduced by dipping them in thiabendazole solution [38]. Treating tomato fruits with chlorinated water is also helpful in reducing microbial load. Disinfection of tomatoes by dipping in the chemical treated water not only reduces the microbial load but also maintains the superior quality of tomatoes during storage [39].

**2.2.4 Sorting and grading:** Sorting and grading are two important processes that determine the post harvest quality and shelf life of tomato fruits. Removing of rotten, damaged, diseased or defective fruits from a batch of healthy ones is sorting. In these diseased, rotten and damaged tomatoes, there is greater production of ethylene which can have significant effects on adjacent healthy tomatoes interfering with quality and

storage life of those healthy ones [40]. Sorting prevents the spread of infectious pathogen from diseased to healthy ones. In grading, the tomatoes are categorised on the basis of shape, size, colour, maturity stage or degree of ripening. Grading in terms of colour is very advantageous for maintaining the post harvest quality and storage of fruits. Over ripe fruits can be eliminated from less matured ones which otherwise would produce ethylene that would hasten the ripening process in whole batch. Proper sorting and grading will give assurance of quality of harvested fruits.

**2.2.5 Packaging:** Packaging plays an important role in determining the post harvest quality of fruits. Tomatoes must be packed in such a way that they are protected properly. During packaging, care must be given while placing the fruits in the boxes or containers. Generally in most developing countries, it is found that farmers fill the boxes beyond its standard capacity. This over filling may result in increased compression pressure on lower fruits thus leading to different mechanical injuries like bruises, scars, touching marks and other internal injuries. The quality of packaging materials and boxes is also very important. Some packaging materials like nylon sacks do not allow enough aeration causing increased respiration while some have rough surfaces and sharp internal edges which can cause mechanical injuries to fruits. Similarly tall crates or boxes create more compression pressure on lower fruits. In order to minimize the damages inside the box and maintain quality of fruits, cushioning materials like newspapers, gunny bags, rice straw, or other locally available materials should be used. Perforation or ventilation on boxes helps to reduce the losses.

**2.2.6 Transportation:** Tomatoes after harvesting need to be transported to the market for consumers to gain access of the fruits. Tomatoes are subjected to post harvest losses even during transportation. In developed countries the transportation losses do not account much since handlers use sophisticated refrigerated vans or refrigerated containers and trailers and the roads are in good condition as well. But the condition of roads is very poor in most of the developing countries with several ditches, undulations and rough surfaces. People of developing countries cannot afford such sophisticated modes of transportation. They use low cost mode of transportation such as human labour, donkeys, public transport, rented trucks, tractors, pick up vans, busses, lorries, etc. [12,41]. Due to inaccessibility of sophisticated technologies and vehicles for transporting harvested tomatoes coupled with poor road condition, transportation of harvested tomatoes has become a great challenge in developing countries both for producers and distributors [42]. Also delay in transportation of the harvested fruits also causes losses [43]. Due to transportation delays losses of about 20% occur in tomatoes [44]. During transportation tomatoes must be made immobilized by proper packing, packaging and stacking. Transportation at night can be a better solution to reduce the losses caused by high temperature during transportation.

**2.2.7 Storage temperature:** Temperature and humidity are the most important factors that determine the post harvest quality (esp. shelf life) of all agricultural commodities. Tomatoes after harvesting are still living and respiring. Since heat stored in field-harvested fruit is the major source of high temperature in the harvested

fruit, time of harvesting of fruit should be carefully considered. Tomatoes after harvesting should be maintained at lower temperature. It has been found that one hour of delay between harvesting the crop and its cooling will lead to one day loss of shelf life [45,46]. Generally to maintain the quality of the fruit it should be stored at lower temperature of about 10–15°C [47]. Higher temperature results in increased respiration and higher production of CO<sub>2</sub>. This will lead to the increased production of ethylene and faster ripening of fruit thus lowering the shelf life of fruit. Increased respiration causes quality deterioration including loss of nutritional value, changes in flavour and texture and weight loss. Keeping the fruits at lower temperature after harvesting will help in lowering the respiration rate, decreasing the ethylene production and reducing the water loss. This helps in alleviating the shelf life of the fruit. Storing at too low temperature is also not good as it may result in chilling injury to the fruits. This chilling injury may result in premature softening, irregular colour development, and surface pitting, browning of seeds, water-soaked lesions, off-flavour development, and increased postharvest decay [48]. Over cooling may result in failure to ripen, development of pits or sunken areas and development of off flavours in fruits [49]. Generally at higher temperature, spore germination, growth rate and other activities of pathogens are high. Storing the fruits at lower temperature can greatly reduce the incidence of pathogens.

