R

International Journal of Research

Available at https://edupediapublications.org/journals

p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 01 Issue 01 February 2014

Gender as Predictor of Subjective Well-Being and Happiness in Adult Peoples With Respect To Nature of Drinking.

Dr. Sunil Kumar

Abstract

The present study aspired to investigate whether Gender is a predictor of Subjective Well-being and Happiness in Adult peoples with respect to nature of drinking. It was hypothesized that Gender will be significant predictor of Subjective Well-being and Happiness in adult people with respect to Nature of drinking. A purposive sample of 120 human participants (age range 25-45 years) with balanced number of males and females was selected for the present study. Out of these 120 Human Participants, 40 of them were Alcohol Addicts (20 Males and 20 Females), 40 of them were Social Drinkers (20 Males and 20 Females) and the remaining 40 of them were exclusively Teetotalers (20 Males and 20 Females). The Alcohol Addicts and Social Drinkers were traced from various hotels, bars, pubs and counseling centers in Sri Ganganagar and Jaipur district of Rajasthan State. Subjective Wellbenig was measured by Mental Health Inventory (Jagdish and Srivastava A.K. 1983) and Happiness measured by Happiness Scale (Argyle and Hills, 2002). Multiple Regression Analysis was computed through SPSS 17. It was empirically proved that Gender was a significant negative predictor of Wellbeing and Happiness in Adults. It was also empirically proved that Nature of Drinking was a significant negative predictor of Well-being but a significant positive predictor of Happiness in Adults.

Keywords: - Gender, Nature of Drinking, Subjective Well-being, Happiness and Adult People.

Introduction

Alcoholism is currently boasting wide acceptance as the disease model approach (Jellinek, 1960). This approach views alcohol as a disease of the individual. Juxtaposed with a view of alcoholism as moral degeneration or a personal weakness or failure, the disease perspective is a most

desirable approach in that it provides both an impetus for treatment and sympathy of the alcoholic, and it removes or at least minimizes the guilt and reluctance to seek treatment which the alcoholic experiences.

Viewing alcoholism as a disease allows a professional group, namely the medical

International Journal of Research Available at https://edupediapublications.org/journals

p-ISSN: 2348-6848

e-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 01 Issue 01

February 2014



profession, to claim responsibility for understanding its treatment and therefore affords the problem more exposure and respect (Jellinek, 1960). By labeling alcoholism a disease, it is put on par with other diseases and medical problems, thereby removing the stigma associated with the problem. We do not look down on people because they have diseases; in fact, we try to assist them in overcoming their problem by offering them such things as time off work, Medicare funding, and government or corporate funded rehabilitation programs. In the same way, defining alcoholism as а disease, should afford alcoholics this same degree of understanding, respect and sympathy. Bygiving the responsibility of research and into alcoholism the medical profession, we effectively put the problem alcohol addiction in a more favorable light than if it was considered the responsibility of psychologists, social workers, or clergypersons. A corollary of this observation is the that it is the medical profession which is expending the effort most and energy

supporting the disease model as dominant way in viewing alcoholism (Milam & Ketcham, 1985).

Jellinek himself acknowledges the appropriation of alcoholism by the medical profession when he states that "a disease is what the medical profession recognizes such" as (1960). However, seeing alcoholism as a disease is not necessarily the only way to look at the problem; if the disease model were to lose its basis of consensus, many in the medical profession would be out of a job (Schneider, 1978). It can be argued that alcoholism is seen as a disease because it is profitable for the medical profession to see it as a disease.

medical Although the community has a vested interest in the disease perspective of alcoholism, this would no reason to disregard the disease model if indeed the disease perspective is the best paradigm available. In this paper, a number of issues will be discussed. Initially critique of the disease perspective will be offered. A more detailed and operational definition of alcoholism will be proposed and



Available at https://edupediapublications.org/journals

p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 01 Issue 01 February 2014

a new paradigm that incorporates the details and features of alcoholism ignored by the disease model will be suggested. This is an ambitious undertaking to be sure, but a necessary one.

Inspite of its benefits, the disease model perspective unable to adequately account for alcoholism and is itself beginning to fall victim to the same criticisms it levels the that at moral degeneration perspective. particular, our cultural milieu is increasingly coming to emphasize health, nutrition, and fitness as important life-style values. In such a milieu, disease is coming to be viewed as a personal failure in adequately caring for oneself. In this way, alcoholism, viewed as a disease, is becoming increasingly defined as a personal failure that creates guilt and a denial of a problem just as surely as the degeneration moral perspective does-a theory that the disease perspective was intended to counter. More importantly, disease model suffers from number of logical and theoretical flaws that not only deny its validity as a scientific model, but also limit its practicality of researching, identifying, or treating alcoholism.

