Available at https://edupediapublications.org/journals

p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 02 Issue 07 July 2015

# A Study on Performance Behavior of Fibre (Steel) Reinforced Geopolymer **Composites**

#1P.Lakshmaiah chowdary Reasearchschloar, Annamalaiuniversity.

<sup>#2</sup>Dr Sankaran, Senior professor Civil engg department, Annnamalaiuniversity.

ABSTRACT: Due to growing environmental concerns reinforced geopolymer concrete composites under of the cement industry, alternative cement technologies impact loading is not still well known. have become an area of increasing interest. It is now Hence aneffort has been made in this investigation to believed that new binders are indispensable for study the performance effectiveness of plain and fibre enhanced environmental and durability performance. reinforced geopolymer concrete under impact load. In On the other hand, already huge volumes of fly ash are addition to that, the information on the flexural generated around the world, most of the fly ash is not behavior of fibre added geopolymer reinforced effectively used, and a large part of it is disposed in concrete beams is also not available in the past landfills. As the need for power increases, the volume literatures. And flexural behaviour study is vital for of fly ash would increase. Both the above issues are to be addressed. An effort in this regard is the structural development of geopolymer concrete, synthesized experimental and analytical investigations were from the materials of geological origin or by product carried out, to study the flexural behavior of plain and materials such as fly ash, which are rich in silicon and fibre added geopolymer composite RC beams. aluminum. So far, the main thrust of research on the fresh and hardened properties such as involving geopolymer concrete has been aimed at workability, density, compressive strength, split tensile characterizing the mechanical properties geopolymer concrete. Majority of these studies are limited to geopolymer concrete cured at elevated temperature. Practical applications of geopolymer concrete are affected by this curing method. This method would prevent the geopolymer concrete to be applied in a cast in situ concrete work. Therefore this research is focused on the utilization of ambient Geopolymer concrete specimens took a minimum temperature to cure the geopolymer concrete.

INTRODUCTION: studies to investigate the effect of addition of fibres on the strength characteristics of geopolymer concrete are limited. Hence, there exists a technical knowledge gap in this area. Hence, an attempt has been made through the present investigation to conduct an experimental programme to study the effect of addition of fibres such as steel, polypropylene and glass on the strength and other engineering properties of geopolymer concrete composites. Despite the engineering characteristics of the geopolymer concrete, the performance of fibre

the use of fibre reinforced geopolymer concrete for applications. Therefore.

of strength, flexural strength, impact strength, modulus of elasticity, water absorption and sorptivity geopolymer concrete composites.

Based on the investigations conducted for the above parts, the following conclusions are drawn:

Geopolymer concrete did not harden immediately at room temperature as in conventional concrete. period of 3 days for complete setting without leaving a nail impression on the hardened surface. These two observations are considered as drawbacks of this concrete to be used for practical applications. Limitations of GPC mix was eliminated by replacing 10% of fly ash by OPC which resulted in Geopolymer concrete composite. Unlike GPC, geopolymer concrete composite hardens immediately and starts gaining its strength within a day without any necessity of heat curing.

Addition of steel fibres in GPCC resulted in



Available at https://edupediapublications.org/journals

p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 02 Issue 07 July 2015

improvement of compressive strength, split tensile strength, flexural strength,

- impact strength, modulus of elasticity, ductility and energy absorption capacity.
   Geopolymer concrete composite specimens reinforced with steel fibres leads to lower water absorption and sorptivity values compared to control GPCC specimens. The average density of GPCC increases with the increase in the volume fraction of steel fibres.
- Even though the addition of polypropylene fibres in GPCC did not show any significant improvement in the compressive strength, but the split tensile and flexural strengths were improved due to the addition of fibres. Inclusion of polypropylene fibres considerably improved the ability of concrete to absorb kinetic energy and hence the impact resistance of PFRGPCC is significantly very high.
- In case of GFRGPCC, the addition of 0.01% and 0.02% of glass fibres did not improve the compressive strength, split tensile strength, flexural strength and modulus of elasticity while the GFRGPCC specimens with 0.03% of glass fibres improves the above mentioned properties. The impact resistance of GFRGPCC specimen is comparatively lower than SFRGPCC and PFRGPCC specimens.
- In case of SFRGPCC beams, the first crack load and the ultimate load increased as the volume fraction of steel fibres increases. The gain in ultimate load carrying capacity is more significant in the case of SFRGPCC beams due to the addition of steel fibres. For steel fibre reinforced geopolymer concrete composite beams, as the fibre content increases, the ductility also increases. The maximum value of the ductility factor is obtained for the beam with a fibre volume

fraction of 0.5%. Due to the addition of polypropylene fibres, the increase in ultimate load is very marginal as compared to control GPCC beam. Beams reinforced polypropylene fibres did not show anv improvement in ductility when compared with control GPCC beam. In case of GFRGPCC beams, the increase in ultimate load carrying capacity was not that much significant when compared to control GPCC beam. In the case of glass fibre reinforced geopolymer concrete beams, ductility factor increases for all the volume fractions, however the maximum ductility was observed for the beam with a volume fraction of 0.01%.