**2.2.8 Storage humidity:** Since tomatoes have very high water content there is great risk of water loss from the fruits post harvest. Loss of water causes wilting, shrivelling and other textural changes in

fruits. Water loss depends upon the relative humidity of the storage condition. Lower RH in the storage increases the rate of water loss (transpiration loss) and vice versa. So to prevent the water loss from fruits RH of storing place should be increased. And the most effective way of increasing RH is reducing the temperature of the storage. Alternatively moisture can be introduced into air around the fruits via mists, sprays or wetting the storage floor. The optimum relative humidity for mature green tomatoes is 85-95% and for firm ripe fruits is 90-95% [8].

**2.2.9 Gases:** Presence of different gases in storage condition can affect the post harvest life of fruits. There must be proper balance between gases in the storage places. It has been found that presence of CO increases the speed of ripening of fruit and thus decreases the storage life [50]. However, CO is commonly used in storage condition as it has been found to be beneficial in slowing down the post harvest pathogenic infestations whilst improving some quality traits of tomatoes. The tomato fruits stored at 5-10% CO and 4% O<sub>2</sub> have superior TSS and TA content than other tomatoes stored under normal atmospheric condition. So it is necessary to have proper balance of CO and with low O<sub>2</sub> to delay the senescence in fruits [51]. Very low supply of O<sub>2</sub> has detrimental effects on fruits as they undergo anaerobic respiration in absence of O<sub>2</sub> [52]. In some other studies it has been found that for maintaining proper post harvest storage life (i.e. inhibiting senescence), the atmospheric air should contain 3-5% O<sub>2</sub>, 1-3% CO<sub>2</sub>, and 94-96% N<sub>2</sub> for mature green fruits and 3-5% O<sub>2</sub>, 1-5% CO<sub>2</sub>, and 9-96% N<sub>2</sub> for ripe fruits [53].

### 3. Enhancing the post harvest storage life of tomatoes

The post harvest quality of tomatoes cannot be improved but only maintained by various post harvest handling practices and treatment methods. There are two easy ways for maintaining the quality and extending the shelf life of harvested tomatoes. First one is through proper pre and post harvest management practices which are already discussed above; secondly through the use of various post harvest treatment methods. These treatment methods aim in slowing down the physiological process of senescence and maturation and minimizing the pathogen attack on tomato fruits. Some commonly used post harvest treatment methods are discussed below.

**3.1 Heat treatment:** Heat treatment is an important post harvest treatment method which is advantageous in many ways. It helps in reducing the chilling injury and delaying the ripening of tomatoes by inactivating the degrading enzymes [54]. It also helps in killing different contaminating insects and controlling fungal attack on tomato fruits [53]. It also has been found that heat treatment is helpful in maintaining the firmness of tomatoes [54,55,56]. Heat treatment can be done via hot air or heated water. Harvested tomatoes can be dipped in hot water prior to storage or marketing. This prevents various post harvest insect, pest and diseases and also improves the peel colour of tomato fruits. In hot air treatment, harvested tomato fruits are subjected to the steam of hot air. The temperature of air or water is adjusted such that it kills all the destructive stages of insects without damaging the fruits. It has been found that heat treatment of tomato at 37-42°C prior

to cold storage slows down ripening process and increases the resistance towards the attack of pathogen during storage [57]. Further TSS and TA content of heat treated tomato fruits before storage at 14°C have been found to be increased as compared to the untreated tomato fruits [58].

