

# A Novel Spatial Blind Super Resolution For Video-Sequences

G.George Paul & L.Lakshmi Prasanna Kumar

<sup>1</sup>(M.Tech) Electronics and Communication Engineering Department, G.Pulla Reddy Engineering College, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh, INDIA

<sup>2</sup>(ASSISTANT PROFESSOR and M.Tech) *Electronics and Communication Engineering Department, G.Pulla Reddy Engineering College, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh, INDIA* 

gpgeorge777@gmail.com<sup>1</sup> LANKEK@gmail.com<sup>2</sup>

#### Abstract

In Digital image processing the super-resolution of images is a growing technique because of its simple structure with high accuracy as well as reliability is more. As motion fields are having a very high complex nature of motion, so there is need to use the application of super resolution for real life video sequences. The proposed work is implementation for spatial resolution improvement by a novel technique known as blind super resolution(SR), while we unknown about the parameters like noise statistics, point spread function as well as motion fields. In this we estimated the blur by multiscale process but before that we have to upsample the frames with the help of nonuniform interpolation superresolution. In image if we study details we can know that most of the information present at the edges. So, there is need to first get proper edges of an image. The blur estimation methodology is applied on the few edges but as iteration goes on it is applied to almost all edges of image obtained by gradient. We got more faster convergence in this technique by adopting a pixel domain analysis rather than the filter domain analysis. No of pixel based techniques are there but we preferred Huber-Markov random field because of its very high resolution outputs with preservation of the edges and fine details. It is having two important terms like fidelity and regularization which are analyzed before applying

the random field. The term 'fidelity' is continuously applied weighting by application of masking and the main aim of applying masking is to avoid the inaccurate motion based artifacts. Advantage of proposed work are it can handle complex motion problems, any deformable regions will be estimated accurately, efficient under different brightness condition, detailed structure is obtained as well as it can be applied to fast moving objects. The results obtained are analyzed by subjective and objective analysis to show its state of art over the existing techniques.

Index: Fidelity, Regularization, Super Resolution, Blur Deconvolution, Blind Estimation and Huber Markov Random Field (HMRF).

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Image resolution is an interesting task in image processing. Prominent study is going on especially on super resolution, because in every sector we need to preserve the characteristics of an image for further use. Recently the trend is on multi-image super resolution (SR) which is known for its efficient fusion of series of low resolution (LR) images which are degraded by some attacks like aliasing, blurring and different noise conditions.The spatial resolution mostly depends on



spatial density as well as point spread function(PSF).And on other hand temporal resolution is mostly depends on frame rate as well as exposure time required by particular camera.

The basic way to increase the resolution of a video by overlaying the window frame on each frame of the video sequence after that it is checked that which frame is falling inside those frames are combined and formed a new HR frame.

Another example of single video super resolution are given by the learning based, example based and patch based. The main theme for this is small space-time patches from the video sequenece are again and again taken for calculation inside the same or we considered different video for multiple spatio-temporal scales. By doing this high resolution patches are obtained with repeatedly replacing the patches obtained from degraded video.

While estimating blur, the input video is first upsampled (in case of SR) employing a heterogeneous interpolation or we can say non-uniform interpolation (NUI) SR method, then associate degree repetitious procedure is applied victimization under the given considerations: 1) Throughout the number of iterations, the blur is calculated completely employing a few important edges while weak structures square measure smoothened out, 2) The quantity of contributing edges step by step will increase the chances of getter good performance, 3) structures finer than the blur support can be easily removed or avoided from estimation, 4) the estimation is finished within the filter domain except using pixel domain calculation, finally 5) the estimation is performed at multiple scales to avoid the getting local minima at some points present at edges.

## 2. Existing Methods

[1] Visit the below website for more details on SR http://www.infognition.com/videoenhancer.the mentioned link will give the following information about the super-resolution used for the enhancement of degraded image as well as videos(which are considered

as frames of images).we used video enhancer here to get the more better results for degraded videos using upscaling in the digital videos. That is nothing but increase the resolution of images.