• The failure mechanism of GPCC beam and fibre reinforced GPCC beams were modeled quite well using finite element software ANSYS and the failure loads predicted were found to be very close to the failure load recorded during experimental testing. The analytical models developed using ANSYS have shown to provide accurate prediction of the load-deflection behaviour of GPCC and fibre reinforced GPCC beams.

of Specimens took a minimum period of 3 days for complete setting without leaving a nail impression on the hardened surface. These two observations are considered as drawbacks of this concrete to be used for practical applications. Limitations of GPC mix was eliminated by replacing 10% of fly ash by OPC which resulted in Geopolymer concrete composite. Unlike GPC, geopolymer concrete composite hardens immediately and starts gaining its strength within a day without any necessity of heat curing.

Addition of steel fibres in GPCC resulted in improvement of compressive strength, split tensile strength, flexural strength, impact strength, modulus of elasticity, ductility and energy absorption capacity.



Available at https://edupediapublications.org/journals

p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 02 Issue 07 July 2015

with steel fibres leads to lower water absorption and maximum ductility was observed for the beam with a sorptivity values compared to control GPCC volume fraction of 0.01%. specimens. The average density of GPCC increases The failure mechanism of GPCC beam and fibre with the increase in the volume fraction of steel fibres. Even though the addition of polypropylene fibres in using finite element software ANSYS and the failure GPCC did not show any significant improvement in loads predicted were found to be very close to the the compressive strength, but the split tensile and failure load recorded during experimental testing. The flexural strengths were improved due to the addition of analytical models developed using ANSYS have fibres. Inclusion of polypropylene fibres considerably improved the ability of concrete to absorb kinetic deflection behavior of GPCC and fibre reinforced energy and hence the impact resistance of PFRGPCC GPCC beams. is significantly very high.

In case of GFRGPCC, the addition of 0.01% and 0.02% of glass fibres did not improve the compressive strength, split tensile strength, flexural strength and modulus of elasticity while the GFRGPCC specimens with 0.03% of glass fibres improves theabove mentioned properties. The impact resistance of SFRGPCC and PFRGPCC specimens.

carrying capacity is more significant in the case of Nowadays, there is a big concern about ductility also increases. The maximum value of the concrete. ductility factor is obtained for the beam with a fibre In order to address the above said issues, several volume fraction of 0.5%. Due to the addition of materials were proposed to replace the function of polypropylene fibres, the increase in ultimate load is cement in concrete. Waste materials that contain silica very marginal as compared to control GPCC beam, and alumina were applied to replace some portion of Beams reinforced with polypropylene fibres did not cement in concrete. Fly ash, Rice husk ash, silica fume show any improvement in ductility when compared and ground granulated blast furnace slag are some of with control GPCC beam. In case of GFRGPCC the examples of cement replacement materials that are beams, the increase in ultimate load carrying capacity commonly used. The binder product resulted from was not that much significant when compared to pozzolanic reaction control GPCC beam. In the case of glass fibre that occurred between cement replacement materials reinforced geopolymer concrete beams, ductility factor and hydration paste has significantly improved

Geopolymer concrete composite specimens reinforced increases for all the volume fractions, however the

reinforced GPCC beams were modeled quite well shown to provide accurate prediction of the load-

Concrete is the most commonly used construction material. Customarily, concrete is produced by using the Ordinary Portland Cement as the binder. However, the manufacturing of the Portland Cement is an energy intensive process and releases a very large amount of green house gas to atmosphere. Production of one ton of Portland cement requires about 2.8 tons of raw GFRGPCC specimen is comparatively lower than materials, including fuel and other materials and hence it is well known that cement production depletes In case of SFRGPCC beams, the first crack load and significant amount of natural resources. As a result of the ultimate load increased as the volume fraction of de-carbonation of lime, manufacturing of one ton of steel fibres increases. The gain in ultimate load cement generates about one ton of carbon dioxide. SFRGPCC beams due to the addition of steel fibres. development of alternative materials to Portland For steel fibre reinforced geopolymer concrete cement. Therefore, there are efforts to develop the composite beams, as the fibre content increases, the other form of cementitious materials for producing



Available at https://edupediapublications.org/journals

p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 02 Issue 07 July 2015

materials can only replace up to certain percentages of reaction is exothermic and takes place under portion of cement in concrete.

In the year 2002, high volume fly ash concrete has been developed by Malhotra that utilized fly ash to replace cement up to 60% without reducing concrete performance. Percentage replacement of cement above 60% would not provide any improvement to the concrete performance, therefore new binder material that could fully replace cement portion in concrete is necessary to create superior and more environmentally friendly concrete.

In 1978, a new material was introduced by Davidovits, which can be used as an alternative binder to cement. This material was named as geopolymer for its reaction between alkaline liquid and geological based source material. Followed by this, in the year 2002, Hardjito and Rangan carried out research on fly ash based geopolymer concrete and studied the engineering properties by applying steam curing in order to accelerate the polymerization process in this Monita Olivia and Hamid R. Nikraz (2011) geopolymer concrete,

1978 to represent a broad range of materials ash characterized by chains or networks of inorganic water/binder ratio, aggregate/binder ratio, aggregate molecules. These geopolymers rely on thermally grading, and alkaline/fly ash ratio. The results activated natural materials (e.g., kaolinite clay) or indicated that the strength of fly ash geopolymer industrial byproducts (e.g., fly ash or slag) to provide a concrete was increased by reducing the water/binder source of silicon (Si) and alumina (Al), which is dissolved in an alkaline activating solution and low calcium fly ash geopolymer was improved by subsequently polymerizes into molecular chains and decreasing the water/binder ratio, increasing the fly ash networks to create the hardened binder. Such systems are often referred to as alkali-activated cements or observed that there was no significant change in water inorganic polymer cements.