**3.2 Refrigeration storage:** Tomato is a high moisture fruit. It cannot live for long at the ambient temperature after harvest as water loss is rapid and there is quick shrinking and shrivelling of the fruit. Storing the harvested tomatoes for extended period of time is a great challenge in most of the countries. The rate of deteriorative reactions doubles for every 10°C rise in temperature above the optimum temperature (Van't Hoff quotation i.e.  $Q_{10}$ ). So higher the temperature higher the respiration rate and the need of post harvest cooling will be even more. Refrigeration is one of the most important methods of maintaining the post harvest quality and improving the shelf life of tomatoes [59]. Refrigeration storage i.e. low temperature storage helps in maintaining various quality attributes of tomatoes like nutrition, texture, aroma and flavour [46]. Low temperature slows down the metabolic activities and the activity of micro-organisms is also reduced. Low temperature decreases the vapour pressure deficit thus increasing the relative humidity of storage condition and as a result water loss from the tomatoes is minimized. But storing of tomatoes at too low temperature is also detrimental to the fruits, storing them at a temperature below their critical temperature of 10°C results in chilling injury to the fruits [60]. Chilling injury may result in pitting, uneven ripening and increased fungal infestation. It is therefore essential to store the tomato



fruits at optimum temperature. In refrigeration storage, tomatoes should be stored at temperature of about 10-15°C and RH of about 85-95% to avoid chilling injuries [47].

### 3.3 Controlled atmosphere storage:

Storing of harvested tomatoes at controlled environment of atmosphere is CA storage. In CA storage, the composition of air surrounding the commodity is made different than that of normal air. Primarily, the level O<sub>2</sub> is reduced while the CO<sub>2</sub> level is elevated in CA storage. Reduced O<sub>2</sub> and increased CO<sub>2</sub> levels affect various physiological processes like rate of respiration, glycolysis, fermentation, ethylene production, metabolism of phenolic and volatile compounds [61]. CA helps in slowing down the rate of respiration, lowering ethylene production, delaying senescence and softening. At lower O<sub>2</sub> (less than 8%) and higher CO<sub>2</sub> levels (more than 1%), the action of ethylene is reduced. Prolonged exposure of tomatoes to O<sub>2</sub> level below 3% or CO<sub>2</sub> level above 5% for more than one month causes irregular ripening of fruits. Concentration of these two gases also affects the decay and pathogenic infestation of fruits. Too low level of O<sub>2</sub> or very high level of CO<sub>2</sub> can have negative effects on tomato fruits. It may cause faster decay and development of off-flavours in fruits. During CA storage, the concentration of O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> gases is very important.

### 3.4 Modified Atmosphere Packaging:

Modified atmospheric packaging means packaging of harvested tomato fruits in plastic film bags in predetermined composition of gases mainly O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>. MAP aims in limiting the supply of O<sub>2</sub> to the packaged fruits thereby reducing the

respiration. The correct concentration of gases mainly of O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> inside the packages can slow down respiration, senescence and the rate of deterioration thus helping in extending the storage life of fruits [62]. MAP also helps in reducing water loss [63], avoiding mechanical injuries and maintaining sanitation of fruits thus preventing the spread of food borne diseases [64]. Generally concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> is higher than that of O<sub>2</sub> in MAP. The concentration of gases inside the package depends upon perforations, thickness and permeability of plastic films. Plastic film bags are composed of various polymeric materials like polythene (LDP OR HDP), polyethylene terephthalate, polypropylene, or polyvinyl chloride [65], polystyrene [53,66] and some of their derivatives [50]. These plastic films have lower permeability to vapour. The water molecules evaporated from tomatoes will be trapped thus increasing the water vapour pressure inside the plastic package. Once the vapour pressure is saturated or near saturated, the shrinkage of fruit and water loss from it is reduced [38]. But a great risk arises if there is slight fluctuation in temperature which causes the condensation of water vapour leading to sliminess of fruits and microbial development inside the plastics [67]. So creating optimum atmosphere and reducing water condensation inside the package and lowering the weight loss pose a great challenge. Various efforts have been made to solve this problem. Hygroscopic additives, water vapour permeable films, biodegradable films, O<sub>2</sub> adsorbents, CO<sub>2</sub> and flavour releasing agents, etc. have been tried to sort out the problem. But care must be given while adding hygroscopic additives; they should not be used for fresh tomatoes that have high water activity in order to avoid

excessive weight loss. While packaging, the amount of humidity/moisture inside the plastic bags should be taken into consideration during MAP otherwise unwanted mould, fungal and bacterial development will occur [68].