[2] "Limits on super-resolution and how to break them", by S. Baker and T. Kanade, they also discussed about enhancement of resolution under different conditions of images blurness. in this we worked on two different databases to get the enhanced results. The conversion of image into low and high frequencies will give us the least information also present in image. different types of the constrains are used to get the output with high resolution, in addition we also used reconstruction constrains.

[3]. "Determining optical flow Artificial Intelligence", given by B.K.P. Horn and B.G. Schunck, in this optical flow cant be calculated locally so we developed second contrains which will calculate the optical flow pattern. It is very helpful to get the image variations which is nothing but where exactly the variation is more and where smoothness of an image is degraded. Brightness level and additive noise in an image will give us the exact where image deformated and applying proposed work we can easily remove those deformations.

[4] "Blind image deconvolution" by the authors D.Kundur and D. Hatzinakos in IEEE Signal ProcessingMagazine, for each and every implementation related to



recovery of an image that image restoration we are facing the problems like convergence properties, complexity, and other implementation issues.To overcome this type of problems we developed a recent technique known as blind image Deconvolution.Blind in the sense we are not going to consider any references while applying this processing.

[5] "Fundamental limits of reconstruction based super resolution algorithms under local translation", by the authors Z. Lin and H-Y Shum, as we discussed in all existing techniques there is very less probability of getting success under the condition it should validates the perturbation theorem.so special algorithm is developed to get the better super-resolution compare to existing state of art techniques.

### **3. PROPOSED METHOD**

#### A. Observation Model





As we observed in above figure, a sliding window (temporal) of length M + N + 1 (with M frames backward and N frames forward) is overlaid around each Low resolution(LR) frame  $g_k$  of size  $N_x^g \times N_y^g \times C$ , and all LR frames inside the window can be obtained with the help to generate the HR reference frame  $f_k$  of size  $N_x^f \times N_y^f \times C$ . Here,  $N_x$  and  $N_y$  are frame dimensions for

two directions like x and y- directions and C is the number of color channels which is C=3 in color image. The linear forward imaging can be used for the reason of generating a LR frame  $g_i$  inside the window from the HR frame  $f_k$  is given by:

$$g_i(x \downarrow, y \downarrow; c) = [m_{k,i}(f_k(x, y; c)) * h(x, y)] \downarrow_L + n_{k,i}(x \downarrow, y \downarrow; c), \quad c = 1, ..., c, k = 1, ... p, i = k - M, ..., K + N \quad (1)$$

Where, we can say P is the total number of frames,  $(x\downarrow, y\downarrow)$  and (x, y) indicate the pixel coordinates in LR and HR image planes respectively, L is given the down sampling factor or SR up scaling ratio (so that  $N_x^f = LN_y^g$  and  $N_y^f = LN_y^g$ , and \* is the two-dimensional convolution operator used in the above formula. According to this model, the HR frame  $f_k$  is warped with the warping function  $m_{k,i}$ , blurred by PSF h, down sampled by L, and finally corrupted by the additive noise  $n_{k,i}$ . It is extremely easy to express this linear process in the vector-matrix notion as given by

$$g_i = DHM_{k,i}f_k + n_i \qquad -----(2)$$



Figure 2. Central motion (blue) versus sequential motion (red).

In (2)  $f_k$  is the kth HR frame in lexicographical notation indicating a vector of size  $N_x^f N_y^f C \times 1$ , matrices  $M_{k,i}$  and H are the motion (warping) and convolution operators of size  $N_x^f N_y^f C \times N_x^f N_y^f C$ , D is the down sampling matrix



of size  $N_x^g N_y^g C \times N_x^f N_y^f C$ , and  $g_i$  and  $n_i$  are vectors of the i<sup>th</sup> LR frame and noise respectively, both of size  $N_x^g N_y^g C \times 1$ .

#### **B.** Color Space

The human visual system (HVS) is a smaller amount sensitive to chrominance (color) than to brightness (light intensity). Within the RGB (red, green, blue having three components) color house, all the given three color elements have equal importance so all square measure typically keeps or processed at identical resolution for a pixel. However a lot of economical advantages to take the HVS perception under consideration are by separating the brightness from the colour data and representing luma with higher resolution than vividness present in image.