Geopolymer is an inorganic polymer similar to natural zeolitic materials, but the microstructure is amorphous instead of crystalline. The polymerization process can be produced with appropriate parameterisation and involves substantially a fast chemical reaction under mix design. alkaline condition on Si-Al minerals that result in a The effects of various factors such as the age of three dimensional polymeric chain and ring structure concrete, curing time, curing temperature, quantity of

conventional concrete properties. However, these consisting of Si-O-Al-O bonds. The geopolymerisation atmospheric pressure at temperatures below 100°C.

> The exact mechanism by which geopolymer setting and hardening occurs is not yet fully understood. However, the most proposed mechanisms for the geopolymerization includes the following four stages that proceed in parallel and thus, it is impossible to be distinguished: (i) Dissolution of Si and Al from the solid aluminosilicate materials in the strongly alkaline aqueous solution, (ii) Formation of oligomers species (geopolymers precursors) consisting of polymeric bonds of Si-O-Si and/or Si-O-Al type, (iii) Polycondensation of the oligomers to form a threedimensional aluminosilicate framework and (iv) Bonding of the unreacted solid particles and filler materials into the geopolymeric framework and hardening of the whole system into a final solid polymeric structure.

#### REVIEW OF LITERATURE

investigated the strength development, The term geopolymer was coined by Davidovits in absorption and water permeability of low calcium fly geopolymer concrete with variations and aggregate/binder ratios and the water absorption of content, and using a well-graded aggregate. It was also permeability coefficient for the geopolymer with different parameters. The test data indicates that a good quality of low calcium fly ash geopolymer concrete



Available at https://edupediapublications.org/journals

p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 02 Issue 07 July 2015

based geopolymer concrete, especially the compressive and 90°C. strength have been studied by Djwantoro Hardjito et al The mechanical properties of fly ash based geopolymer (2004). The test results show that the compressive concrete were studied by Ivan Diaz- Loya et al strength of geopolymer concrete does not vary with (2011). Experimentally measured values of the static age, and curing the concrete specimens at higher elastic modulus, Poisson's ratio, compressive strength temperature and longer curing period will result in and flexural strength of geopolymer concrete higher compressive strength. Furthermore, commercially available superplasticizer improves the workability of fresh results were studied using regression analysis to geopolymer concrete. The start of curing of identify tendencies and correlations within geopolymer concrete at elevated temperatures can be mechanical properties of geopolymer concrete. It was delayed at least up to 60 minutes without significant found that the mechanical behavior of geopolymer effect on the compressive strength. The test data also concrete is similar to that of ordinary Portland cement show that the water content in the concrete mix plays concrete. an important role.

the compressive strength and characteristics of low-calcium fly ash based self (2008). The concretes were prepared with varying fly compacting geopolymer concrete. The essential ash content of 350, 450 & 550 kg/m<sup>3</sup> and activator workability properties of the freshly prepared self- solution to fly ash ratio of 0.4 and 0.5. Compressive compacting geopolymer concrete such as filling strength in the range of 10-60 MPa was obtained. The ability, passing ability and segregation resistance were performance of these concretes in aggressive evaluated by using Slump flow, V-funnel, L-box and J- environments was also studied, using tests ring test methods. The fundamental requirements of absorption, acid resistance and potential. Results high flow ability and segregation resistance as indicated that the water absorption decreased with an specified by EFNARC guidelines on self compacting increase in the strength of the concrete and the fly ash concrete were satisfied. In addition, compressive content. All geopolymer concretes showed excellent strength was determined and the test results were resistance to acid attack (3% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) compared to the included. The effect of extra water, curing time and normal concrete. curing temperature on the compressive strength of self- Ravindra N. Thakur and Somnath Ghosh (2009) compacting geopolymer concrete was also reported. reported results of The test results show that extra water in the concrete development mix plays a significant role. Longer curing time microstructure of geopolymer paste and mortar improves the geopolymerisation process resulting in 13 specimens prepared by thermal activation of Indian fly higher compressive strength. The compressive strength ash with sodium hydroxide and sodium silicate was highest when the specimens were cured for a solution. The effect of main synthesis parameters such period of 96 hours; however, the increase in strength as alkali content, silica content, water to geopolymer after 48 hours was not significant. Concrete specimens solid ratio and sand to fly ash ratio of geopolymer

superplasticizer, the rest period prior to curing, and the cured at 70°C produced the highest compressive water content of the mix on the properties of fly ash strength as compared to specimens cured at 60°C, 80°C

> the specimens made from 25 fly ash stockpiles from Naphthalene-based different sources were recorded and analyzed. The

The durability of the fly ash based geopolymer Fareed Ahmed (2011) documented the assessment of concrete prepared with sodium silicate and sodium workability hydroxide as activators was studied by Sathia et al