Subjective Well-being is a reflects construct that an understanding of an individual's appraisal of his/her life. These appraisals be primarily may cognitive (e.g. life satisfaction) as affective, consisting of well as pleasant or unpleasant emotions that individuals experience (e.g. happiness and depression). The notion of subjective well-being incorporates positive factors and not just the absence of negative factors (Park, 2004). Gasper (2002) points out that the term well-being is a concept or idea referring to whatever is assessed evaluation of а person's life situation or 'being'. Summarized, it is the description of the state of the individual's life situation. Α hallmark of subjective well-being is that it centers on the individual's personal judgments and not upon some criterion judged by the researcher as important (Diener, 1984).

There are three primary components of subjective well-being: life satisfaction, high levels of pleasant affect, and low levels of unpleasant affect. Subjective well-

R Inte

International Journal of Research

Available at https://edupediapublications.org/journals

p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 01 Issue 01 February 2014

being is structured such that these three components form an overall factor of interrelated variables. Meister (1991)suggests that subjective well-being is comprehensive flexible and that is broader than concept health. Subjective well-being is defined by Snyder and Lopez (2002) as "A person's cognitive and affective evaluations of his or her life. These evaluations include emotional reactions to events as well as cognitive judgments satisfaction and fulfillment". agreement with Snyder and Lopez's view that subjective well-being cognitive includes both affective components. Carr (2004) defines subjective well-being as, "A psychological positive state characterized by a high level of satisfaction with life, a high level of positive affect and a low level of negative affect". According to Vleioras and Bosma (2005),subjective well-being refers to feeling well, which is highly parallel to the characteristics of a healthy personality set forth by According Diener Erikson. to (1984)well-being is multidimensional construct that includes cognitive and affective

components. He further defines subjective well-being in terms of three primary components: life satisfaction, positive affect and negative affect. It is clear from the abovementioned definitions that the following two aspects form the core of subjective well-being and that the cognitive and emotional aspects are fully intertwined. The cognitive component refers to life satisfaction and the emotional component divided into positive and negative affect (Bradburn, 1969; Diener, 1998).

Positive Psychology has as the "a its goal creation of psychology of positive human functioning...that achieves а scientific understanding and effective interventions to build thriving individuals, families and (Seligman, communities Seligman (2002) proposed a theory in which the unwieldy notion of "happiness" is given up: "Happiness" and "well-being" are merely overarching terms that his views describe the goals of the whole Positive Psychology enterprise. As constructs they play no role in the theory, just as the term 'cognition" labels a scientific enterprise within psychology, but itself plays no role other than labeling in the theories of cognitive psychology. In the original theory (Seligman, 2002) "happiness" is decomposed three into more



Available at https://edupediapublications.org/journals

p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 01 Issue 01 February 2014

scientifically manageable components: positive emotion (the pleasant life), engagement engaged life), and meaning (the meaningful life). This trichotomy is not claimed to be exclusive or exhaustive at this point, but rather a first approximation toward a scientifically useable unpacking of "happiness." The theory also relies on a set of empirical and analytic methods for moving the trichotomy toward becoming more exclusive exhaustive (Peterson and Seligman, 2004). Positive Psychology has as its goal the of "a psychology positive human functioning...that achieves a scientific understanding and effective interventions to build thriving individuals, families and communities (Seligman, Seligman (2002) proposed a theory in which the unwieldy notion of "happiness" is given "Happiness" and "well-being" are merely overarching terms that his views describe the goals of the Positive Psychology whole enterprise. As constructs they play no role in the theory, just as the term 'cognition" labels a scientific enterprise within psychology, but itself plays no role other than labeling in the theories of cognitive psychology. In the original theory (Seligman, 2002) "happiness" is decomposed into three more scientifically manageable components: positive emotion (the pleasant life), engagement (the engaged life), and meaning (the meaningful life). This trichotomy is not claimed to be exclusive or

exhaustive at this point, but rather a first approximation toward a scientifically useable unpacking of "happiness." The theory also relies on a set of empirical and analytic methods for moving the trichotomy toward becoming more exclusive and exhaustive (Peterson & Seligman, 2004).

Objectives

- 1. To investigate whether Gender is a significant predictor of Subjective Wellbeing and Happiness in adult people.
- 2. To investigate whether
 Nature of Drinking is a
 significant predictor of
 Subjective Well-being and
 Happiness in adult people.

Hypotheses

- **1.** Gender will be a significant predictor of Subjective Wellbeing and Happiness in adult peoples.
- Nature of Drinking will be a significant predictor of Subjective Well-being and Happiness in adult peoples.

Sample

A purposive sample of 120 human participants (age range 25-45 years) with balanced number of males and females was selected for the present study. Out of these 120 Human Participants, 40 of them were Alcohol Addicts (20 Males and 20 Females), 40 of them were Social Drinkers (20 Males and



Available at https://edupediapublications.org/journals

p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 01 Issue 01 February 2014

20 Females) and the remaining 40 of were exclusively them Teetotalers (20)Males and 20 Females). The Alcohol Addicts and Social Drinkers were traced from various hotels, bars, pubs and counseling centers Sriganganagar and Jaipur district of Rajasthan State.