A popular application to accomplish this separation is to use the YCbCr color house wherever Y is that the luminance part (computed as a weighted average of R, G, and B) and Cb and Cr square measure the bluedifference and red-difference vividness elements. The YUV color space is mostly used for video process algorithms to explain video sequences encoded mistreatment YCbCr color space.

In this proposed work, video sequences will be processed in either RGB or YUV color formats depending on the application. Within the former case, SR is employed to extend the resolution of all R, G, and B channels,but within the latter one, solely the Y channel is processed by SR for quicker computation whereas the Cb and Cr channels are mostly used up scaled to the resolution of the super-resolved Y channel employing a single-frame up sampling methodology which are already present like linear or Bi-cubiform interpolation. The obtained results associated with given two existing cases are comparable employing a subjective quality assessment.

#### C. Motion Estimation

Accurate motion estimation (registration of the blur present in image) with sub component exactitude is crucial for video SR to attain an honest performance. 2 completely different approaches are thought-about for registration in video SR: central and serial (Fig. 2). Within the former, motion is directly computed between every system and every one LR frames within its window (Fig. 1). In contrast, within the latter, every frame is registered against its previous frame; then to use with SR, serial motion fields should be reborn to central fields for registration as follows: if  $S_i = [S_{xi}, S_{yi}]$  is the sequential motion field for the i<sup>th</sup> frame (w.r.t. the (i -1)th frame), then  $M_{k,i} = [M_{xk,i}, M_{yk,i}]$ , the central motion field for the ith frame when the central frame is the k<sup>th</sup> frame is obtained as:

$$M_{k,i} = -\sum_{n=i+1}^{k} S_n = -S_{i+1} + M_{k,i+1},$$
  

$$k - M \le i < k$$
  

$$M_{k,k} = I$$
  

$$M_{k,j} = \sum_{n=i+1}^{k} S_n = S_j + M_{k,j-1}, \quad k < M \le i < k + N - - - (3)$$

#### Where, I is the identity matrix.

With the improvement in successive approach in SR, every frame must be registered solely against the previous frame, whereas with the central approach every frame is registered against all neighboring frames at



intervals its reconstruction window. Therefore, the procedure quality and therefore the storage size of the motion fields within the central approach is above that of victimization the successive approach given by this algorithm.

#### **D. BLUR ESTIMATION**

Blur estimation is one of the important step in superresolution of this proposed work.In a multi-channel BE drawback, the blurs may be calculable accurately alongside the time unit pictures, but in an exceedingly blind SR drawback with a probably completely different blur for every frame, and some ambiguity within the blur estimation is inevitable owing to the down sampling operation for the estimation of blur. In contrast, in an exceedingly blind SR drawback during which all blurs area unit purported to be identical or have gradual changes over time, such associate ambiguity will be avoided [2]. Moreover, as mentioned in Section III-A, the belief of identical (or bit by bit changing) blurs makes it attainable to separate the registration and up sampling procedures from the deblurring method that considerably decreases the blur estimation quality. In Section III-A. the non-uniform interpolations abbreviated as NUI technique to reconstruct the upsampled frame is explained. This upsampled yetblurry frame is employed to estimate the PSF(s) and therefore the deblurred frames through associate repetitive various diminutions (AM) methods. The blur and frame estimation procedures area unit mentioned in Sections III-B and III-C, severally. The calculable frames area unit used just for the deblurring method so omitted thenceforth. Finally, the general AM improvement method is delineated in Section III-D.

#### E. Frame up sampling:

In [2] we implemented the things within which the distortion and blurring operations which are present in (2) square measure commutable. Though for videos with arbitrary native motions this commutability doesn't hold specifically for all pixels, but we tend to assume here that this is often around glad. The final word appropriateness of the approximation is valid by the ultimate performance of the formula that's derived supported this model. With this assumption, (2) is rewritten as:

$$\mathbf{g}_{i} = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{M}_{k,i}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{f}_{k} + \mathbf{n}_{i} = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{M}_{k,i}\mathbf{z}_{k} + \mathbf{n}_{i} (4)$$

Where  $\mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{k}}$  is the upsampled but still blurry frame. Equation (4) shows that we have to construct the upsample frames zk using a proper fusion method and then apply a deblurring method to  $\mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{k}}$  to estimate  $\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{k}}$  and h.