> an experimental study of compressive strength and



Available at https://edupediapublications.org/journals

p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 02 Issue 07 July 2015

curing temperature on development of compressive strength and microstructure of fly ash based geopolymer paste and mortar were studied. The strength of geopolymer concrete cured for 24 hours at compressive strength of 48.20 MPa was obtained for 60°C does not depend on the age. The geopolymer geopolymer mixture cured at 85°C for 48 hours with concrete undergoes very little drying shrinkage and alkali content (Na<sub>2</sub>O/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) of 0.62 and silica content low creep. The resistance of geopolymer concrete (SiO<sub>2</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>)of 4.0. The mineralogical microstructure studies on hardened geopolymer performed by means Scanning electron microscope identified. and X-ray diffraction, showed formation of a new Olivia et al (2008) presented a detailed experimental amorphous alumino-silicate phase such as hydroxysodalite and herschelite, which influenced water absorption, volume of permeable voids, development of compressive strength.

The effects of various parameters on the properties of geopolymer concrete. In this research, geopolymer geopolymer concrete have been presented by Djwantoro Hardjito et al (2004). Based on the experimental investigations, they found that higher concentration (in terms of molar) of sodium hydroxide cylinders and cured for 24 hours at 60°C in the steam solution results in a higher compressive strength of curing chamber. After 28 days, the cylinders were cut geopolymer concrete and higher the ratio of sodium into slices for permeability, sorptivity and volume of silicate to sodium hydroxide liquid ratio by mass, higher is the compressive strength of geopolymer concrete. It was also reported that, as the curing temperature (in the range of 30 to 90°C) increases, the compressive strength of geopolymer concrete also increases. Longer curing time, in the range of 6 to 96 hours (4 days), produces larger compressive strength of geopolymer concrete. However, the increase in strength beyond 48 hours was not significant. The addition of high range water reducing admixture, upto approximately 2% of fly ash by mass, improved the concrete. workability of fresh geopolymer concrete with very little effect on the compressive strength of hardened concrete. The rest period between casting of specimens and the commencement of curing up to 60 minutes has no effect on the compressive strength of geopolymer concrete. It is also reported that the fresh geopolymer.

mixture and processing parameters such as curing time compressive strength. As the ratio of water to geopolymer solids by mass increases, the compressive strength of the concrete decreases. The compressive and against sodium sulfate is excellent. The applications of geopolymer concrete and future research needs are also

> investigation on water penetrability properties, namely permeability and sorptivity of low calcium fly ash concrete is made from fly ash with a combination of sodium hydroxide and sodium silicate as alkaline activator. Seven mixes were cast in 100 x 200 mm permeable voids tests. In addition, a microstructure characteristic of geopolymer concrete was studied using Scanning Electron Microscopy. Results indicate that geopolymer concrete has low water absorption, volume of permeable voids and sorptivity. It is found that the geopolymer concrete could be classified as a concrete with an average quality according to water permeability value. Moreover, a low water/binder ratio and a well graded aggregate are some important factors to achieve low water penetrability of geopolymer

The effect of curing conditions on the compressive strength of self compacting geopolymer concrete prepared by using fly ash as base material and combination of sodium hydroxide and sodium silicate as alkaline activator has been studied by Fareed Ahmed Memon et al (2011). The experiments were Concrete is easily handled up to 120 minutes without conducted by varying the curing time and curing any sign of setting and without any degradation in the Temperature in the range of 24-96 hours and 60-90°C



Available at <a href="https://edupediapublications.org/journals">https://edupediapublications.org/journals</a>

p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 02 Issue 07 July 2015

hours was not significant. Concrete specimens cured at 70°C produced the highest compressive strength as compared to specimens cured at 60°C, 80°C and 90°C. The results of an experimental investigation on the durability of fly ash based Geopolymer concretes exposed to 10% sulphuric acid solutions for up to 8 weeks have been presented by Song et al (2005). A class F fly ash based Geopolymer concrete was initially cured for 24 hours at either 23°C or 70°C. The compressive strength of 50 mm cubes at an age of 28 days ranged from 53 MPa to 62 MPa. After immersion in a 10% sulphuric acid having a fixed ratio of acid volume to specimen surface area of 8 ml/cm<sup>2</sup>, samples were tested at 7, 28, and 56 days. The mass loss, reduction of compressive strength and the residual alkalinity were determined on the basis of modified ASTM C267 tests. The results confirmed that geopolymer concrete is highly resistant to sulphuric acid in terms of a very low mass loss, less than 3%. It was also observed that, geopolymer cubes were structurally intact and still had substantial load capacity even though the entire section had been neutralized by sulphuric acid.

From the detailed experimental investigations on fly ash based Geopolymer concrete (GPC) given in chapter 3 the following two limitations have been observed namely delay in setting time and necessity of

respectively. The essential workability properties of heat curing to gain strength at early ages. These freshly prepared Self compacting geopolymer concrete limitations are considered as the drawbacks of this such as filling ability, passing ability and segregation concrete to be used for practical applications. In order resistance were evaluated by using Slump flow, V- to overcome these two limitations of GPC mix, 10% funnel, L-box and J-ring test methods. The of fly ash in the geopolymer concrete was replaced by fundamental requirements of high flow ability and Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) and the mix design resistance to segregation as specified by guidelines on was altered accordingly which results in Geopolymer Self compacting Concrete by EFNARC were satisfied. Concrete Composites (GPCC). This chapter describes Test results indicate that longer curing time and curing the mix proportion and preparation of Geopolymer the concrete specimens at higher temperatures result in concrete composites. The fresh and hardened higher compressive strength. There was increase in properties such as workability, density, compressive compressive strength with the increase in curing time. strength, split tensile strength and flexural strength of However increase in compressive strength after 48 Geopolymer Concrete Composites (GPCC) are presented in this chapter. A comparison on the strength and behaviour between GPC and GPCC is also discussed.