### **3.5 Calcium chloride (CaCl<sub>2</sub>) treatment:**

Calcium is very important element regarding tomatoes. Due to calcium deficiency tomatoes are subjected to calcium related disorders like blossom end rot [20,69]. Post harvest application of calcium can have positive effects on the quality and shelf life of harvested tomatoes. Application of CaCl<sub>2</sub> to the harvested tomatoes controls rapid ageing and delays senescence [70,71,72]. It reduces the respiration rate and decreases the ethylene production in tomatoes [73]. Calcium is a major cell wall component and maintains the rigidity of cell wall. So exogenous application of CaCl<sub>2</sub> helps in maintaining the cell wall integrity and prevents the cell from the action of degrading enzymes like polygalacturonase [74]. Similarly it also helps in maintaining the firmness and reducing the softening of fruits. The application of 1% CaCl<sub>2</sub> in storage condition was found to reduce the fungal and mould attack on tomato fruits [75]. Colour development in tomato fruits was also found to be delayed due to CaCl<sub>2</sub> application [15]. Since CaCl<sub>2</sub> is easily available, very affordable (low in cost) and preparation and application of CaCl<sub>2</sub> solution is very easy, most of the handlers especially in developing countries predominantly use this treatment method for maintaining the quality and enhancing the storage life of tomatoes.

**3.6 Use of ethylene inhibitors:** Even after harvesting of tomatoes there is continuous production of ethylene which

leads to faster ripening and senescence. It decreases the storage life of tomatoes. So the primary objective in post harvest storage of tomato fruit is to minimize the ethylene production in fruits. Various chemicals have been identified that can act as ethylene inhibitors. Some of these ethylene inhibitors include 1- methyl cyclopropene (1-MCP), aminoethoxy glycine (AVG), silver nitrate, silver thiosulphate, cyclohexamide, benzothiadiazole, etc. Among these chemicals, 1-MCP is the most commonly used ethylene inhibitor throughout the world. 1-MCP slows down many metabolic activities associated with ripening such as respiration, colour change, cell wall break down, etc. and helps in extending the shelf life of tomato fruits [76]. Also when 1-MCP is applied to stored tomatoes, firmness of fruits is retained and both the lycopene accumulation and peel colour development is delayed [77]. If tomatoes are vine cut, 1-MCP treatment prevents the abscission and detachment of tomatoes from the vines [14]. Its drawback is seen when it is treated to immature green tomatoes which causes non uniform ripening of fruits [78,79].

### **3.7 Use of plant growth regulators:**

Plant growth regulators like gibberellic acid and 2,4-dichlorophenoxy acetic acid (2,4-D) are also helpful in delaying post harvest ripening of tomatoes and extending the shelf life of harvested tomatoes.

**3.8 Wax treatment:** In a study carried out to study the effect of tomato wax (99% food grade mineral oil + 1% water) treatment on post harvest quality of tomato, it was found that the wax treatment in tomato prevented the moisture loss and reduced the weight loss of

tomatoes [80]. It also slowed down the respiration rate, delayed ripening and enhanced the storage life of fruits. So application of edible coatings on the surface of fruits can help in maintaining the quality and enhancing the storage life of harvested tomatoes.

#### 4. Conclusion

Tomato is one of the most important food crop consumed extensively throughout the world. Due to failure in proper pre and post harvest management of tomato, substantial amount of tomato (25- 42% of total production) has been reported to be lost every year. Storing of the tomatoes for extended period of time with good quality is a great challenge for tomato growers and handlers. It is to be noted that the quality of harvested tomatoes cannot be improved but only be maintained after harvesting. Quality as well as shelf life of harvested tomatoes depend upon both pre and post harvest management practices. Hence there is strong need for proper understanding of various pre harvest practices such as cultivar type, fertilizer application, irrigation, pruning, maturity stage at harvest, methods and time of harvesting and post harvest practices such as physical handling, pre cooling, cleaning and disinfecting, sorting and grading, packaging, transportation, storage temperature, humidity and combination of different gases during storage for maintaining the quality and extending the shelf life of tomato. In addition various post harvest treatment methods have also been developed so as to lengthen the shelf life of tomatoes maintaining good quality such as heat treatment, cold (refrigeration) storage, CA storage, MAP, treatment with  $\text{CaCl}_2$ , ethylene inhibitors like 1-MCP, plant growth regulators and wax treatment.