Measures

The following measures were administered on the male and female Alcohol addicts. Social Drinkers and Teetotalers with informed consent and they were duly assured that the results so obtained would be kept confidential and would not be used for any other purpose extraneous to be present research:

- 1. Mental Health Inventory (Jagdish and Srivastava A.K., 1983)
- 2. Happiness Scale (Argyle and Hills, 2002)

Research Design

A Correlational Research Design with Multiple Regression Analysis was employed to find out whether Gender and Nature of Drinking are significant predictor of Subjective Well-being and Happiness in Adult peoples.

Independent Variables

- Nature of Drinking (Alcohol Addicts, Social Drinkers and Teetotalers)
- Gender (Male and Female)

Dependent variables

- Subjective Well-being
- Happiness

Results

The results indicate that the constant β coefficient is 215.62 and Standardized β coefficient of Nature of Drinking (ND) is -.793 which is significant at 0.01 level of confidence. It is empirically proved that Nature of Drinking is significant negative predictor of Subjective Well-being in Alcohol Addicts. Social Drinkers Teetotalers. Therefore, as Nature of Drinking increases, Subjective Well-being decreases in Alcohol Addicts, Social Drinkers Teetotalers. It is observed that the constant β coefficient is 215.62 and Standardized β coefficient of Gender (G) is -.316 which is significant at 0.01 level of confidence. It is empirically proved Gender that is а significant negative predictor of Subjective Well-being in Alcohol Addicts, Social Drinkers and Teetotalers. Therefore. as Gender changes, Subjective Well-being also changes in Alcohol Addicts, Social Drinkers and Teetotalers.



Available at https://edupediapublications.org/journals

p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 01 Issue 01 February 2014

The results indicate that the constant β coefficient is 76.133 and Standardized β coefficient of Nature of Drinking (ND) is .589 which is significant at 0.01 level of confidence. It is empirically proved that Nature of Drinking is a significant positive predictor of in Alcohol Happiness Addicts, Social Drinkers and Teetotalers. Therefore, as Nature of Drinking increases. Happiness also increases in Alcohol Addicts, Social Drinkers and Teetotalers. It is observed that the constant β coefficient is 76.133 and Standardized β coefficient of Gender (G) is -.491 which is significant at 0.01 level confidence. It is empirically proved Gender significant that is а negative predictor of Happiness in Alcohol Addicts, Social Drinkers and Teetotalers. Therefore. Gender changes, Happiness also changes in Alcohol Addicts, Social Drinkers and Teetotalers.

References

Bradburn, N.M. (1969). The structure of psychological well-being. Chicago: Aldine.

Carr, A. (2004). Positive psychology: The Science of Happiness and Human Strengths. Routledge, East Sussex.

Diener, E. & Suh, M. E. (1998).

Subjective well-being and age:
An international analysis. In
Schaie, K. W. & Lawton, M. P.
(Eds.), Annual Review of
gerontology and geriatrics: Vol.
17. Focus on emotion and
adult development. New York:
Springer; 304–324.

Diener, E. (1984). Subjective wellbeing. Psychological Bulletin, 95, 542-575.

Diener, E., & Seligman, M.E.P. (2002).

Very happy people.

Psychological Science, 13, 81–84.

Gasper, D. (2002). Is Sen's Capability
Approach an Adequate Basis
for considering human
development? Review of
Political economy, 14 (4), 435461.

Jellinek, E. M. 1960. The Disease

Concept of Alcoholism. New

Haven, Conn.: College and

University Press.

Meister, S. (1991). Family well-being.
In A.L, Whall., & J, Fawcett
(Eds.), Family Theory
Development in Nursing: State
of Science and Art,
Philadelphia: FA Davis Co.

Milam, J. R. & Ketcham, K. 1985.

Under the Influence: A Guide to



Available at https://edupediapublications.org/journals

p-ISSN: 2348-6848 e-ISSN: 2348-795X Volume 01 Issue 01 February 2014

- the Myths and Realities of alcoholism. Bantam. Toronto.
- Park, N. (2004). The role of subjective well-being in positive youth development. The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 591, 25-39.
- Peterson, C. and Seligman, M.E.P. (2004). Character Strengths and Virtues: A Classification. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Seligman, M.E.P. (2002). Positive Psychology, Positive Prevention, and Positive Therapy. In Snyder, C.R. and Lopez, S. (eds). Handbook of Positive psychology. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Snyder, C.R., & Lopez, S.J. (2002).

 Handbook of Positive
 Psychology. Oxford University
 Press.
- Vleioras, G., & Bosma, H.A. (2005).

 Are identity styles important for psychological well-being.

 Journal of Adolescence, 28, 397–409.