#### Preparation of Alkaline Activator Solution.

A combination of Sodium hydroxide solution of 12 molarity and sodium silicate solution was used as alkaline activator solution for geopolymerisation. To prepare sodium hydroxide solution of 12 molarity (12 M), 480 g (12 x 40 i.e, molarity x molecular weight) of sodium hydroxide flakes was dissolved in distilled water and makeup to one liter. The mass of NaOH solids is equal to 354.45 g per kg of NaOH solution.

# STEEL FIBRE REINFORCED GEOPOLYMER CONCRETECOMPOSITES.

The effect of addition of steel fibres on the strength characteristics of geopolymer concrete composites. The fresh and hardened properties such as workability, density, compressive strength, split tensile strength, flexural strength, impact strength, modulus of elasticity, water absorption and sorptivity of Steel Fibre Reinforced Geopolymer Concrete Composites (SFRGPCC) is presented .A comparison of the strength and durability aspects between GPCC and SFRGPCC is also discussed.

Available at https://edupediapublications.org/journals

p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 02 Issue 07 July 2015

The following parameters were considered in this casting the test specimens. experimental investigation:

Compressive strength of SFRGPCC specimens

- Volume fraction of steel fibers: 0%, 0.25%, 0.5% and 0.75%
- Age of concrete at time of testing: 1day, 3days, (b) 7 days and 28 days

#### **Materials Used**

Aggregate, Flv ash. Cement. Fine Coarse Aggregate, Sodium Hydroxide ,Sodium Silicate Super plasticizer, Water, Steel fiber.

Mix Proportion of SFRGGPCC.

**Details of mix proportions of SFRGPCC** 

		Avg.
	Avg. Ultimate	CompressiveSt
Spec.	load in kN	rength MPa
$S_0 A_1$	175.2	7.79
0.25 <sup>A</sup> 1	115.7	5.14
0.50 <sup>A</sup> 1	116.0	5.16
0.75 <sup>A</sup> 1	162.5	7.22
$S_0 A_3$	279.6	12.43
0.25 <sup>A</sup> 3	228.3	10.15
0.5 <sup>A</sup> 3	345.7	15.37
0.75 <sup>A</sup> 3	271.7	12.07
1 1	1161	10.02

			1	1				1	1			
							Extr	S <sub>0</sub> A <sub>7</sub>		446.1	19.83	
					NaOH	Na <sub>2</sub> SiO	_	S <sub>0.25</sub> A <sub>7</sub>	,	466.3	20.72	-
	Fly				Solutio	Solutio	Wat	50. 50 A5	Steel	5141		_
	Ash	OPC	FA	CA	n	n	r	50.50 <sup>A</sup> 7 <b>SP</b>	fibres	514.1	22.85	
Mix								50.75 A7	1	563.2	25.03	
ID	kg/m <sup>3</sup>	kg/m <sup>3</sup>	Ira/m³	Ira/m³	Ira/m³	kg/m <sup>3</sup>	Ira/m	S 0 A28 kg/m <sup>3</sup>	Ira/m³	861.4	38.28	
ID	Kg/III	Kg/III	Kg/III	Kg/III	Kg/III	Kg/III	Kg/III	S 0.25 A 2	8 8	955.0	42.44	
GPCC	354.87	39.43	554.4	1293.4	40.56	101.39	55.18	50.50 <sup>8</sup> A <sub>2</sub>	8	969.6	43.09	-
S0.25	354.87	39.43	554.4	1293.4	40.56	1		S (1.1158/32		1074.7	47.76	_
							1					
S0.5	354.87	39.43	554.4	1293.4	40.56	101.39	55.18	<b>Бикеев</b>	ggygge 1	est results, t	ising least square	regres

101.39

**Curing of SFRGPCC Specimens** 

SFRGPCC specimens were removed from the moulds Equation. immediately after 24 hours since they set in a similar fashion as that of conventional concrete. All the  $f_{cs}$ = 28 days compressive strength of SFRGPCC specimens were left at room temperature in ambient  $f_c$ = 28 days compressive strength of curing till the date of testing.

1293.440.56

#### DENSITY

S0.75

354.87

Density was calculated by measuring the weight of cube specimens before subjecting them to compression The compressive strength of SFRGPCC predicted test.

#### **COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH**

150 mm were cast to study the compressive strength of experimental results and those got from the proposed SFRGPCC. Standard cast iron moulds were used for equation. It can be seen that the proposed equation

ompressive strength of SFRGPCC in terms of the compressive strength of plain GPCC and percentage volume fraction of fibres (V<sub>f</sub>) is obtained and given in

equation for predicting the 28 days

GPCC without fibres  $V_f$  = Percentage volume fraction of steel fibres.

from the proposed analytical equation was compared with the experimental results as shown in Table. It was Totally thirty six cubes of size 150 mm x 150 mm x found that a good correlation is obtained between the



Available at <a href="https://edupediapublications.org/journals">https://edupediapublications.org/journals</a>

p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 02 Issue 07 July 2015

predicts the compressive strength of SFRGPCC well with good accuracy.