#### 5. REFERENCES

- [1] H.-L. Tan, J. M. Thomas-Ahner, E. M. Grainger et al., "Tomato- based food products for prostate cancer prevention: what have we learned?" *Cancer and Metastasis Reviews*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 553–568, 2010.
- [2] FAOSTAT (2014). Global tomato production in 2012. Rome, FAO.
- [3] Ayandiji, A, O.R., Adeniyi Oidiji, D. (2011) Determinant Post Harvest Losses among Tomato Farmers in Imeko-Afon Local Government Area of Ogun State, Nigeria. *Global Journal of Science Frontier Research*. 11(5):22-28.
- [4] USDA (United States Department of Agriculture), National Nutrient Databases for Standard Reference, Release 20, 2007.
- [5] *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 1279–1284, 2007.
- [6] M.Melkamu, T.Seyoum, and K. Woldetsadik, "Effects of pre and post harvest treatments on changes in sugar content of tomato," *African Journal of Biotechnology*, vol.7,no.8, pp. 11391144, 2008.
- [7] R. H. Booth, "Post-harvest deterioration of tropical root crops: losses and their control," *Tropical Science*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 49– 63, 1974.
- [8] T. V. Suslow and M. Cantwell, "Tomato-recommendations for maintaining postharvest quality," in *Produce Facts*, A.A. Kader, Ed., Postharvest Technology Research & Information Center, Davis, Calif, USA, 2009.
- [9] J. M. Harvey, "Reduction of losses in fresh market fruits and vegetables,"



Annual Review of Phytopathology, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 321–341, 1978.

[10] Stevens, M. A., Kader, A. A., and Albright, M., 1979. Potential for increasing tomato flavour via increased sugar and acid content. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 104:40-42.

[11] H. Getinet, T. Seyoum, and K. Woldetsadik “The effect of cultivar, maturity stage and storage environment on quality of tomatoes,” *Journal of Food Engineering*, vol. 87, no. 4, pp. 467–478, 2008.

[12] H. Getinet, T. S. Workneh, and K. Woldetsadik, “Effect of maturity stages, variety and storage environment on sugar content of tomato stored in multiple pads evaporative cooler,” *African Journal of Biotechnology*, vol.10,no.80,pp.18481–18492, 2011.

[13] T. K. Hartz, P. R. Johnson, D. M. Francis, and E. M. Miyao, “Processing tomato yield and fruit quality improved with potassium fertigation ” *Horticultural Science*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp.1862–1867, 2005.

[14] H. C. Passam, I. C. Karapanos, P. J. Bebeli, and D. Savvas, “A review of recent research on tomato nutrition, breeding and post-harvest technology with reference to fruit quality,” *The European Journal of Plant Science and Biotechnology*, vol. 1, pp. 1–21, 2007..

[15] P. A. W. A. N. K. Senevirathna and W. A. M. Daundasekera, “Effect of postharvest calcium chloride vacuum infiltration on the shelf life and quality of tomato (cv. ‘Thilina’),” *Ceylon Journal of Science*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 35–44, 2010.

[16] M. Parisi, I. Giordano, A. Pentangelo, B. D’Onofrio, and G. Villari, “Effects of different levels of nitrogen fertilization on yield and fruit quality in processing tomato,” *Acta Horticulturae*, vol. 700, pp. 129–132, 2006.

[17] A. Heeb, B. Lundegardh, T. Ericsson, and G. P. Savage, “Nitrogen form affects yield and taste of tomatoes,” *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, vol. 85, no. 8, pp. 1405–1414, 2005.

[18] J. N. Smit and N. J. J. Combrink, “The effect of boron levels in nutrient solutions on fruit production and quality of green- house tomatoes, ” *South African Journal of Plant and Soil*, vol.21, no. 3, pp. 188–191, 2004.

[19] J. P. Mitchell, C. Shennan, S. R. Grattan, and D. M. May, “Tomato fruit yields and quality under water deficit and salinity,” *Journal of the American Society of Horticultural Science*, vol. 116, no. 2, pp. 215–221, 1991.

[20] M. Prudent, M. Causse, M. G´enard, P. Tripodi, S. Grandillo, and N. Bertin, “Genetic and physiological analysis of tomato fruit weight and composition: influence of carbon availability on QTL detection,” *Journal of Experimental Botany*, vol.60,no. 3, pp. 923-937, 2009.

[21] H. Gautier, S. Guichard, and M. Tchamitchian, “Modulation of competition between fruits and leaves by flower pruning and water fogging, and consequences on tomato leaf and fruit growth,” *Annals of Botany*, vol. 88, no. 4, pp. 645–652, 2001.