#### F. FRAME DEBLURRING

After up sampling the frames, we are going to use the following cost function, J, to estimate the HR frames fk having an estimate of the blur h (or H):

$$J(f_k) = \|\rho(Hf_k - z_k)\|_1 + \lambda^n \sum_{j=1}^4 \|\rho(\nabla_j f_k)\|_1$$
 (5)

where  $\cdot$  1 denotes the 11- norm (defined for a sample vector x with elements xi as x = i |xi|),  $\lambda n$  is the regularization coefficient,  $\rho(\cdot)$  is the vector Huber function,  $\rho(\cdot)$  1 is called the Huber norm, and  $\nabla j$  (i = 1,. . ., 4) are the gradient operators in 0°, 45°, 90° and 135° spatial directions. The first term in (5) is called the fidelity term which is the Huber norm of error between



**International Journal of Research** Available at <u>https://edupediapublications.org/journals</u> e-ISSN: 2348-6848 p-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 04 Issue 09 August 2017

the observed and simulated LR(low resolution) frames. While in most works the l2-norm is used for the fidelity term, we use the robust Huber norm to better suppress the outliers resulting from inaccurate registration. The next two terms in (5) are used for the different applications like the regularization terms which apply spatiotemporal smoothness to the HR video frames while preserving the edges.

Each element present in the vector function  $\rho(\cdot)$  is the Huber function which can be given as,

$$\rho(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 \, if|x| \le T\\ 2T|x| - T^2 \, if|x| > T \end{cases}$$
(6)

The Huber perform  $\rho(x)$  could be a umbel-like perform that features a quadratic type for values but or adequate to a threshold T and a linear growth for values bigger than T. The Gibbs PDF of the Huber perform is heavier within the tails than a Gaussian. Consequently, edges within the frames area unit less punished with this previous than with a Gaussian (quadratic) previous.

With the help of a sample vector x, at the nth iteration the non-quadratic Huber-norm  $\rho(xn)1$  is replaced by the following quadratic form which is

$$\|\rho(X^n)\|_1 = (X^n)^T V^n(X^n) = \|X^n\|_{V^n}^2$$
(7)

Where Vn is the following diagonal matrix given as

$$V^{n} = diag\left(\begin{cases} 1 \quad X^{n-1} \le T\\ T/X^{n-1} \quad X^{n-1} > T \end{cases}\right)$$
(8)

In (8) the dots present above the division and comparison operators indicate element-wise operations. Applying the FP method to (5) and setting the derivative of the cost function with respect to fk to zero results in the following linear equation set:

$$H^{nT}V^{n}H^{n} + \lambda^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{4} \nabla_{j}^{T} W_{j}^{n} \nabla_{j} = H^{nT}V^{n} z_{k} \quad (9)$$

Where,

$$V^{n} = diag\left(\rho\left(Hf_{k}^{n-1} - z_{k}\right)\right), W_{j}^{n}$$
$$= diag\left(\rho\left(\nabla_{j}f_{k}^{n-1}\right)\right) \quad (10)$$

We discussed above how to update the regularization parameter  $\lambda n$  at each iteration in Section III-D.

#### G. Blur estimation:

Within a picture or video frame, non-edge regions and weak structures don't seem to be acceptable for blur estimation. Hence, a lot of correct results would be obtained if the estimation isn't performed in such regions. For this reason, the user ought to 1st manually choose a neighborhood with made edge structure, the foremost salient edges square measure mechanically chosen.