#### Comparison of experimental and analytical results

Volume fraction	_	Compressive strength MPa			
fibres %	Experimen tal	Analytical	Experimen tal		
0	38.28	38.28	1.00		
	45.24	41.30	0.91		
0.25	40.62	41.30	1.02		
	41.47	41.30	1.00		
	40.54	44.31	1.09		
0.5	46.84	44.31	0.95		
	41.89	44.31	1.06		
	47.42	47.33	1.00		
0.75	48.89	47.33	0.97		
	46.98	47.33	1.01		

#### SPLIT TENSILE STRENGTH

Cylinders measuring a diameter of 150 mm and 300 mm length were cast to evaluate the split tensile strength of SFRGPCC. The effect of various factors such as addition of steel fibres in different volume fractions and age of concrete at the time of testing on the split tensile strength of geopolymer concrete composite has been investigated and presented.

#### Split tensile strength of SFRGPCC specimens

Sp ec.	Avg. Ultimate load in kN	Avg Split tensile Strength MPa
⁵0 <b>^</b> 7	86.4	122
0.25 7	101.0	1.43
50.50 <sup>4</sup> 7	1163	1.65
0.75 ^7	148.7	2.10
0 ^28	1883	267
50.25 <sup>2</sup>		
8	191.0	270
50.50 <sup>4</sup> 2		
8	2215	3.14
50.75 <sup>4</sup> 2		
8	234.7	3.32

The split tensile strength of SFRGPCC predicted from the proposed analytical equation was compared with the experimental results as shown . It was found that a good correlation was obtained between the experimental results and those got from the equation. It can be seen that the proposed equation predicts the split tensile strength of SFRGPCC well with good accuracy.

#### Comparison of experimental and analytical results.

Volume		Split tensil MPa	Analytical	
fraction fibres %	of	Experimenta l	Analytica I	Experimenta I
0		2.67	2.67	1.00
		2.85	2.88	1.01
0.25		2.68	2.88	1.07
		2.58	2.88	1.11
		2.91	3.09	1.06
0.5		3.21	3.09	0.96
		3.28	3.09	0.94
		3.28	3.30	1.00
0.75		3.55	3.30	0.93
		3.14	3.30	1.05

#### FLEXURAL STRENGTH

Totally eighteen prisms of size 500 mm x 100 mm x100 mm were cast to evaluate the flexural strength of SFRGPCC. Standard cast iron moulds were used for casting the test specimens. The effect of addition of steel fibres with different volume fractions and age of concrete at the time of testing on the flexural strength of geopolymer concrete composite has been investigated and presented. Test results of flexural strength are presented.

Flexural strength of SFRGPCC specimens.

Spec.	Avg. Ultimate load kN	Avg. Flexural Strength MPa
<sup>8</sup> 0 <sup>A</sup> 7	9.8	3.93
s <sub>0.25</sub> A <sub>7</sub>	10.0	4.00
S <sub>0.50</sub> A <sub>7</sub>	10.7	4.27
<sup>S</sup> 0.75 <sup>A</sup> 7	14.2	5.67
SO A28	14.7	5.87



Available at <a href="https://edupediapublications.org/journals">https://edupediapublications.org/journals</a>

p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 02 Issue 07 July 2015

S <sub>0.25</sub> A <sub>28</sub>	15.2	6.07
<sup>S</sup> 0.75 <sup>A</sup> 28	21.0	8.40

IMPACT RESISTANCE

The impact resistance of the specimens was determined in accordance with ACI committee 544 recommendations. The test specimen consists of concrete discs 150 mm diameter by 64 mm thick. Specimens were cast using cast iron moulds.

The effect of addition of steel fibres in different volume fractions in improving the impact strength has been evaluated and presented. Test results of impact strength are presented.

#### **Test Results of impact strength**

	First (blow	Crac	k st	rengti		ilure lows)	str	ength
Spec. GPCC		Spec 2 12	Spec 3 9	<b>Avg</b> .	Spec 1	<b>Spec</b> 2 13	Spec 3	Avg. 12
0.25	57	48	62	56	96	88	104	96
°0.50	71	79	83	78	131	135	149	138
0.75	108	98	114	107	297	265	304	289

#### ULUS OF ELASTICITY.

The modulus of elasticity was determined in accordance with Indian Standards IS.516. The test specimen consists of concrete cylinders measuring 150 mm diameter In this investigation, totally twelve geopolymer concrete composite cylinders were cast with and without fibres and 300 mm height.

#### WATER ABSORPTION.

The water absorption test has been carried out according to ASTM C 642-82, to study the relative porosity or permeability characteristics of SFRGPCC at 28 days. The specimens used for this test were cubes of size 100 mm x 100 mm x 100 mm as shown. Specimens were dried in the hot air oven at a temperature of 105<sub>°</sub>C to constant mass.

#### SORPTIVITY.

Sorptivity measures the rate of penetration of water into the pores of concrete by capillary suction. When the cumulative volume of water penetrated per unit surface area of exposure is plotted against the square root of time of exposure, the resulting graph could be approximated by a straight line.