[22] K. M. Moneruzzaman, A. B. M. S. Hossain, W. Sani, M. Saifuddin, and M. Alenazi, “Effect of harvesting and storage conditions on the post harvest quality of

- tomato," Australian Journal of Crop Science, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 113–121, 2009.
- [23] Kader, A. A. (1984). Effect of postharvest handling procedures on tomato quality. *Acta Horticulturae* 190: 209-221.
- [24] P. M. A. Toivonen, "Fruit maturation and ripening and their relationship to quality," *Stewart Postharvest Review*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 1–5, 2007.
- [25] Betancourt, L.A., M. A. Stevens and A. A. Kader (1977) "Accumulation and loss of sugars and reduced ascorbic acid in attached and detached tomato fruits". *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science* 102: 721-723.
- [26] Yahia, E.M., M. Contreras and G. Gonzalez (2001a). Ascorbic acid content in relation to ascorbic acid oxidase activity and polyamine content in tomato and bell pepper fruits during development, maturation and senescence. *Lebensmittel Wissenschaft u-Technologies* 34: 452-457. 79
- [27] Watada, A. E. and B. B. Aulenbach (1978). "Chemical and sensory qualities of fresh market tomatoes". *Journal of Food Science* 44: 1013-1016.
- [28] R. A. Miller, "Harvest and handling injury: physiology biochemistry and detection," in *Postharvest Physiology and Pathology of Vegetables*, J. A. Bartz and J. K. Brecht, Eds., pp. 177–208, Marcel Dekker, New York, USA, 2003.
- [29] S. A. Sargent, J. K. Brecht, and J. J. Zoellner, "Sensitivity of tomatoes at mature-green and breaker ripeness stages to internal bruising," *Journal of the American Society of Horticultural Science*, vol. 117, pp. 119–123, 1992.
- [30] O.C. Aworh, A.O. Olorunda, I.A. Akuemonkhan "Effects of post harvest handling on quality attributes of tomatoes in Nigerian marketing system" *Food chemistry*, Vol. 10, pp. 225-230
- [31] Cantwell, M.I. and R.F. Kasmire. 2002. Postharvest Handling Systems: Fruit Vegetables, pp. 407–423. In: A.A. Kader (ed.) *Postharvest Technology of Horticultural Crops*. University of California, Agriculture and Nature Resources, Davis.
- [32] A. A. Kader, "Effects of postharvest handling procedures on tomato quality," in *Symposium on Tomato Production on Arid Land* 190, pp. 209–222, 1984.
- [33] L. A. Risse, W. R. Miller, and R. E. McDonald, "Effects of film wrapping on mature green tomatoes before and after ethylene treatment," *Proceedings of the Florida State Horticultural Society*, vol. 97, pp. 112–114, 1984.
- [34] N. C. Shahi, U. C. Lohani, K. Chand, and A. Singh, "Effect of pre-cooling treatments on shelf life of tomato in ambient condition," *International Journal of Food, Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 50–56, 2012.
- [35] M. D. Ferreira, J. K. Brecht, S. A. Sargent, and J. J. Aracena, "Physiological responses of strawberry to film wrapping and pre cooling methods," *Proceedings of the Florida State Horticultural Society*, vol. 107, pp. 265–269, 1994.
- [36] "Estimation of the loss of horticulture produce due to non-availability of post harvest & food processing facilities in Bihar & Uttar Pradesh," *Socio-Economic Research Planning Commission, ASET*, New Delhi, India.
- [37] T.Genanew, "Effects of postharvest treatments on storage behaviour and quality of tomato fruits," *World Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 29–37, 2013.
- [38] A. Batu and A. Keith Thompson, "Effects of modified atmosphere packaging on post harvest qualities of pink tomatoes," *Turkish Journal of Agriculture*