In our proposed work, we tend to use the edgepreserving smoothing technique during which the amount of living edges once smoothing is globally controlled by the regularization constant. This feature is useful once one needs to limit the amount of salient edges at every iteration. This smoothing technique aims to stay associate degree meant variety of non-zero gradients through 10 gradient diminution victimization the subsequent value function:

$$J(f_{k}^{'n}) = \|f_{k}^{'n} - f_{k}^{n}\|_{2}^{2} + \beta^{n} \left(\|\nabla_{x}f'\|_{0} + \|\nabla_{y}f'\|_{0}\right) \quad (11)$$

Where, fn k is the output of the edge-preserving smoothing algorithm and the 10 norm is defined as x = 0



# (i| xi = 0). Unlike shock filtering, this smoothing method does not need pre-filtering of noise.

Though adequate edge pixels area unit needed for correct blur estimation, it's shown in one of the given references that structures with scales smaller than the FTO support might hurt blur estimation. Galvanized by that employment, we have a tendency to outline Rkn in (12) to live the quality of every constituent for blur estimation:

#### $R_k^n = \left| ABf_k^{'n} \right| \quad (12)$

Where A and B are the basic convolution operators for the spatial filters a and b, respectively, as defined below:

$$a = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(13)  
$$b = \nabla_x + \nabla_y = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(14)

#### Algorithm 1 Blur Estimation Procedure

```
Require: g_{1,...,g_p}\lambda_{min}, \Upsilon_{min} and initials h^o. \lambda^o. \gamma^o. \beta^o. T_1^o, T_2^o
Set n: =0 % Am loop iteration number
S: = # of scales
Use luma or one color channel of g_{1,\dots,g_{p_1}}
for k: =1 to P_1 do
                        % Loop on P_1 reference frames
             if L>1 then % For SR reconstruction
               z_k = NUI(g_{k-M}, \dots, g_{k+N})
                % For BD reconstruction
else
                z_k = g_k
end if
f_0^k = z_k
%HR frame and blur estimation
for s:=1 to S do
                          % Multi-scale approach
              Rescale z_k, f_k^n and h^n
               % AM loop iteration
               while "AM stopping criterion "is not satisfied do
                          n=n+1
             % Updating procedure for f
                          compute \mathbf{V}^{\mathbf{n}} and \mathbf{W}_{i}^{n} using (10)
                          update \lambda^n
             while f<sup>n</sup> does not satisfy "CG stopping criterion" do
               f_k^n: = CG iteration for system in (9); starting at
f_k^{n-1}
             end while
                          Apply constraints on f_k^n
% Updating procedure for h^n
                          Update \gamma^n. \beta^n. T_1^n and T_2^n
             Compute the smoothed frame f_k^m from (11)
             Compute \nabla f_k^{\prime\prime n} from (15)
             Edge tapping of \nabla f_k^{\prime \prime n}
             Compute h_k^n(x, y) from (17)
             Apply constraints on h^n
              end while
                 end for
    and for
```

In (13) and (14), there is that the all-ones filter of size 11\*11 and b is that the sum-of-gradients filter. As we used to compute R\_k^n, the total of gradient elements of f\_k^n is computed initial, then at every element it's summed up with the values of all neighboring pixels, and eventually its definite quantity is obtained. For pixels on slender structures, the total of gradient values cancels out one another. Therefore, R\_k^n sometimes incorporates a tiny worth at the situation of slender edges and sleek regions. Then f\_k^n is refined by solely holding robust and non-spike edges:

$$\nabla f_k^{''n} = \begin{cases} \nabla f_k^{'n} \ if \left| \nabla f_k^{'n} \right| > T_1^n and \ \mathbf{R}_k^n > T_2^n \\ 0 \ otherwise \end{cases}$$
(15)



Where  $T_1^n$  and  $T_2^n$  are threshold parameters which decrease at each iteration. To avoid ringing artifact, we apply the MATLAB function edgetaper() to  $\nabla f_k^n$ . Then we estimate each blur hk using the cost function J(h) below:

$$J(h) = \sum_{k=1}^{P_1} \|\nabla z_k - \nabla F_k''h\|_2^2 + \gamma^n \|\nabla h\|_2^2 \quad (16)$$