$t \min^{1/2}$	GPCC	S <sub>0.25</sub>	<sup>S</sup> 0.5	<sup>s</sup> 0.75
2	0.8000	0.8667	1.1000	1.1000
3	0.9667	1.0000	1.2667	1.3000
4	1.2000	1.1667	1.4667	1.5000
5	1.3667	1.3333	1.6667	1.6667
6	1.5333	1.5000	1.9000	1.8667
7	1.7667	1.7000	2.0667	2.0333
8	1.9333	1.8667	2.2333	2.3000
9	2.1333	1.9667	2.3000	2.4333
10	2.2667	2.0667	2.5000	2.4667
11	2.4667	2.2333	2.6333	2.6333

**Cumulative water absorption** 

# FLEXURAL BEHAVIOUR OF FIBRE REINFORCED GEOPOLYMER COMPOSITE R.C. BEAMS.

An experimental investigation on the behavior of geopolymer composite concrete beams reinforced with conventional steel bars and various types of fibres namely steel, polypropylene and glass in different volume fractions under flexural loading is presented. The cross sectional dimensions and the span of the beams were same for all the beams. The first crack load, ultimate load and the load-deflection response at various stages of loading were evaluated experimentally.

This chapter also presents the details of the finite element analysis using "ANSYS 10.0" program to predict the load-deflection behavior of geopolymer composite reinforced concrete beams on significant stages of loading. Nonlinear finite element analysis has been performed and a comparison between the results obtained from finite element analysis (FEA) and experiments were made. Analytical results obtained using ANSYS were also compared with the calculations based on theory and presented, reinforced concrete beams were cast with and without fibres. Three beams were cast with steel fibres in volume



Available at https://edupediapublications.org/journals

p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 02 Issue 07 July 2015

0.01%, 0.02% and 0.03% were cast. The cross compressive bars at the top and 6mm diameter mild steel stirrups @ reinforcement as sown.

#### **Load-Deflection Response**

The deflections measured at different increments of well with good accuracy. the first crack load was noticed only through the visual SFRGPCC well with good accuracy. observation made during testing.

#### CONCLUSIONS

volume fraction of steel fibres increases.

fractions of 0.25%, 0.5% and 0.75%. Another three The density of GPCC and SFRGPCC was found close beams were cast with polypropylene fibres with to that of ordinary Portland cement concrete. At all the volume fractions of 0.1%, 0.2% and 0.3%. Three more ages the average density increases with the increase in beams containing glass fibres with volume fractions of the volume fraction of steel fibres. The average strength of geopolymer concrete sectional dimensions and the span of the beams were composites containing steel fibres was higher than fixed same for all the ten beams. The dimensions of the those of geopolymer concrete composites without steel beams were 100 mm x 150 mm x 1000 mm. All the fibres. The increase in compressive strength at the age beams were reinforced using two numbers of 8 mm of 28 days was about 11%, 13% and 24% for volume diameter tor steel bars at the bottom face that serves as fractions of 0.25%, 0.50% and 0.75% respectively with the main reinforcement. The yield strength of the main reference to GPCC mix without steel fibre, Based on reinforcement was found to be 547 MPa. Two numbers the test results, using least square regression analysis, of 8mm diameter tor steel bars were used as hanger an equation for predicting the 28 days compressive strength of SFRGPCC in terms of the compressive 100 mm c/c spacing were provided as shear strength of plain GPCC and percentage volume fraction of fibres is obtained. It can be seen that the proposed equation predicts the compressive strength of steel fibre reinforced geopolymer concrete composites

load. The experimental load-deflection responses for Steel fibres in the concrete increases the splitting all the tested beams are shown in. All the beams tensile strength and the increase was more significant followed the same pattern of load-deflection response. at 7 days when compared to 28 days. The highest In general the load-deflection curve will consist of volume fraction of fibres gives the maximum increase three regions, the first region is a linear region that of strength. The split tensile strength improves by indicates the response till the concrete cracks, the 17%, 35% and 72% for 0.25%, 0.5% and 0.75% of second region is also a linear region that shows the steel fibres respectively Based on the test results, using response till the steel reinforcement yields and the least square regression analysis, an equation for third region indicates the response after the yielding of predicting the 28 days split tensile strength of reinforcement where there is an enormous rate of SFRGPCC in terms of the split tensile strength of increase in deflection for subsequent loads. But it was GPCC without fibres and percentage volume fraction not able to predict the first crack load exactly from the of fibres is obtained. It can be seen that the proposed experimentally obtained load-deflection curve. Hence equation predicts the split tensile strength of

As in the case of split tensile strength, the flexural strength also increases at all ages as the volume Based on the results obtained in this investigation, the fraction of steel fibres increases from 0% to 0.75%. following conclusions are drawn: Addition of steel The more the steel fibre amount in geopolymer fibres in GPCC resulted in poor workability. concrete, the higher the increase in flexural strength. Workability of SFRGPCC decreases as the percentage The flexural strength gets increased by 3%, 33% and 43% for 0.25%, 0.5% and 0.75% of steel fibres



Available at <a href="https://edupediapublications.org/journals">https://edupediapublications.org/journals</a>

p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 02 Issue 07 July 2015

respectively.