- and Forestry, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 365–372, 1998.
- [39] T. S. Workneh, G. Osthoff, and M. Steyn, “Effects of pre harvest treatment, disinfections, packaging and storage environment on quality of tomato,” *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, vol. 49, no. 6, pp. 685–694, 2012.
- [40] M. E. Saltveit, “Effects of ethylene on quality of fresh fruits and vegetables,” *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 279–292, 1999.
- [41] P. A. Idah, E. S. A. Ajisegiri, and M. G. Yisa, “Fruits and vegetables handling and transportation in Nigeria,” *AU Journal of Technology*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 175–183, 2007.
- [42] O. A. Abimbola, “Post-harvest losses and welfare of tomato farmers in Ogbomosho, Osun state, Nigeria,” *Journal of Stored Products and Postharvest Research*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 8–13, 2014.
- [43] A. A. Kader, “Effects of postharvest handling procedures on tomato quality,” *Acta Horticulturae*, vol. 190, pp. 209–222, 1986.
- [44] L. A. Babatola, D. O. Ojo, and O. I. Lawal, “Effect of storage conditions on tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mili.) quality and shelf life,” *Journal of Biological Sciences*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 490–493, 2008.
- [45] M. I. Cantwell, *Postharvest Handling of Specialty Vegetables*, Department of Vegetable Crops, University of California, Davis, Calif, USA, 1997.
- [46] R.E. Paull, “Effect of temperature and relative humidity on fresh commodity quality,” *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 263–277, 1999.
- [47] L. R. Castro, C. Vigneault, M. T. Charles, and L. A. B. Cortez, “Effect of cooling delay and cold-chain breakage on ‘Santa Clara’ tomato,” *Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 49–54, 2005.
- [48] K. Luengwilai, D. M. Beckles, and M. E. Saltveit, “Chilling- injury of harvested tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L. cv. Micro- Tom) fruit is reduced by temperature pre-treatments,” *Post-harvest Biology and Technology*, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 123–128, 2012.
- [49] Shewfelt, R.L., 1990. Sources of variation in the nutrient content of agricultural commodities from the farm to the consumer. *J. Food Qual.* 13:37-54.
- [50] D. M. Beckles, “Factors affecting the postharvest soluble solids and sugar content of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) fruit,” *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 129–140, 2012.
- [51] A. A. Kader, “Physiological and biochemical effects of carbon monoxide added to controlled atmospheres on fruits,” *Acta Horticulturae*, vol. 138, pp. 221–226, 1983.
- [52] A.A. Kader and M.E. Saltveit, *Atmosphere Modification*, Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, USA, 2003.
- [53] Sandhya, “Modified atmosphere packaging of fresh produce: current status and future needs,” *LWT—Food Science and Technology*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 381–392, 2010.
- [54] Lurie S. 1998. Postharvest heat treatments. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 14, 257–269 (doi:10.1016/S0925-5214(98)00045-3).
- [55] Schirra M, D'Hallewin G, Ben-Yehoshua S, Fallik E. 2000. Host-pathogen interactions modulated by heat treatment. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 21, 71–85 ( doi:10.1016/S0925-5214(00)00166-6 )
- [56] Fallik E. 2004. Pre-storage hot water treatments (immersion, rinsing and brushing). *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 32,