Where  $P1 \le M + N$  and  $F_k$  is the convolution matrix of fk. Since J(h) in (16) is quadratic, it can be easily minimized by pixel-wise division in the frequency domain [41] as:

$$h_{k}^{n}(x,y) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{P_{1}}\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left\{\left[\overline{\mathcal{F}(\nabla_{i}) \times \mathcal{F}(f_{k}^{''n})} \times \left(\mathcal{F}(\nabla_{i}) \times \mathcal{F}(z_{k})\right)\right] - \left| \left[\left|\mathcal{F}(\nabla_{i}) \times \mathcal{F}(f_{k}^{''n})\right|^{2} + \gamma^{n}|\mathcal{F}(\nabla_{i})|^{2}\right]\right\}\right)$$
(17)

Where  $\nabla i(i = 1, 2)$  is  $\nabla x$  or  $\nabla y$ ,  $F(\cdot)$  and  $F-1(\cdot)$ are FFT and inverse-FFT operations, and  $(\cdot)$  is the complex conjugate operator. We then applied the known constraints for PSF: its negative values are set to zero, then the PSF is normalized to the range [0, 1], and centered which is in support window.

#### H. Overall Optimization for Blur Estimation:

The overall optimization procedure which is aimed for estimating the PSF is shown in Algorithm 1. The HR frames and the PSF are sequentially updated within the AM iterations shown in the algorithm. We use a multi-scale approach to avoid trapping in local minima. The regularization coefficients  $\lambda^n$  in (9) and  $\gamma^n$  in (17) decrease at each AM (alternating minimization) iteration up to some minimum values  $\lambda_{min}$  and  $\gamma_{min}$ , respectively (see [2] for a discussion). The variation of these coefficients is given by:

$$\lambda^{n} = max(r\lambda^{n-1}, \lambda_{min}), \gamma^{n}$$
$$= max(r\gamma^{n-1}, \gamma_{min}) \quad (18)$$

Where r is a scalar less than 1. Also the values of  $\beta$ n in (11) and Tn 1 and T2n in (15) fall at each AM iteration which increases the number of contributing pixels to blur estimation as the optimization proceeds.

#### **IV. FINAL HR FRAME ESTIMATION**

After completion of the PSF estimation, the final HR frames are reconstructed through minimizing the following cost function as given below,

$$J(f_1, \dots, f_p) = \sum_{k=1}^{P} \left( \sum_{i=k-M}^{K+N} \left\| \rho\left(o_{k,i}(DHM_{k,i}f_k^1 - g_i)\right) \right\|_1 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{4} \left\| \rho(\nabla_j f_k) \right\|_1 (19) \right)$$

Where Ok,i is a diagonal weighting matrix that assigns less weights to the outliers. Minimizing this cost function with respect to fk yields:

$$\left(\sum_{i=K-M}^{K+N} M_{k,i}^T H^T D^T o_{k,i} V^n D H M_{k,i} + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^4 \nabla_j^T W^n \nabla_j \right) f_k^n$$
$$= M_{k,i}^T H^T D^T o_{k,i} V^n g_i \quad (20)$$

Where,

$$V^{n} = diag\left(\rho\left(DHM_{k,i}f_{k}^{n-1} - g_{i}\right)\right), W_{j}^{n}$$
$$= diag\left(\rho\left(\nabla_{i}f_{k}^{n-1}\right)\right) \quad (21)$$

and the m-th diagonal element of Onk, i is computed according to below equation:

$$o_{k,i}[m] = exp\left\{\frac{\left\|R_m\left(\rho(DHM_{k,i}f_k^{n-1} - g_i)\right)\right\|}{2\sigma^2}\right\} \quad (22)$$

Where in (22) Rm is a patch operator which extracts a patch of size  $q \times q$  centered at the m-th pixel of fk,i.



International Journal of Research Available at <u>https://edupediapublications.org/journals</u> e-ISSN: 2348-6848 p-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 04 Issue 09 August 2017

The final frame estimation algorithm is shown in below Algorithm 2.