Modulus of elasticity of concrete containing steel fibres is slightly higher than the elastic modulus of 2. concrete without fibres. Addition of steel fibres improves the values of modulus, of elasticity by about 4%, 6% and 11% for volume fractions of 0.25%, 0.5% and 0.75% respectively.

Post crack resistance in impact is negligible in case of plain GPCC specimens. From the investigation, it is clear that the addition of steel fibres significantly improves the impact resistance of concrete. The percentage increase in post crack resistance is about 20%, 71%, 77% and 170% for the fibre volume 4. fractions of 0%, 0.25%, 0.5% and 0.75% respectively. Post crack resistance values that indicate the ability to absorb kinetic energy suggest that adding steel fibres delays failure strength. It is clear from the test results that the addition of steel fibres significantly improves 5. the impact resistance of GPCC and thus it is a suitable material for structures subjected to impact loads

The results of water absorption at 30 minutes indicated that quality of GPCC and SFRGPCC are good as per the recommendations given by the CEB. The water 6. absorption values after 24 hours also indicate that the geopolymer concrete composite specimens reinforced with steel fibres were having lower absorption rate compared to control GPCC specimens.

The addition of steel fibres into the GPCC mix decreases the sorptivity coefficient. This may be due to the decreased porosity in the geopolymer paste in 7. contact zone closer to the steel fibres indicating a denser microstructure. This lower sorptivity value provides higher resistance to concrete towards water absorption. In case of SFRGPCC, sorptivity values increases as the fibre content increases. Specimens 8. with 0.25% of steel fibres showed lower sorptivity values. The higher sorptivity value was obtained for specimens containing 0.75% of fibres.

#### REFERENCES

1. ACI Committee 544. "Measurements of properties

- of fiber reinforced concrete", ACI Materials Journal, Vol. 85, No. 6, pp. 583-593, 1988.
- Adam, A.A., Molyneaux, T.C.K., Patnaikuni, I. and Law, D.W. "Strength, sorptivity and carbonation of geopolymer concrete", Taylor & Francis Group, London, UK, pp. 563-568, 2010.
- Ali Behnood and Masouad Ghandehari. "Comparison of compressive and splitting tensile strength of high strength concrete with and without polypropylene fibres heated to high temperatures", Fire Safety Journal, Vol. 44, pp. 1015-1022, 2009.
- Anthony J. Wolanski. "Flexural behavior of reinforced and prestressed concrete beams using finite element analysis", M.S Thesis, Faculty of the Graduate School, Marquette University, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 2004.
- Anuar, K.A., Ridzuan, A.R.M. and Ismail, S. "Strength characteristic of geopolymer concrete containing recycled concrete aggregate", International Journal of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Vol. 11, No. 1, pp. 81-85, 2011.
  - Anurag Mishra, Deepika Chodhary, Namrata Jain, Manish Kumar, Nidhi Sharda and Durga Dutt. "Effect of concentration of alkaline liquid and curing time on strength and water absorption of geopolymer concrete", ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Vol. 3, No. 1, pp. 14-18, 2008.
- Anurag Misra, Rohit Ramteke and Madan LalBairwa. "Study on strength and sorptivity characteristics of fly ash concrete", ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Vol. 2, No. 5, pp. 54-59, 2007.
- 8. CEB FIP. "Diagnosis and assessment of concrete structures State of art report", CEB Bulletin, pp. 83-85, 1989.
- Cengiz Duran Atis and Okan Karahan. "Properties of steel fiber reinforced fly ash concrete", Construction and Building Materials, Vol. 23, pp.



Available at https://edupediapublications.org/journals

p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 02 Issue 07 July 2015

392-399, 2009.

- Chandramouli, K., Srinivasa Rao, P., Pannirselvam, N., Seshadri Sekhar, T. and Sravana, P. "Strength properties of glass fibre concrete", ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 1-6, 2010.
- 11. Chin, C. S. and Xiao, R. Y. "Experimental and computational analysis of fibre reinforced concrete beams", Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Non-conventional Materials and Technologies, Bath, UK, 2009.
- Dahmani, L., Khennane, A. and Kaci, S. "Crack identification in reinforced concrete beams using ANSYS software", Strength of Materials, Vol. 42, No. 2, pp. 232-240, 2010.
- Divya, L., Mini Soman and Syam Prakash, V.
  "Flexural behavior of fibre reinforced self
  compacting concrete", Proceedings of the
  International Conference on Technological trends,
  2010.
- 14. Djwantoro Hardjito, Steenie E. Wallah, Dody M.J. Sumajouw and Vijaya Rangan, B. "On the development of fly ash based geopolymer concrete", ACI Materials Journal, pp. 467-472, 2004.
- 15. Djwantoro Hardjito, Steenie E. Wallah, Dody M.J. Sumajouw and Vijaya Rangan B. "Factors influencing the compressive strength of fly ash based geopolymer concrete", DIMENSI TEKNIK SIPIL, Vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 88-93, 2004.
- 16. Fareed Ahmed Memon, Muhd Fadhil Nuruddin, Samuel Demie and Nasir Shafiq. "Effect of curing conditions on strength of fly ash based self compacting geopolymer concrete", International Journal of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Vol. 3, No. 3 pp. 183-186, 2011.