- 125–134 (doi:10.1016/j.postharvbio.2003.10.005).
- [57] B. Akbudak, N. Akbudak, V. Seniz, and A. Eris, “Sequential treatments of hot water and modified atmosphere packaging in cherry tomatoes,” *Journal of Food Quality*, vol.30,no.6,pp.896– 910, 2007.
- [58] J.Lu, M.T.Charles, C.Vigneault, B.Goyette, and G.S.V. Raghavan, “Effect of heat treatment uniformity on tomato ripening and chilling injury,” *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, vol. 56, no. 2, pp. 155–162, 2010.
- [59] S. D. C. Rodriguez, B. L’opez, and A. R. Chaves, “Effect of different treatments on the evolution of polyamines during refrigerated storage of eggplants,” *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, vol. 49, no. 10, pp. 4700–4705, 2001.
- [60] J.K.Raison and J.M.Lyons, “Chilling injury: a plea for uniform terminology,” *Plant, Cell & Environment*, vol. 9, no. 9, pp. 685– 686, 1986.
- [61] Beaudry RM. 1999. Effects of O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure on selected phenomena affecting fruit and vegetable quality. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 15, 293–303 (doi:10.1016/S0925-5214(98)00092-1).
- [62] Caleb OJ, Mahajan PV, Al-Said FA, Opara UL. 2013. Modified atmosphere packaging technology of fresh and fresh-cut produce and the microbial consequences: a review. *Food Bioprocess Technol.* 6, 303–329 (doi:10.1007/s11947-012-0932-4)
- [63] M. I. Cantwell, X. Nie, and G. Hong, “Impact of storage conditions on grape tomato quality,” in *Proceedings of the 6th ISHS Postharvest Symposium*. International Society of Horticultural Sciences, Antalya, Turkey, 2009.
- [64] A. A. Kader and C. B. Watkins, “Modified atmosphere packaging—toward 2000 and beyond,” *HortTechnology*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 483–486, 2000.
- [65] H. P. J. De Wild, E. C. Otma, and H. W. Peppelenbos, “Carbon dioxide action on ethylene biosynthesis of pre climacteric and climacteric pear fruit,” *Journal of Experimental Botany*, vol. 54, no. 387, pp. 1537–1544, 2003.
- [66] F.Art’es, P.A.G’omez, and F.Art’es-Hern’andez, “Modified atmosphere packaging of fruits and vegetables,” *Stewart Postharvest Review*, vol. 2, no. 5, pp. 1–13, 2006.
- [67] Linke M, Geyer M. 2013. Condensation dynamics in plastic film packaging for fruit and vegetables. *J. Food Eng.* 116, 144–154 (doi:10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2012.11.026).
- [68] Sousa-Gallagher MJ, Mahajan PV, Mezdad T. 2013. Engineering packaging design accounting for transpiration rate: Model development and validation with strawberries. *J. Food Eng.* 119, 370–376 (doi:10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2013.05.041).
- [69] M. C. Saure, “Blossom-end rot of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*, Mill.) a calcium- or a stress-related disorder?” *Scientia Horticulturae*, vol. 90, no. 3-4, pp. 193–208, 2001.
- [70] R. B. H. Wills and S. I. H. Tirmazi, “Effect of calcium and other minerals on ripening of tomatoes,” *Functional Plant Biology*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 221–227, 1979.
- [71] H. Izumi and A. E. Watada, “Calcium treatments affect storage quality of shredded carrots,” *Journal of Food Science*, vol. 59, no. 1, pp. 106–109, 1994.
- [72] A. Prakash, P.-C. Chen, R. L. Pilling, N. Johnson, and D. Foley, “1% calcium chloride treatment in combination with gamma irradiation improves microbial and physicochemical properties of diced tomatoes” *Food borne Pathogens and Disease*, vol.4, no. 1, pp. 89–98, 2007.



- [73] P. R. Hussain, R. S. Meena, M. A. Dar, and A. M. Wani, "Effect of post-harvest calcium chloride dip treatment and gamma irradiation on storage quality and shelf-life extension of red delicious apple," *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 415–426, 2012.
- [74] P. J. White and M. R. Broadley, "Calcium in plants," *Annals of Botany*, vol. 92, no. 4, pp. 487–511, 2003.
- [75] I. Lara, P. Garc'ia, and M. Vendrell, "Modifications in cell wall composition after cold storage of calcium-treated strawberry (*Fragaria x ananassa* Duch.) fruit," *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 331–339, 2004.
- [76] C. B. Watkins, "Overview of 1-methylcyclopropene trials and uses for edible horticultural crops," *HortScience*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 86–94, 2008.
- [77] M. Cliff, S. Lok, C. Lu, and P. M. A. Toivonen, "Effect of 1-methylcyclopropene on the sensory, visual, and analytical quality of greenhouse tomatoes," *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, vol. 53, no. 1-2, pp. 11–15, 2009.
- [78] Y. Mostofi, P. M. A. Toivonen, H. Lessani, M. Babalar, and C. Lu, "Effects of 1-methyl cyclopropene on ripening of greenhouse tomatoes at three storage temperatures," *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 285–292, 2003.
- [79] B. M. Hurr, D. J. Huber, and J. H. Lee, "Differential responses in colour changes and softening of 'Florida 47' tomato fruit treated at green and advanced ripening stages with the ethylene antagonist 1-methylcyclopropene," *HortTechnology*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 617–622, 2005.
- [80] Tuba DİLMAÇÜNAL, Mehmet Ali KOYUNCU, Hakan AKTAŞ, Derya BAYINDIR, "The Effects of Several Postharvest Treatments on Shelf Life Quality of Bunch Tomatoes" Suleyman Demirel University, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Horticulture, 32260, Isparta, Turkey; *Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca*, Volume 2011; 39(2): 209–213.