Algorithm 2 Final Frame Estimation ProcedureRequire: $g_{1,,}g_{p_i}$ and $\lambda$ 1: Set n: = 0% FP loop iteration number
2: for k: =1 to P do % Loop on P reference
frames
3: Estimate sequential motion fields $S_1, \dots, S_p$
4:Compute central motion fields $M_1, \dots, M_p$ using (??)
5:Estimate the blur <b>h</b> using Algorithm 1
7:% Estimate HR frames using FP loops
8:while "FP stopping criterion" is not satisfied do
9: n=n+1
10: Compute $0_{kj}^n$ using (22)
11: Compute $\mathbf{V}^n$ and $\mathbf{W}_j^n$ using (21)
12: While $f^n$ does not satisfy ""CG stopping criterion" do
13: $f_k^n$ := CG iteration for system in (20); starting at $f_k^{n-1}$
14: end while
15 Apply constraints on $f_k^n$
17: end while
18: end while



Figure 1: Degraded Video Sequence as an input

In input video there may be different types of degradation like fog, blurness which we have to estimate for enhancement. our proposed algorithm is considered for three types of degradations.



Figure 2: Motion Estimation in Video Sequence

## 4. SIMULATION RESULTS

The proposed work is shown by MATLAB implementation. We compared proposed work with existing super-resolution technique and shown that state of art of the proposed work is better compare to existing techniques for super-resolution. We used here bicubic as existing technique to show the advantages of PSNR for proposed work compare to existing technique.



Motion estimation in the degraded video is done because we want to process the finest elements present in an image which are finest that blur also.



Figure 3: Inverse Video Sequence

Here, we calculated inverse video sequences to get accuracy and this type processing will takes place iteratively. Previously it is calculated at some edges but later it is calculated for all edge gradient.



Figure 4: Bi-Cubic Interpolation Method

Bi-cubic interpolation is applied to get the super resolution for single frame upsampling method.We converted Image which is in RGB format to YCbCr format and normally we applied upsampling to Cb and Cr plane but the intensity plane Y is upsampled by using Bi-cubic method.



Figure 5: Proposed Method Video Sequence

Video is considered as sequence of frames and we applied proposed work on degraded video sequence to estimate blur and to remove it. So finally we got these results. We estimated the blur by multiscale process but before that we have to upsample the frames with the help of nonuniform interpolation super-resolution.

## 5. CONCLUSION

In this we analyzed a low resolution video by using two important methods as one is super-resolution and another one is blind deconvolution. As the motion field is very complicated problem, its analysis and improving the quality is a challenging task using image enhancement



techniques. First we applied the non-uniform interpolation (NUI) super resolution to up sample the frames under consideration that the blur is nothing but it's having slow variations as time proceed or it may be identical. From this upsample frames blur is estimated by iterative processing on important edges. Finally we reconstructed frames which are blur estimated by application of non blind super resolution is performed iteratively. Masking is applied to suppress the artifacts present due to inaccurate motion estimation. The subjective are well as objective analysis for obtained results will show that the state of art for implementation. Comparative study will show the superior performance of proposed work.

### REFERENCES

[1] Visit the below website for more details on SR http://www.infognition.com/videoenhancer/,

[2] "Limits on super-resolution and how to break them",by S. Baker and T. Kanade, IEEE Transaction on Pattern Analysis Machine Intelligence. [3]. "Determining optical flow Artificial Intelligence", given by B.K.P. Horn and B.G. Schunck

[4] "Blind image deconvolution"by the authors D. Kundur and D. Hatzinakos in IEEE Signal Processing Magazine.

[5] "Fundamental limits of reconstruction based superresolution algorithms under local translation", by the authors Z. Lin and H-Y Shum in IEEE Transaction on Pattern Analysis Machine Intelligence.

[6] "A bayesian approach to adaptive video super resolution", by the authors C. Liu and D. Sun. in IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2011.

[7] "Automatic estimation and removal of noise from a single image". By the authors C. Liu, R. Szeliski, S. B. Kang, C. L. Zitnick, and W. T. Freeman in IEEE Transaction on Pattern Analysis Machine Intelligence,

[8] "Fast image/video upsampling. ACM Transactions on Graphics" (Proceedings of ACM SIGGRAPH), by the authors Q. Shan, Z. Li, J. Jia, and C-K Tang.

[9] "Super-resolution without explicit subpixel motion estimation", given by the H. Takeda, P. Milanfar, M. Protter, and M. Elad.